


Basic information	
<b>2006/2162(DEC)</b> DEC - Discharge procedure 2005 discharge: Eurojust <b>Subject</b> 8.70.03.07 Previous discharges	Procedure completed

Key players				
European Parliament	<b>Committee responsible</b>		<b>Rapporteur</b>	<b>Appointed</b>
	<span style="border: 1px solid red; padding: 2px;">CONT</span> Budgetary Control		HERCZOG Edit (PSE)	20/04/2006
	<b>Committee for opinion</b>		<b>Rapporteur for opinion</b>	<b>Appointed</b>
	<span style="border: 1px solid red; padding: 2px;">LIBE</span> Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs		DÜHRKOP DÜHRKOP Bárbara (PSE)	19/12/2006
Council of the European Union	<b>Council configuration</b>		<b>Meetings</b>	<b>Date</b>
	Economic and Financial Affairs ECOFIN		2787	2007-02-27
European Commission	<b>Commission DG</b>		<b>Commissioner</b>	
	Budget		KALLAS Siim	

Key events			
Date	Event	Reference	Summary
31/10/2006	Non-legislative basic document published	<a href="#">N6-0030/2006</a>	<a href="#">Summary</a>
29/11/2006	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
26/03/2007	Vote in committee		<a href="#">Summary</a>
02/04/2007	Committee report tabled for plenary	<a href="#">A6-0120/2007</a>	
24/04/2007	Decision by Parliament	<a href="#">T6-0121/2007</a>	<a href="#">Summary</a>
24/04/2007	Results of vote in Parliament		
24/04/2007	Debate in Parliament	<a href="#">CRE link</a>	
24/04/2007	End of procedure in Parliament		
15/07/2008	Final act published in Official Journal		

Technical information	
Procedure reference	2006/2162(DEC)
Procedure type	DEC - Discharge procedure
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 102
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	CONT/6/42415

Documentation gateway				
<b>European Parliament</b>				
Document type	Committee	Reference	Date	Summary
Committee draft report		<a href="#">PE384.441</a>	09/02/2007	
Amendments tabled in committee		<a href="#">PE386.403</a>	08/03/2007	
Committee opinion	<a href="#">LIBE</a>	<a href="#">PE384.341</a>	20/03/2007	
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading		<a href="#">A6-0120/2007</a>	02/04/2007	
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading		<a href="#">T6-0121/2007</a>	24/04/2007	<a href="#">Summary</a>
<b>Council of the EU</b>				
Document type		Reference	Date	Summary
Supplementary non-legislative basic document		<a href="#">05711/2007</a>	07/02/2007	<a href="#">Summary</a>
<b>Other institutions and bodies</b>				
Institution/body	Document type	Reference	Date	Summary
OS	Non-legislative basic document	<a href="#">N6-0030/2006</a> <a href="#">OJ C 266 31.10.2006, p. 0034</a>	31/10/2006	<a href="#">Summary</a>
CofA	Court of Auditors: opinion, report	<a href="#">N6-0001/2007</a> <a href="#">OJ C 312 19.12.2006, p. 0001</a>	19/12/2006	<a href="#">Summary</a>

Final act	
<a href="#">Budget 2008/0523</a> <a href="#">OJ L 187 15.07.2008, p. 0135</a>	<a href="#">Summary</a>

## 2005 discharge: Eurojust

2006/2162(DEC) - 27/02/2007

The Council, on the basis of intensive preparatory work, approved a recommendation on the discharge to be given to the Directors of the 16 European Union agencies for implementation of their budget for 2005. The recommendation will be submitted to the European Parliament, in accordance with the budgetary discharge procedure.

The Council makes reference to the **Court of Auditors report on translation expenditure** (see summary of the document annexed to the Commission's discharge procedure [DEC/2006/2070](#) dated 8 February 2007 06162/2007). It adopted the following conclusions:

Firstly, it states that it welcomes the Court's special report on translation expenditure - which amounted to EUR 511 million in 2005 - incurred by three institutions in particular as it covers the period of the accession of 10 new Member States, increasing the number of official and working languages to 21. In this context, it underlines the importance of the translation of documents in a European Union **multilingual environment** with equal treatment of the languages of its Member States.

