


Procedure file

Basic information		
COS - Procedure on a strategy paper (historic)	1995/2308(COS)	Procedure completed
Cohesion policy and environment		
Subject 3.70 Environmental policy 5.05 Economic growth		

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible		Rapporteur
	REGI Regional Policy		Appointed 21/03/1996
			PPE HATZIDAKIS Konstantinos
	Committee for opinion		Rapporteur for opinion
	BUDG Budgets		Appointed 19/03/1996
			PSE GHILARDOTTI Fiorella
Council of the European Union	ENVI Environment, Public Health and Consumer Protection		20/03/1996
			GUE/NGL PAPAYANNAKIS Mihail

Key events			
22/11/1995	Non-legislative basic document published	COM(1995)0509	Summary
11/03/1996	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
29/10/1996	Vote in committee		Summary
29/10/1996	Committee report tabled for plenary	A4-0360/1996	
17/02/1997	Debate in Parliament		
18/02/1997	Decision by Parliament	T4-0041/1997	Summary
18/02/1997	End of procedure in Parliament		
17/03/1997	Final act published in Official Journal		

Technical information	
Procedure reference	1995/2308(COS)

Procedure type	COS - Procedure on a strategy paper (historic)
Procedure subtype	Commission strategy paper
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 142
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	REGI/4/07337

Documentation gateway

Non-legislative basic document		COM(1995)0509	22/11/1995	EC	Summary
Committee of the Regions: opinion		CDR0134/1996 OJ C 034 03.02.1997, p. 0022	18/09/1996	CofR	
Economic and Social Committee: opinion, report		CES1072/1996 OJ C 030 30.01.1997, p. 0015	25/09/1996	ESC	Summary
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading		A4-0360/1996 OJ C 380 16.12.1996, p. 0003	29/10/1996	EP	
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading		T4-0041/1997 OJ C 085 17.03.1997, p. 0020-0042	18/02/1997	EP	Summary

Cohesion policy and environment

OBJECTIVE: the Commission communication aims to set out the guidelines likely to increase the synergy between the cohesion policy and the environmental policy when implementing programmes under the Structural Funds and Cohesion Fund. SUBSTANCE: the Commission considers that, within the existing legal framework of the Structural Funds and Cohesion Fund, it has an important role to play in encouraging, screening and coordinating national practices in a climate of partnership. Various options may be chosen to implement this strategy: - dividing the appropriations for the Cohesion Fund equally between transport and the environment; - in terms of programming, stepping up the Commission's efforts to better understand the impact of measures likely to have a direct effect on the environment, and considering new possibilities for pilot projects in the field of the environment; - systematically including the aspect of the environment when monitoring and assessing programmes, in close collaboration with the Member States and, where necessary, the regions involved; - in terms of the selection of projects, drawing up criteria reflecting sustainable economic, social and environmental development and granting the Commission a more active role in preventing infringements of environmental rules; - encouraging dialogue between interested parties, including NGOs working in the field of the environment. Finally, the Commission points out that it agrees with the European Parliament's objective to increase the funds set aside in the budget for the environment. ?

Cohesion policy and environment

The Committee welcomes the Commission communication and makes a number of specific comments concerning, inter alia, improved coordination between the Funds, balance between transport projects and those dealing with the environment, and technical assistance.?

Cohesion policy and environment

Community structural action could prove to be damaging to the environment: this was the main conclusion of the own initiative report by Mr Konstantinos HATZIDAKIS which was adopted by the Committee on Regional Policy. Consequently, the committee strongly recommended that greater importance should be attached to the environmental dimension at each stage of the implementation of the European Union's cohesion policy. Parliament should abide by the principle that the action implemented should be the most beneficial or, at least, the least damaging to the environment. Hence its call for an environmental impact study for each project. In order to ensure that these studies were really useful in protecting the environment, Mr HATZIDAKIS considered that it was essential that they be carried out by independent experts and that their conclusions have legal force. In order to ensure that environmental requirements are complied with during the implementation stage, the committee also called for a group of environmental inspectors to be created at both Community and international level. However, this approach was not enough: it was important to increase funding and the number of purely environmental actions by creating a "Community initiative" specially for the environment within the framework of the Structural Funds. Similarly, the European Union should also respect the requirement to allocate 50% of the funding eligible for each action financed in the transport and environment sectors. For investments in the transport sector, special support should be given to the means of transport least harmful to the environment.?

Cohesion policy and environment

In adopting the report by Mr Konstantinos HATZIDAKIS (EPP, GR) Parliament stated that at every level of the implementation of the Union's

cohesion policy greater importance should be attached to the environmental dimension and that all the activities under the structural funds and the cohesion funds must take into consideration the goal of sustainable development. It considered that efforts should be made to further the integration of the cohesion policy with the CAP with a view to achieving the environmental objectives. The actions to be implemented should be those which are the most beneficial, or, at least, the least damaging for the environment. Parliament therefore called for an environmental impact assessment for each project and the creation of a group of environmental inspectors at Community and international level. It also called for an increase in funding and in the number of purely environmental actions. A special Community initiative should therefore be set up under the structural funds. Similarly the Union should respect the requirement to allocate 50% of the funding eligible for each of the actions financed in the transport and environment sectors. For investments in the transport sector, special support should be given to means of transport less harmful to the environment. Lastly, it stressed that the elected local and regional authorities should participate in the planning, implementing and monitoring of programmes funded by the structural funds and the cohesion fund. ?