Procedure file

Basic information	
COD - Ordinary legislative procedure (ex-codecision 1995/0282(COD) procedure) Directive	Procedure completed
General authorizations and individual licences in the field of telecommunications services (common framework)	
Subject 3.30.03 Telecommunications, data transmission, telephone	

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	ECON Economic and Monetary Affairs, Industrial		18/12/1995
	Policy	PPE VAN VELZEN W.G.	
	Former committee responsible		
	ECON Economic and Monetary Affairs, Industrial		18/12/1995
	Policy	PPE <u>VAN VELZEN W.G.</u>	
	Former committee for opinion		
	BUDG Budgets		
	ENER Research, Technological Development and		16/01/1996
	Energy	EDN DE GAULLE Charles	
	JURI Legal Affairs, Citizens' Rights	The committee decided not to give an opinion.	
	TRAN Transport and Tourism		26/03/1996
		GUE/NGL ERIKSSON Marianne	
Council of the European Union	Council configuration	Meeting	Date
	Telecommunications	1991	06/03/1997
	Environment	1978	09/12/1996
	Telecommunications	1949	27/09/1996
	Telecommunications	1941	27/06/1996
	Telecommunications	1888	27/11/1995

Key events			
14/11/1995	Legislative proposal published	COM(1995)0545	Summary
27/11/1995	Debate in Council	1888	
	Committee referral announced in		

