



Basic information	
<b>2021/2162(INI)</b> INI - Own-initiative procedure  The revision of the Financial Regulation in view of the entry into force of the 2021-2027 multiannual financial framework  <b>Subject</b>  8.70.02 Financial regulations	Procedure completed

Key players				
European Parliament	<b>Joint committee responsible</b>		<b>Rapporteur</b>	<b>Appointed</b>
	<b>BUDG</b> Budgets		UŠAKOVŠ Nils (S&D)	10/09/2021
	<b>CONT</b> Budgetary Control		HOHLMEIER Monika (EPP)	10/09/2021
			<b>Shadow rapporteur</b> WINZIG Angelika (EPP) MANDA Claudiu (S&D) DURAND Pascal (Renew) TEFNU Nicolae (Renew) FREUND Daniel (Greens /EFA) ANDRESEN Rasmus (Greens /EFA) RZOCA Bogdan (ECR) CZARNECKI Ryszard (ECR) LAPORTE H��l��ne (ID) OMARJEE Younous (The Left)	
	<b>Committee for opinion</b>		<b>Rapporteur for opinion</b>	<b>Appointed</b>
<b>AGRI</b> Agriculture and Rural Development		Chair on behalf of committee LINS Norbert (EPP)	02/09/2021	
European Commission	<b>Commission DG</b>		<b>Commissioner</b>	
	Economic and Financial Affairs		GENTILONI Paolo	

Key events			

Date	Event	Reference	Summary
07/10/2021	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
07/10/2021	Referral to joint committee announced in Parliament		
25/10/2021	Vote in committee		
28/10/2021	Committee report tabled for plenary	<a href="#">A9-0295/2021</a>	<a href="#">Summary</a>
22/11/2021	Debate in Parliament	<a href="#">CRE link</a>	
23/11/2021	Results of vote in Parliament		
24/11/2021	Decision by Parliament	<a href="#">T9-0469/2021</a>	<a href="#">Summary</a>
25/11/2021	Results of vote in Parliament		

Technical information	
Procedure reference	2021/2162(INI)
Procedure type	INI - Own-initiative procedure
Procedure subtype	Initiative
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 59 Rules of Procedure EP 55
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	CJ13/9/07111

Documentation gateway				
<b>European Parliament</b>				
Document type	Committee	Reference	Date	Summary
Committee draft report		<a href="#">PE696.550</a>	13/09/2021	
Amendments tabled in committee		<a href="#">PE697.826</a>	06/10/2021	
Specific opinion	<a href="#">AGRI</a>	<a href="#">PE699.044</a>	19/10/2021	
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading		<a href="#">A9-0295/2021</a>	28/10/2021	<a href="#">Summary</a>
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading		<a href="#">T9-0469/2021</a>	24/11/2021	<a href="#">Summary</a>
<b>European Commission</b>				
Document type	Reference	Date	Summary	
Commission response to text adopted in plenary	<a href="#">SP(2022)12</a>	03/03/2022		

## The revision of the Financial Regulation in view of the entry into force of the 2021-2027 multiannual financial framework

2021/2162(INI) - 24/11/2021 - Text adopted by Parliament, single reading

The European Parliament adopted by 534 votes to 98, with 57 abstentions, a resolution on the revision of the Financial Regulation in view of the entry into force of the 2021-2027 multiannual financial framework (MFF).

The upcoming revision of the Financial Regulation is necessary following the entry into force of the MFF 2021-2027, including the NextGenerationEU instrument. It is also necessary for the proper implementation of the Interinstitutional Agreement on budgetary discipline, cooperation in budgetary matters and sound financial management and on new own resources (IIA).

Members believe that the revision should aim to **modernise the rules applicable to the EU budget** in line with its latest developments and in accordance with budgetary principles and the Union's values, as well as to improve parliamentary scrutiny, democratic accountability, transparency, civic engagement and the ability to respond to citizens' needs quickly and effectively, especially in times of crisis.

According to Members, the main objectives of the revision of the EU's financial rules should include:

- strengthening the protection of the Union's financial interests;
- aligning the regulation with the rule of law conditionality;
- strengthening public procurement rules to avoid potential conflicts of interest and improve transparency;
- reducing administrative burdens for beneficiaries;
- increasing the efficiency of spending to achieve higher European added value and;
- improving access to EU funding for citizens, SMEs and local and regional authorities.

#### ***Democratic accountability for a modern budget***

Parliament noted that the NextGenerationEU instrument has taken off-budget instruments into a new dimension by significantly increasing, albeit temporarily, the volume of the Union's budget in the form of external assigned revenue by creating liabilities until 2058 through borrowing for lending and borrowing for direct EU expenditure.

As a branch of the budgetary authority, Parliament considered that it must be able to scrutinise and, where appropriate, authorise the Commission's use and management of external assigned revenue and its borrowing and lending operations. It proposed that the Financial Regulation be revised to **specify that external assigned revenue and the assets and liabilities relating to borrowing and lending operations are included in the EU budget** so that they are subject to audit and reporting by the European Court of Auditors and that the budgetary authority and the discharge authority can be duly informed of any suspected cases of misappropriation, corruption, fraud or breach of the rule of law.

#### ***Rule of law***

Parliament called on the Commission to present a proposal to include the content of the Conditionality Regulation in the Financial Regulation upon its next revision and to examine opportunities to further improve the alignment of all EU instruments that aim to ensure sound financial management and the protection of the Union's financial interests, including the Commission's annual rule of law report.

The Commission should ensure that the Union budget is spent on projects or organisations that respect the **Union values** and to evaluate whether the relevant provisions of the Financial Regulation are sufficiently effective in preventing and addressing **conflicts of interest** in the implementation and control of the EU budget.