It emphasises that translation demands are to be met in time and in adequate quality, as an essential part of the legislative process keeping the cost under control as well as having adequate procedures to give priority to essential translations which implies efficient and effective management of translation resources. It regrets, however, that in some cases politically important information which should be translated has been included in non translated annexes of documents.

The Council notes with satisfaction that the Court of Auditors stated that the institutions have generally met translation needs in the EU-15 languages in required quality inter alia by recourse to freelance translators. It regrets that all three institutions have faced structural difficulties in providing a sufficient volume and acceptable quality into the EU-10 languages. It expects the institutions to further enhance the degree of multilingualism as regards the information provided on their websites.

Moreover, the Council regrets the fact that the institutions, with the exception of the Commission for 2002, have not calculated their total costs or the average cost per page translated and invites them to do so from now on and inform the budgetary authority on a regular basis.

It notes with concern the fact that the productivity of the EU translation services is much lower than in the private sector, partly due to more effective use of IT tools in the private sector, although the quality of internal translation is recognised to be higher. It notes the long term action plan by the Council General Secretariat to increase its productivity by reducing the number of "full-time equivalent" in the EU-15 language units.

**Inter-institutional cooperation:** the Council advocates inter-institutional cooperation being reinforced to all institutions in order to improve the efficiency and the procedures and to reduce the costs in the field of translations. It urges the institutions to set up proper forecasting system and improve the system of workload balancing in order to make better use of spare capacity and to avoid unnecessary outsourcing. In 2005, according to the Court, EUR 11 million of freelance translation costs could have been saved by the Commission and by the Parliament by giving the non-urgent documents to be translated for other institutions. It regrets that it was not possible for the institutions to recruit enough translators in EU-10 languages which has resulted in quality and deadline problems in the EU-10 translations and it recommends that sufficient EU-10 translator resources should be ensured by measures increasing awareness and fostering interest in the Community's translation activity in the EU-10 Member States and other Candidate Countries. It recommends that similar measures be taken for Bulgaria and Romania.

The Council emphasises that future measures are to be taken by the institutions to contain the volume translated including control of the size of documents, without prejudice of equal treatment of EU official and working languages taking into account the operational effectiveness, and adoption of a fit-for-purpose approach for authorised texts for translation and encourages the institutions to improve their work planning and comply with the deadlines for requesting translations.

Lastly, the Council requests the institutions to improve the efficiency of the translation process in particular by intensifying the recourse to IT tools, reduction of secretarial support, working methods based on best practices and teleworking. It also invites the Court to examine also the translation expenditure of the other institutions and bodies.

## 2005 discharge: Eurojust

2006/2162(DEC) - 07/02/2007

Having examined the revenue and expenditure account for the financial year 2005, the balance sheet of revenue and expenditure at 31 December 2005 of Eurojust and the Court of Auditors' report on the annual accounts of Eurojust for the financial year 2005, the Council recommends the European Parliament to give a discharge to the Administrative Director of Eurojust in respect of the implementation of the budget for the financial year 2005.

In doing so, the Council confirms that EUR 800 000 (92%) of the EUR 900 000 in appropriations carried forward from the financial year 2004 to the financial year 2005 have been used. In addition, EUR 2.1 million in appropriations have been carried forward from the financial year 2005 to the financial year 2006 and EUR 1 million has been cancelled.

In parallel, the Council makes accompanying comments on the discharge which should be followed up. In particular, it:

- is aware that the activity of Eurojust is dependent on various external factors that make an accurate programming particularly difficult. However, it regrets the **unequal implementation rate of appropriations** among the titles, and calls on Eurojust to concentrate its efforts on a qualitative improvement in its programming of operating expenditure, in order to achieve a more satisfactory allocation of the resources;
- calls on Eurojust to take all the necessary measures to establish an **exhaustive physical inventory of fixed assets** through a reliable system, so as to meet its accounting obligations;
- is concerned as regards the lack of any internal control standards and calls on Eurojust to pursue its efforts to remedy such a situation as soon as possible;
- calls on Eurojust to pursue its improvements in its **tender procedure** in order to rectify all anomalies detected by the Court as soon as possible.

## 2005 discharge: Eurojust

2006/2162(DEC) - 19/12/2006

This report from the Court of Auditors concerns the annual accounts of Eurojust for the financial year ended 31 December 2005.