25/04/1996 Vote in committee, 1st reading Summary 25/04/1996 Committee report tabled for plenary, 1st reading A4-0142/1996 21/05/1996 Debate in Parliament Summary 22/05/1996 Decision by Parliament, 1st reading T4-0242/1996 Summary 27/06/1996 Debate in Council 1941 Summary 31/07/1996 Modified legislative proposal published COM(1996)0342 Summary 06/12/1996 Council position published 10933/1/1996 Summary 12/12/1996 Committee referral announced in Parliament, 2nd reading Summary 17/02/1997 Vote in committee, 2nd reading Summary 17/02/1997 Committee recommendation tabled for plenary, 2nd reading A4-0051/1997 Summary 20/02/1997 Debate in Parliament Summary Summary 06/03/1997 Act approved by Council, 2nd reading T4-0051/1997 Summary 10/04/1997 Final act signed End of procedure in Parliament End of procedure in Parliament	16/02/1996	Parliament, 1st reading		
Parliament Par	25/04/1996	Vote in committee, 1st reading		Summary
22/05/1996 Decision by Parliament, 1st reading T4-0242/1996 Summary 27/06/1996 Debate in Council 1941 31/07/1996 Modified legislative proposal published COM(1996)0342 Summary 06/12/1996 Council position published 10933/1/1996 Summary 12/12/1996 Committee referral announced in Parliament, 2nd reading Summary 17/02/1997 Vote in committee, 2nd reading Summary 20/02/1997 Debate in Parliament 20/02/1997 Decision by Parliament, 2nd reading T4-0051/1997 Summary 06/03/1997 Act approved by Council, 2nd reading Final act signed	25/04/1996		A4-0142/1996	
27/06/1996 Debate in Council 1941 31/07/1996 Modified legislative proposal published COM(1996)0342 Summary 06/12/1996 Council position published 10933/1/1996 Summary 12/12/1996 Committee referral announced in Parliament, 2nd reading Summary 17/02/1997 Vote in committee, 2nd reading Summary 17/02/1997 Committee recommendation tabled for plenary, 2nd reading A4-0051/1997 20/02/1997 Debate in Parliament Summary 20/02/1997 Decision by Parliament, 2nd reading T4-0051/1997 Summary 06/03/1997 Act approved by Council, 2nd reading T4-0051/1997 Table (approved by Council, 2nd reading) 10/04/1997 Final act signed Table (approved by Council, 2nd reading) Table (approved by Council, 2nd reading)	21/05/1996	Debate in Parliament	-	Summary
31/07/1996 Modified legislative proposal published COM(1996)0342 Summary 06/12/1996 Council position published 10933/1/1996 Summary 12/12/1996 Committee referral announced in Parliament, 2nd reading Summary 17/02/1997 Vote in committee, 2nd reading Summary 17/02/1997 Committee recommendation tabled for plenary, 2nd reading A4-0051/1997 20/02/1997 Debate in Parliament Summary 20/02/1997 Decision by Parliament, 2nd reading T4-0051/1997 Summary 06/03/1997 Act approved by Council, 2nd reading 10/04/1997 Final act signed	22/05/1996	Decision by Parliament, 1st reading	T4-0242/1996	Summary
Council position published 12/12/1996 Committee referral announced in Parliament, 2nd reading 17/02/1997 Vote in committee, 2nd reading Summary 17/02/1997 Committee recommendation tabled for plenary, 2nd reading 20/02/1997 Debate in Parliament Decision by Parliament, 2nd reading T4-0051/1997 Summary 06/03/1997 Act approved by Council, 2nd reading 10/04/1997 Final act signed	27/06/1996	Debate in Council	<u>1941</u>	
12/12/1996 Committee referral announced in Parliament, 2nd reading 17/02/1997 Vote in committee, 2nd reading Summary 17/02/1997 Committee recommendation tabled for plenary, 2nd reading 20/02/1997 Debate in Parliament Decision by Parliament, 2nd reading T4-0051/1997 Summary 06/03/1997 Act approved by Council, 2nd reading 10/04/1997 Final act signed	31/07/1996	Modified legislative proposal published	COM(1996)0342	Summary
Parliament, 2nd reading 17/02/1997 Vote in committee, 2nd reading 17/02/1997 Committee recommendation tabled for plenary, 2nd reading 20/02/1997 Debate in Parliament Decision by Parliament, 2nd reading T4-0051/1997 Summary 06/03/1997 Act approved by Council, 2nd reading 10/04/1997 Final act signed	06/12/1996	Council position published	10933/1/1996	Summary
17/02/1997 Committee recommendation tabled for plenary, 2nd reading 20/02/1997 Debate in Parliament 20/02/1997 Decision by Parliament, 2nd reading T4-0051/1997 Summary 06/03/1997 Act approved by Council, 2nd reading 10/04/1997 Final act signed	12/12/1996			
plenary, 2nd reading 20/02/1997 Debate in Parliament Decision by Parliament, 2nd reading T4-0051/1997 Summary 06/03/1997 Act approved by Council, 2nd reading 10/04/1997 Final act signed	17/02/1997	Vote in committee, 2nd reading		Summary
20/02/1997 Decision by Parliament, 2nd reading T4-0051/1997 Summary 06/03/1997 Act approved by Council, 2nd reading 10/04/1997 Final act signed	17/02/1997		<u>A4-0051/1997</u>	
06/03/1997 Act approved by Council, 2nd reading 10/04/1997 Final act signed	20/02/1997	Debate in Parliament	-	Summary
10/04/1997 Final act signed	20/02/1997	Decision by Parliament, 2nd reading	T4-0051/1997	Summary
	06/03/1997	Act approved by Council, 2nd reading		
10/04/1997 End of procedure in Parliament	10/04/1997	Final act signed		
	10/04/1997	End of procedure in Parliament		
07/05/1997 Final act published in Official Journal	07/05/1997	Final act published in Official Journal		

Technical information	
Procedure reference	1995/0282(COD)
Procedure type	COD - Ordinary legislative procedure (ex-codecision procedure)
Procedure subtype	Legislation
Legislative instrument	Directive
Legal basis	EC before Amsterdam E 100A; EC before Amsterdam E 066; EC before Amsterdam E 057-p2
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	ECON/4/08502

Documentation gateway				
Legislative proposal	COM(1995)0545 OJ C 090 27.03.1996, p. 0005	14/11/1995	EC	Summary
Economic and Social Committee: opinion, report	CES0530/1996 OJ C 204 15.07.1996, p. 0017	24/04/1996	ESC	Summary
Committee report tabled for plenary, 1st reading/single reading	A4-0142/1996 OJ C 152 27.05.1996, p. 0004	25/04/1996	EP	
Text adopted by Parliament, 1st reading/single reading	T4-0242/1996 OJ C 166 10.06.1996, p. 0055-0078	22/05/1996	EP	Summary