#### ***Digital tools***

In the light of the Pandora Papers, there is an urgent need to ensure transparency for beneficial owners. Members believe that compulsory centralisation of information in a **single, interoperable reporting and monitoring system** and in a public EU database, including information on direct and final beneficiaries of EU funds, would address the lack of transparency and increase public scrutiny and confidence in EU public spending.

The Financial Regulation should include provisions obliging the responsible actors to establish uniform records of economic operators and beneficial owners to allow their identification in all EU programmes, regardless of who implements them and regardless of the management mode (direct, indirect or shared management).

#### ***Public procurement***

Parliament called on the Commission to provide for a more explicit definition of '**professional conflict of interest**' and to ensure that its implementation rules on public procurement do not permit the awarding of policy-related service contracts to undertakings that are under the economic control of a parent company or a group that owns shares related to activities that are not in line with the EU's environmental, social and Green Deal objectives.

The Commission is also called on to strengthen the Financial Regulation in order to take account of '**professional conflicting interests**' and to further increase the accuracy and completeness of the voluntary notification thereof by applicants submitting tenders, such as developing adequate sanctions if voluntarily notifications are not complied with, including a temporary ban from public tendering in case of severe breaches.

The upcoming revision of the Financial Regulation should take account of the **EU's autonomous strategic interests** and ensure that ambitious awarding criteria are set, taking into account the European added value of projects as well as the principle of 'the most economically advantageous tender'. Public procurement rules should include safeguards to evaluate the activities of companies that contradict the social and environmental objectives of the Union in the award decision.

Members also insisted that the budget should be gender-sensitive, that climate and biodiversity should be considered and that the Financial Regulation should allow for the proper implementation of the European Pillar of Social Rights.

## **The revision of the Financial Regulation in view of the entry into force of the 2021-2027 multiannual financial framework**

The Committee on Budgets and the Committee on Budgetary Control adopted the own-initiative report by Monika HOHLMEIER (EPP, DE) and Nils UŠAKOVŠ (S&D, LV) on the revision of the Financial Regulation in view of the entry into force of the 2021-2027 multiannual financial framework (MFF).

The upcoming revision of the Financial Regulation is necessary following the entry into force of the MFF 2021-2027, including the NextGenerationEU instrument. It is also necessary for the proper implementation of the Interinstitutional Agreement on budgetary discipline, cooperation in budgetary matters and sound financial management and on new own resources (IIA).

Members believe that the revision should aim to **modernise the rules applicable to the EU budget** in line with its latest developments and in accordance with budgetary principles and the Union's values, as well as to improve parliamentary scrutiny, democratic accountability, transparency, civic engagement and the ability to respond to citizens' needs quickly and effectively, especially in times of crisis.

According to Members, the main objectives of the revision of the EU's financial rules should include:

- strengthening the protection of the Union's financial interests;
- aligning the regulation with the rule of law conditionality;
- strengthening public procurement rules to avoid potential conflicts of interest and improve transparency;
- reducing administrative burdens for beneficiaries;
- increasing the efficiency of spending to achieve higher European added value and;
- improving access to EU funding for citizens, SMEs and local and regional authorities.

#### **Democratic accountability**

The number and scope of off-budget instruments have grown considerably over the last decade. The NextGenerationEU instrument has taken them into a new dimension by significantly increasing the volume of the EU's budget in the form of external assigned revenue and by creating liabilities until 2058 through borrowing for lending and borrowing for direct EU expenditure.

As a branch of the budgetary authority, **Parliament considers that it must be able to scrutinise** and, where appropriate, authorise the Commission's use and management of external assigned revenue and its borrowing and lending operations. It proposes that the Financial Regulation be revised to specify that external assigned revenue and the assets and liabilities relating to borrowing and lending operations are included in the EU budget so that they are subject to audit and reporting by the European Court of Auditors and that the budgetary authority and the discharge authority can be duly informed of any suspected cases of misappropriation, corruption, fraud or breach of the rule of law.

#### **Rule of law**

Members recalled that when adopting the **Conditionality Regulation**, Parliament, the Council and the Commission agreed to consider including the content of the Conditionality Regulation in the Financial Regulation upon its next revision. Therefore, they called on the Commission therefore to make that proposal. The Commission should ensure that expenditure from the EU budget is allocated to projects or organisations that respect the values of the Union as set out in Article 2 of the Treaty on European Union.

#### **Digital tools**

In the light of the Pandora Papers, there is an urgent need to ensure transparency for beneficial owners. Members believe that compulsory centralisation of information in a single, interoperable reporting and monitoring system and in a **public EU database**, including information on direct and final beneficiaries of EU funds, would address the lack of transparency and increase public scrutiny and confidence in EU public spending.

The Financial Regulation should include provisions obliging the responsible actors to establish uniform records of economic operators and beneficial owners to allow their identification in all EU programmes, regardless of who implements them and regardless of the management mode (direct, indirect or shared management).

#### **Public procurement**

Members proposed to amend the financial regulation to include a definition of '**professional conflict of interest**' so that EU institutions can adopt mitigating measures against bidders with a financial interest in a policy-related service contract.

The revision of the Financial Regulation should **take into account the EU's autonomous strategic interests**, including fair competition, as well as the need to stimulate EU job creation in a range of industries that are key to achieving the Union's future policy goals while ensuring a level playing field.

The Commission is called on to ensure that ambitious awarding criteria are set, particularly taking into account the Union added value of projects and the principle of the 'most economically advantageous tender'. Safeguards should be included in public procurement rules to evaluate the activities of companies that contradict the **social and environmental objectives** of the Union in the award decision.

Members also insisted that the budget should be gender-sensitive, that climate and biodiversity should be considered and that the Financial Regulation should allow for the proper implementation of the European Pillar of Social Rights.