

Procedure file

Basic information		
BUD - Budgetary procedure	1995/2329(BUD)	Procedure completed
1995 budget: letter of amendment		
Subject 8.70.60 Previous annual budgets		

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	BUDG Budgets		21/07/1994
		PSE DANKERT Pieter	21/07/1994
		PSE WYNN Terence	
Council of the European Union	Council configuration	Meeting	Date
	General Affairs	1902	31/01/1996

Key events			
11/01/1996	Council draft budget published	SEC(1996)0052	
15/01/1996	Vote in committee		
15/01/1996	Budgetary report tabled for plenary	A4-0003/1996	
16/01/1996	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
18/01/1996	Decision by Parliament	T4-0009/1996	Summary
31/01/1996	Draft budget approved by Council		
31/01/1996	End of procedure in Parliament		
18/03/1996	Final act published in Official Journal		

Technical information	
Procedure reference	1995/2329(BUD)
Procedure type	BUD - Budgetary procedure
Procedure subtype	Budget
Legal basis	Euratom Treaty A 177; ECSC Treaty C 078; EC before Amsterdam E 203
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed

Committee dossier		BUDG/4/07490			
Documentation gateway					
Amending/supplementary letter on draft budget		SEC(1995)1962	22/11/1995	EC	
Council draft budget		SEC(1996)0052	11/01/1996	EC	
Budgetary report tabled for plenary, 1st reading		A4-0003/1996 OJ C 032 05.02.1996, p. 0012	15/01/1996	EP	
Budgetary text adopted by Parliament		T4-0009/1996 OJ C 032 05.02.1996, p. 0069-0079	18/01/1996	EP	Summary
Final act					
Budget 1996/200 OJ L 067 18.03.1996, p. 0001					

1995 budget: letter of amendment

In adopting the report by Mr WYNN (PSE, UK) and Mr DANKERT (PSE,NL), the European Parliament approved the substance of the letter of amendment without amendments or modifications, and took the view that all the conditions would be in place for concluding the budgetary procedure once the Council had adopted, without alteration, the Commission's letter of amendment. It also noted that the new amounts proposed by the Commission for certain headings corresponded to actual outturn under those headings. It further observed that the rate of implementation for those headings was close to the forecasts entered by means of adjustments at second reading. It noted that deletion of remarks as proposed by the Commission was acceptable to the extent that this solution, laid down at the trialogue meeting of 21 December 1995, was entirely without prejudice to the specific views of the individual institutions. It also took the view that the decision by the Court of Justice now required the Council to agree to a debate on the problem of classification and that the outcome of the interinstitutional discussions should enable an agreement to be reached in the first half of 1996, thereby ensuring that the 1997 budgetary procedure could run smoothly. ?