Modified legislative proposal	COM(1996)0342 OJ C 291 04.10.1996, p. 0012	31/07/1996	EC	Summary
Council position	10933/1/1996 OJ C 041 10.02.1997, p. 0048	06/12/1996	CSL	Summary
Commission communication on Council's position	SEC(1996)2250	09/12/1996	EC	
Committee recommendation tabled for plenary, 2nd reading	A4-0051/1997 OJ C 085 17.03.1997, p. 0014	17/02/1997	EP	
Text adopted by Parliament, 2nd reading	T4-0051/1997 OJ C 085 17.03.1997, p. 0099-0110	20/02/1997	EP	Summary
Commission opinion on Parliament's position at 2nd reading	COM(1997)0100	03/03/1997	EC	Summary

Additional information

European Commission EUR-Lex

Final act

<u>Directive 1997/13</u> OJ L 117 07.05.1997, p. 0015 Summary

General authorizations and individual licences in the field of telecommunications services (common framework)

OBJECTIVE: to complete the regulatory framework with a view to the complete liberalisation of the telecommunications sector in 1998, by proposing a common framework for the granting of general authorisations and licences. SUBSTANCE: the proposal for a directive is designed to supplement Directive 90/388/EEC and lays down the rules to be respected at national level when granting authorisations in the field of telecommunications services. The Member States will not be required to impose an authorisation system, but those who do so will have to comply with the following principles: - they may only limit the number of new operators to the extent required to ensure the efficient use of radio frequencies; - priority must be given to the general authorisations system over that for individual licences, as the latter are only required if the beneficiary wishes to access scarce resources (radio frequencies, numbers, public or private land); - procedures must be open, transparent and non-discriminatory, with priority given to the least restrictive system and the possibility of appeal; - the procedures for granting authorisation and the conditions involved must be harmonised; - cross-border services must be respected. ?

General authorizations and individual licences in the field of telecommunications services (common framework)

The Economic and Social Committee welcomes the Commission proposal and restates its view that licence award procedures should be as streamlined and straightforward as possible. The Committee believes that general and individual authorization procedures must comply with public interest and public service objectives, and it supports the possibility of introducing specific conditions which may attach to authorizations granted to operators wishing to access public networks. In any case, the categories of rights and obligations for authorizations must be balanced, without unnecessary obligations being imposed on new market operators.?

General authorizations and individual licences in the field of telecommunications services (common framework)

The Committee on Economic and Monetary Affairs adopted the report by Mr W G VAN VELZEN. As of 1 January 1998, the telecommunications market in the European Union would be largely open in most Member States. In order to create a sound competitive environment, a clear European regulatory framework needed to be established. The aim of the directive was to establish common principles governing the systems for granting general authorisations and individual licences in the Member States in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity. The amendments adopted by the Committee on Economic and Monetary Affairs related to: - the scope of the directive (Amendments Nos 6 and 8): the directive related to the granting of authorisations for the provision of services and also the establishment and/or operation of infrastructures needed to provide these services. Radio and television broadcasting was expressly excluded from the scope of the directive; - regulatory authorities: the committee proposed establishing a European Regulatory Authority which would carry out the tasks currently the responsibility of the Commission and the national authorities more effectively (Amendment No 5); - universal service: the Committee on Economic and Monetary Affairs excluded the possibility of sheltering behind specific national conditions in order to limit its

scope (Amendment No 9). Respect for public service requirements concerned, in particular, the minimum geographical coverage (Amendment No 44); - conditions for authorisation: the committee called for the widest possible dissemination of information at European level through the national official gazette of the Member State concerned and the Official Journal of the European Communities (Amendments Nos 10 and 11). Amendment No 12 set out the grounds on which Member States could request individual licences. The committee also provided further clarification of the conditions, timetables and appeals involved with regard to individual licences (Amendments Nos 13, 14, 15 and 16). It highlighted that the limitation on the number of individual licences, under certain conditions, also applied to the establishment and/or operation of infrastructures. The committee called on the Member States to periodically review whether any opportunities existed to increase the availability of frequencies (Amendment No 18). They should inform the Commission of the situation every two years; - timetables: the Committee on Economic and Monetary Affairs proposed that the timetable for both the implementation of the directive and the harmonisation of the conditions linked to general authorisation should be shortened. During this transitional period, the committee hoped that the Commission would examine "at any time" any condition, criteria or procedure set out in a national authorisation (Amendment No 27). ?