The Court states that Eurojust's accounts for the financial year 2005 are, in all material respects, reliable and the transactions underlying Eurojust's annual accounts, taken as a whole, are legal and regular.

The report shows that the appropriations entered in the final budget amount to **EUR 13 000 000** with EUR 11 780 000 committed and EUR 9 951 000 paid. EUR 2 115 000 was carried over to 2006, and EUR 934 000 cancelled.

In its report the Court states that a review of the implementation of the budget showed that 90 % of the appropriations granted for the year had been committed. The overall rate of payment against the year's commitments was 84 %. Nevertheless, the utilisation rate of appropriations for operating activities (Title III) was low: only 80 % of the commitment appropriations for the financial year were used and a third of commitments had to be carried over. For the same expenditure, more than 15 % of the commitments carried over to the year had to be cancelled. These findings suggest that a qualitative improvement in the programming of operating expenditure is needed in order to avoid mobilising resources unnecessarily. The College

decided to authorise a non-automatic carryover of appropriations for a total of EUR 285 484. Such carryovers are permitted only if most of the stages preparatory to the act of commitment have been completed before the end of the financial year and this was not the case.

The Court also highlights that:

- Eurojust still does not have its own financial regulation and, this being the case, has continued to apply the framework financial regulation for the Community bodies. At the end of 2005, the Commission had still not issued an opinion concerning the draft regulation submitted by Eurojust in May of the same year;
- the inventory of fixed assets is managed using a spreadsheet, which does not allow the integrity of the data to be guaranteed;
- the Management Board has still not adopted any internal control standards. Formalisation of operating and accounting procedures is mostly lacking (in 2005, the checklists describing the checks to be carried out on budgetary commitments relating to important operating procedures (procurement, and recruitment) were insufficiently developed);
- some anomalies were found in respect of public procurement procedures and contract management, in particular the absence of guidelines on the weightings applied to award criteria, and confusion between the selection criteria and the criteria for awarding contracts. Framework contracts have, moreover, exceeded the time limits laid down in the regulatory provisions.

Eurojust responds point by point to the Court's observations and states that its volume of activity

depends heavily on the number of cases referred by the Member States. Therefore, the programming of allocation of its resources has a highly indicative and approximate character.

Moreover, the allocation in December 2004 of EUR 2 million for Eurojust's operational activities has had a negative impact on the level of execution. It states that it will apply strictly the applicable regulation in its future decisions relating to the non-automatic carry-over procedure.

Eurojust prioritised the setting up of an asset inventory system to meet the accounting obligations. An exhaustive inventory database is currently being implemented.

In 2006, on the basis of its experience, Eurojust has started to improve the checklists in use for its main budgetary operations.

Lastly, Eurojust has improved its tender procedures in view to make a clearer distinction between selection and award criteria.

## 2005 discharge: Eurojust

2006/2162(DEC) - 24/04/2007 - Final act

PURPOSE: to grant discharge to EUROJUST for the financial year 2005.

LEGISLATIVE ACT: Decision 2008/523/EC of the European Parliament on the discharge for the implementation of the budget of Eurojust for the financial year 2005.

CONTENT: with the present decision, the European Parliament grants discharge to the Director of EUROJUST for the implementation of its budget for the financial year 2005.

This decision is in line with the European Parliament's resolution adopted on 24 April 2007 and comprises a series of observations that form an integral part of the discharge decision (please refer to the summary of the opinion of 24/04/2007).

## 2005 discharge: Eurojust

2006/2162(DEC) - 24/04/2007 - Text adopted by Parliament, single reading

The European Parliament adopted a resolution drafted by Edit **HERCZOG** (PSE, HU), and granted the administrative director of Eurojust discharge for the implementation of Eurojust's budget for the financial year 2005. It then approved the closing of the accounts of Eurojust for the financial year 2005.

The Parliamentary resolution is divided into two parts. The first contained general points, and concerned the majority of EU Agencies requiring individual discharge. The second part contained specific points relating to the Agency.

