


# Procedure file

Basic information	
COS - Procedure on a strategy paper (historic) <a href="#">1996/2081(COS)</a>	Procedure completed
EAGGF: discharge for clearance of 1992 EAGGF accounts	
Subject 3.10.13 European Agricultural Guidance and Guarantee Fund, EAGGF and EAGF	

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	<b>CONT</b> Budgetary Control	ELDR <a href="#">MULDER Jan</a>	28/05/1996
Council of the European Union			

Key events			
10/04/1996	Non-legislative basic document published	N4-0259/1996	Summary
20/05/1996	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
06/02/1997	Vote in committee		Summary
06/02/1997	Committee report tabled for plenary	<a href="#">A4-0043/1997</a>	
21/02/1997	Debate in Parliament		
21/02/1997	Decision by Parliament	T4-0076/1997	Summary
21/02/1997	End of procedure in Parliament		
17/03/1997	Final act published in Official Journal		

Technical information	
Procedure reference	1996/2081(COS)
Procedure type	COS - Procedure on a strategy paper (historic)
Procedure subtype	Commission strategy paper
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 142
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	CONT/4/07821

Documentation gateway					
Non-legislative basic document		N4-0259/1996	10/04/1996	EC	Summary
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading		<a href="#">A4-0043/1997</a> <a href="#">OJ C 085 17.03.1997, p. 0005</a>	06/02/1997	EP	
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading		T4-0076/1997 <a href="#">OJ C 085 17.03.1997, p. 0173-0184</a>	21/02/1997	EP	Summary
Non-legislative basic document		RCC0001/1997	10/04/1997	CofA	

## EAGGF: discharge for clearance of 1992 EAGGF accounts

In January 1997, Mr Martin ENGWIRDA, Member of the Court of Auditors, submitted to Parliament's Committee on Budgetary Control the special report No 1/ 97 on the Commission decisions on the clearance of EAGGF accounts for 1992. The report was drawn up at Parliament's request and serves as a basis for its decision on the discharge to be granted to the Commission. The latter is required to clear the annual accounts submitted by the Member States for expenditure incurred by them and funded from the EAGGF Guarantee Section and must ensure that only operations which have been carried out in a regular manner are financed by the Community budget. The Commission decisions dealt with in this special report, were adopted in April and November 1996 and entailed corrections in the amount of expenditure for the different sectors of almost ECU 900m (895.1m). The Court stresses the inadequacy of the resources allocated by the Commission to its inspections, particular as regard staff, and stresses the considerable delays by the Commission in notifying the Member States of the results of its inspections. The Courts main criticism concerns the fact that 37.5% of the corrections made in the clearance for 1992, i.e., a sum of ECU 336m, were implemented using the flat-rate method and this practice should be restricted in line with the conclusions of Parliament's discharge resolution for 1991. In addition, the Court considers that the application of the conciliation procedure for the first time in 1996 led to further delays in clearance, even though use of the procedure was deemed to be a 'positive development'. The Court also condemns the Commission's practice of reducing the size of the corrections when it considers that Member States are taking steps to improve their procedures and correct the shortcomings in their management. ?

## EAGGF: discharge for clearance of 1992 EAGGF accounts

Adopting the resolution by Jan MULDER (ELDR, NL), the committee (chairman: Diemut THEATO (PPE, D)) adjourned its decision to give discharge to the clearance of the EAGGF accounts for 1992 until such time as the European Commission increased the number of officials in charge of this mission (+ 15 posts by transfer). The decision to adjourn, which will be tabled before the plenary in Strasbourg in February, also calls on the Commission to finalize and clearly define the rules and criteria applied in order to calculate flat-rate corrections. The Committee on Budgetary Control called for the addition of a fourth correction rate of 25% of the sum received by the Member State in question (the current rates are 2%, 5% and 10%). The European Commission has stated that it is willing to make the necessary changes. In addition to the financial correction applied to Ireland for beef (ECU 30.3 million), the Committee on Budgetary Control also criticized the Commission decision to reduce the correction applicable to Greece for the cotton industry, where controls were clearly insufficient, by ECU 96.2 million. It called on the Commission to make provision for an appropriate financial correction for Greek cotton when the 1993 accounts were cleared. Finally, the Committee on Budgetary Control insisted that the amount of the corrections should be geared solely to the amount of the loss to the Community budget and warned the European Commission to end its practice of "negotiating" the amount of the financial correction on the basis of the efforts expected by Member States to counteract their shortcomings as regards checks or risk being refused the discharge.?

## EAGGF: discharge for clearance of 1992 EAGGF accounts

In adopting the interim report by Mr Jan MULDER (ELDR, NL) on the clearance of the EAGGF accounts for 1992 Parliament condemned two Commission decisions: - the first concerned its disregard of the financial controller's refusal to give his approval and to the reduction by ECU 30.3 million of the amount of the financial correction relating to the public storage of beef in Ireland; - the second, in the case of production aid for cotton in Greece, provided for the reduction from 25 to 10% of the rate of correction for 1991 and 1992 and the reduction by some ECU 96.2 million of the financial correction. While refraining for overriding reasons in the interests of legal certainty from calling on the Commission to revise its decisions Parliament therefore deferred its decision giving a discharge until the Commission: - had strengthened the staff of the unit responsible for the clearance of accounts by 15 posts (through transfers) as had already been requested in its resolution of 17 April 1996, - (the Commission having added to the current rates of 2%, 5% and 10% a fourth rate of 25% in its rules on the calculation of flat-rate corrections) had clarified the criteria relating to the application of these rates and made it clear that the amount of the corrections must be geared solely to the amount of the loss to the Community budget. Parliament also addressed a warning to the Commission which, at the risk of being refused the discharge with the associated political implications, should in future end its practice of negotiating the amount of the financial corrections on the basis of the efforts expected by the Member States to counteract their shortcomings as regards checks. ?