General authorizations and individual licences in the field of telecommunications services (common framework)

The rapporteur, Mr VAN VELZEN (EPP, NL), called for the setting-up of a European framework regulation, since the Community telecommunications market would be largely opened up in the majority of Member States by 1 January 1998. After this date common rules would apply to all telecommunications services and infrastructures. The rapporteur wanted to see the authorisation schemes include rules for both telecommunications services and infrastructures, but he regretted that the deadlines proposed by the Commission were set so far ahead. Mr van Velzen was also keen to see a European regulatory authority that would more effectively discharge the supervisory functions entrusted to the Commission and to the national authorities. Finally, he wanted to see the conditions accompanying the general authorisations being published in the official journal of the Member States as well as in the Official Journal of the European Communities. Commissioner Bangemann declared that the current regulations did not prohibit the implementation of a set of rules for the Community telecommunications sector. It was not a matter of codifying all the different sets of legislation but rather of drawing up a framework legislation that would incorporate the main principles (universal service, competition, etc.) and in which each directive, along with any amendments that might prove necessary, would find its own place. Mr Bangemann said that he supported the creation of a European body that would be responsible for taking the most important practical decisions. Finally, he declared that he was unable to accept those amendments that might cause confusion because they were inconsistent with the text put forward by the Commission; the amendments rejected included Amendments Nos 1, 2, 8, 10, 26 and 27.

General authorizations and individual licences in the field of telecommunications services (common framework)

The European Parliament adopted the report by Mr W.G. VAN VELZEN (PPE, NL) on the proposal for a Directive on a common framework for general authorizations and individual licences in the field of telecommunications services. The amendments adopted by Parliament concern: the scope of the directive: the directive concerns the granting of authorizations for the provision of services but also the establishment and/or operation of the infrastructure needed for the provision of such services. Radio and television broadcasting are expressly excluded from the scope of the directive; - the regulatory authorities: the Member States must guarantee the independence of the national regulatory authorities by complying with the following two conditions: a) they must be legally separate from and functionally independent of all organizations supplying telecommunications networks, equipment and/or services and in possession of the necessary resources to fulfil their duties in full autonomy; b) Member States which maintain ownership of organizations which supply telecommunications networks, equipment or services must guarantee an effective structural separation between the regulatory functions and the activities associated with exercising rights of ownership and activities of control. Finally, the European Parliament considered it reasonable to provide that, when this Directive is reviewed, the possibility of the creation of a European regulatory authority should be considered; - universal service: Parliament excluded the possibility of sheltering behind specific national conditions in order to limit its scope. The obligation of contributing to the financing of the universal service was not a justification for imposing individual licences. The European Parliament emphasized compliance with substantive obligations concerning coverage of low population areas; - conditions for authorization: Parliament called for the widest possible dissemination of information at European level through the national official gazette of the Member State concerned and the Official Journal of the European Communities. It specified the purposes for which the Member States may require individual licences as well as the requirements, time limits and appeal procedures with regard to individual licences. It proposed that, under certain conditions, limits on the number of individual licences should also involve the establishment and/or operation of telecommunications infrastructure. It called on the Member States periodically to review whether the availability of frequencies should be increased; - time limits: Parliament proposed bringing forward the deadlines both for the implementation of the Directive (1 July 1998) and for harmonizing the conditions attached to general authorizations (1 January 2000). It called for the Commission, during the transitional period, to be authorized to examine "at any time" any conditions, criteria and/or procedures set out in a national authorization.?