**General points:** Parliament considers that the ever-growing number of Community Agencies and the activities of certain of them do not seem to form part of an overall policy framework, and that the remits of some Agencies do not always reflect the real needs of the Union. Accordingly, it invites the Commission to define an overall policy framework for the setting up of new Community Agencies and to present a cost-benefit study before the setting up of any new agency, while being careful to avoid any overlap of activities between Agencies or with the remits of other European organisations. Parliament calls on the Court of Auditors to give its opinion on this cost-benefit study before Parliament takes its decision, and it asks the Commission to present every five years a study on the added value of every existing Agency. ; invites all relevant institutions In the case of a negative evaluation of the added value of an Agency, all relevant institutions are asked to take the necessary steps by reformulating the mandate of that Agency or by closing it.

In view of the constantly increasing number of Agencies, Parliament feels that the Directorates-General of the Commission charged with the setting up and monitoring of Agencies must develop a common approach to the Agencies. It also asks the Commission to improve administrative and technical support to the Agencies. Parliament regretted that the negotiations on the draft interinstitutional agreement on the operating framework for the European regulatory agencies have not yet been concluded, and calls on the Commission, in consultation with the Court of Auditors, to do their utmost to ensure that the agreement is brought to a rapid conclusion. Noting that the Commission's budgetary responsibility calls for closer linking of the Agencies to the Commission, Parliament calls on the Commission and the Council to take all necessary steps to give the Commission a blocking minority in the supervisory bodies of the regulatory Agencies by 31 December 2007 and to provide for such a minority from the outset when new Agencies are set up. It invites the Court of Auditors to create an additional chapter in its Annual Report, devoted to all Agencies to be discharged under the Commission's accounts in order to have a much clearer picture of the use of EU funds by Agencies.

Parliament goes on to ask the Commission to come up with a proposal to harmonise the format of the annual reporting by the Agencies and to develop performance indicators which would allow a comparison of their efficiency. The Commission is urged to monitor and direct the management of the Agencies, especially in relation to the proper application of tender procedures, transparency of recruitment procedures, sound financial management and, most importantly, the proper application of the rules concerning the internal control framework.

**Specific points concerning Eurojust:** Parliament called on Eurojust to improve even further the programming of operating expenditure, noting that the utilisation rate of appropriations for operating activities (Title III) was only 80 % of the commitment appropriations for the financial year and that a third of commitments had to be carried over. Parliament also noted that the College decided to authorise a non-automatic carryover of appropriations for a total of EUR 285 484 and recalled that such carryovers are permitted only if most of the stages preparatory to the act of commitment have been completed before the end of the financial year, and that this was not the case. It invited Eurojust to improve its inventory procedure, and to inform Parliament about the adoption by its Board of any internal control standard, particularly with regard to procurement and recruitment. Parliament then invited Eurojust to respect public procurement procedures and contract management and to respect the time limits for framework contracts as laid down in the regulatory provisions.

Lastly, the Commission was invited to inform Parliament of its opinion concerning the draft regulation submitted by Eurojust.

## 2005 discharge: Eurojust

2006/2162(DEC) - 31/10/2006 - Non-legislative basic document

PURPOSE: presentation of the final accounts of Eurojust for the financial year 2005.

CONTENT: this document published in the Official Journal of the EU sets out a detailed account of the implementation of the 2005 budget, including the revenue and expenditure and the balance sheet for the year concerned.

According to this document, the final budget amounted to **EUR 13 million** (compared to EUR 9.3 million in 2004) including a 100% Community subsidy.

As regards the staffing policy, Eurojust officially set out 87 posts in the establishment plan compared to 76 in 2004. 70 of these posts are occupied +15 other staff (seconded national experts, local staff, 13 agency staff) totalling 85 staff carrying out operational, administrative and mixed tasks. Staff expenditure amounted to nearly EUR 5.4 million in 2005.

Eurojust's objective is to improve the coordination of investigations and prosecutions covering the territories of several Member States of the European Union, as well as that of non-member States. In 2005, it held 73 meetings, examined 462 bilateral cases and 124 multilateral cases on the following issues:

- Fraud: 14%;
- Drug-trafficking: 16%;
- Terrorism: 3%;
- Murder: 5%;
- Trafficking in human beings: 4%;
- Money-laundering: 6%;
- Other: 52%.

The complete version of the final accounts may be found at the following address:

<http://www.eurojust.europa.eu>