General authorizations and individual licences in the field of telecommunications services (common framework)

The amended proposal for a Directive on a common framework for general authorizations and individual licences in the field of telecommunications services incorporates, in full or in part, 26 of the 37 amendments adopted by Parliament at first reading. The Commission accepted those amendments which: - increase the transparency of the proposed regulatory framework for authorization; - improve the clarity of the text, in particular with regard to its scope; - offer better guarantees to new entrants; - introduce flexibility in the procedures for the granting of authorizations and the conditions attached to authorizations; - support the need to review in the future the balance between regulation at the European level and at the national level, including the possibility of a European regulatory authority for telecommunications after 1998. Amendments not accepted by the Commission concern the following points: - national regulatory authorities; - limitation of the facilitation of entry by new operators; - consistency with the proposed Directive on interconnection (reference to number portability); - fees not related to administrative costs; - anticipation of deadlines; - committology; - research and training conditions in licences; - individual licences for telephony

General authorizations and individual licences in the field of telecommunications services (common framework)

The Council adopted its common position concerning the Directive on a common framework for general authorizations and individual licences in the field of telecommunications services. With regard to Parliament's amendments, in numerous instances the Council endorsed the Commission position as put forward in its modified proposal. The Council also introduced changes of substance to the Commission proposal. The main changes are as follows: - scope: a new provision was added in order to clarify the relationship with other national regulations or measures; - general authorizations: no information was provided in particular as regards definition; - individual licences: the common position lists the only reasons justifying the issue of individual licences. Nevertheless, it provides that the provision of voice telephony services, the establishment and provision of public telecommunications networks as well as other networks involving the use of radio frequencies could be subject to individual licences given that provision was made for a review of these arrangements. A number of individual licences could also be limited during the time needed to bring into service sufficient numbers in accordance with Community law. A series of clarifications was also added as regards the relationship with general authorizations, amendment of conditions, the procedures applicable - notably as regards time limits and in the event of suspension or withdrawal - and the conditions which may be attached to them; - harmonization: the Council thought Members States' national authorities were perfectly capable of deciding on the granting of individual licences and the definition of the level of charges, and it did not therefore provide for the harmonization of these aspects; - one-stop-shopping procedure: the body considered for the implementation of this procedure, namely CEPT/ECTRA-ERC, is expressly mentioned and the procedure is extended to the notification procedures covered by general authorizations; - a 'Licencing Committee' composed of the representatives of the Member States will be responsible for implementing the Directive. Among the amendments not accepted by the common position were those concerning references to the possibility of establishing a regulatory authority, to the numbering plans and to the portability of numbers. ?

General authorizations and individual licences in the field of telecommunications services (common framework)

Rapporteur Mr Wim VAN VELZEN (NL, EPP) saw his draft recommendation for second reading adopted on the directive on access to networks via ONP, and in particular the granting of licences and the selection of criteria. With the deadline for full liberalisation (1 January 1998) coming closer every week, the rapporteur asks the House to adopt his report this week. In order to avoid further delays, he has tabled only 6 major amendments and 2 additional amendments, which were all adopted unanimously in EMAC: - Amendment 2 on the scope of the directive on general authorizations; - Amendment 3 on the procedures for individual licences; - Amendment 6 on making the provision of new services for the information society easier. A service provider can start providing a new service after six weeks unless clear reasons for rejection exist. This amendment introduces an appeal procedure. Mr VAN VELZEN thinks this amendment is essential for the development of the information society, as it provides a clear balance between the possibility for operators to start a new service and the legitimate regulatory concerns regulators might have to address. If the House gives the green light to this report later this week, it would be possible for the Telecommunications Council In March to deal with the licences directive and possibly to finish it. The EP amendments help to create a clear and predictable licences environment. Clear time limits are set out in the directive and the above mentioned appeal procedures must be introduced on the basis of this legislation in all member states. ?

General authorizations and individual licences in the field of telecommunications services (common framework)

The rapporteur considered that general licences should be favoured over individual licences. Against this background, he called for the price for licences not to be set too high and stressed that licensing conditions should be harmonised. While confirming that he would prefer a restricted directive to a blockage at second reading, he re-tabled six amendments in which he called for the directive?s scope to be extended to general licences. Commissioner Bangemann stated that setting up an independent telecommunications regulatory authority was premature as, in Council, not one Member State had accepted such an initiative. In his opinion, it was, however, now time to set up an informal group consisting of representatives of the Member State regulatory authorities, along the lines of the European Medicines Agency which sat in London. The rapporteur also asked Mr Bangemann to provide Parliament with the results of a study on the added value of setting up a European regulatory authority. The Commissioner promised to do this by the end of February. He also announced the organisation of a workshop on this subject, the summary of which would be given to Parliament. Finally, Mr Bangemann said that the Commission accepted all Parliament?s amendments.

General authorizations and individual licences in the field of telecommunications services (common framework)

In adopting the recommendation for second reading by Mr W. G. van VELZEN (EPP, NL) Parliament amended the Council's common position on the directive on a common framework for general authorizations and individual licences in the field of telecommunications services. With its amendments Parliament wishes to facilitate the provision of new services in the information society by allowing a service provider to start to provide a new service after six weeks where there are no clear reasons for rejecting his demand. It also requires the Member States to introduce a procedure for appeals to an institution independent of the national regulatory authority against refusals to adopt provisional or definitive conditions, rejections of applications or refusals to consent to the provision of the service concerned without authorization. Lastly it asks that the Commission, in the report which it is to present in 2000, make legislative proposals with a view to establishing a telecommunications committee responsible for coordinating all activities in this sector.?

General authorizations and individual licences in the field of telecommunications services (common framework)

The Commission accepted without modification the 6 amendments adopted by Parliament at second reading. It considered, in particular, that these amendments: - were based on matters and principles of importance which would need to be taken into account within the framework of any future Community initiatives when the directive was reviewed on 1 January 2000; - helped to clarify the scope of individual licences; - gave companies wishing to obtain a licence additional guarantees in the essential field of new services; - helped to clarify the Annex.?

General authorizations and individual licences in the field of telecommunications services (common framework)

OBJECTIVE: to complete the regulatory framework with a view to the complete liberalization of telecommunications in 1998, by establishing a common framework for the granting of general authorizations and licences. COMMUNITY MEASURE: Directive 97/13/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on a common framework for general authorizations and individual licences in the field of telecommunications services. SUBSTANCE: the Directive lays down common rules to be applied by the Member States regarding procedures and conditions for providing telecommunications services when new entrants seek access to the market for them. Its main provisions are as follows: - Member States are to ensure that telecommunications services and/or networks can be provided either without authorization or on the basis of a general authorization, supplemented where appropriate by rights and obligations necessitating individual licensing. Individual licences may be issued only to allow the licensee access to radio frequencies or numbers, to give him particular rights or impose certain obligations, which are exhaustively listed (e.g. provision of universal services). - Member States are permitted to limit the number of individual licences for a category of telecommunications services and for the establishment and/or operation of telecommunications infrastructure only to the extent necessary to ensure the efficient use of frequencies or for as long as is necessary to make sufficient numbers available. - All conditions attached to licences must be objectively justified in the light of the service concerned, non-discriminatory, proportionate and transparent. Similar criteria apply to fees and charges payable by businesses in connection with licensing procedures. The conditions in question, which are listed in the annex to the Directive, are designed to ensure that essential relevant requirements are complied with (e.g. secure operation of the network, data protection, environment and town-planning objectives, prevention of interference, etc.). The conditions concerning individual licences are likewise listed in the annex. - In order to facilitate the provision of new services, the Directive lays down that a provider of new services may start to operate after 6 weeks, unless there are clearcut reasons why his application should be rejected. If it is rejected, there is provision for appeal. - Lastly, to facilitate the provision of telecommunications services throughout the Community, a one-stop shopping procedure is to be established. A licensing committee consisting of representatives of the Member States will help to implement the Directive. DATE OF ENTRY INTO FORCE: 27/05/1997 DEADLINE FOR TRANSPOSITION: 31/12/1997?