# Procedure file

Basic information		
DEC - Discharge procedure	1997/2018(DEC)	Procedure completed
1995 discharge: EDF		
Subject 8.70.03.07 Previous discharges		

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	CONT Budgetary Control		22/01/1997
		PSE BÖSCH Herbert	
	Committee for opinion	Rapporteur for opinion	Appointed
	DEVE Development and Cooperation		30/10/1996
		PSE KINNOCK Glenys	

Key events			
24/01/1997	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
19/03/1997	Vote in committee		Summary
19/03/1997	Committee report tabled for plenary	<u>A4-0121/1997</u>	
09/04/1997	Debate in Parliament	-	
10/04/1997	Decision by Parliament	T4-0160/1997	Summary
10/04/1997	End of procedure in Parliament		
19/06/1997	Final act published in Official Journal		

Technical information		
Procedure reference	1997/2018(DEC)	
Procedure type	DEC - Discharge procedure	
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 100	
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed	
Committee dossier	CONT/4/08582	

## Documentation gateway

Document attached to the procedure	SEC(1996)0989	31/05/1996	EC	
Court of Auditors: opinion, report	N4-0109/1997 OJ C 395 31.12.1996, p. 0087	28/10/1996	CofA	Summary
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading	<u>A4-0121/1997</u> OJ C 132 28.04.1997, p. 0016	19/03/1997	EP	
Document attached to the procedure	06292/1997	26/03/1997	CSL	
Document attached to the procedure	06293/1997	26/03/1997	CSL	
Document attached to the procedure	N4-0154/1997	07/04/1997	CSL	
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading	T4-0160/1997 OJ C 132 28.04.1997, p. 0124-0155	10/04/1997	EP	Summary
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading	T4-0161/1997 OJ C 132 28.04.1997, p. 0124-0155	10/04/1997	EP	
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading	T4-0162/1997 OJ C 132 28.04.1997, p. 0124-0155	10/04/1997	EP	

#### Final act

<u>Decision 1997/385</u> OJ L 162 19.06.1997, p. 0046

Decision 1997/384 OJ L 162 19.06.1997, p. 0044

#### 1995 discharge: EDF

In its statement of assurance concerning the activities of the sixth and seventh European Development Funds for the financial year to 31 December 1995, the Court of Auditors has given the budgetary authority an assurance as to the reliability of the accounts and the legality and regularity of the underlying transactions. Having examined the accounts, it appears that: - with regard to the reliability of the accounts: despite the improvements introduced by the Commission, the accounts are still affected to a significant extent by the unsuitability of certain accounting methods and practices, in particular: . the value of primary commitments did not reflect the economic reality of operations, . certain sums shown as advances for scholarships and technical assistance constitute expenditure under verification, not assets; . posting errors that remained uncorrected at the closure affected the general evaluation of the rest of the commitments unsettled etc. - with regard to the legality/regularity of the underlying transactions: the most likely amount of substantial legality/regularity errors affecting the year's payments was estimated at ECU 32.6 million (2.1% of total payments). At the same time, the Court noted expenditure of ECU 6 million for which there were no supporting documents and other legality/regularity errors affecting payments linked to structural adjustment, Stabex or the EIB. Having said which, the Court felt that its audit had provided it with reasonable assurance with respect to the financial statements for the 1995 financial year and that the accounts were accurate, subject to the facts mentioned in its report, although it was clear that the presentation of the EDF's financial situation, and of the capital available for re-use in particular, needed to be improved. The Court also felt that it had obtained reasonable assurance that the transactions underlying the year's revenue, commitments and payments were legal and regular, subject to the formal errors already noted in the area of Stabex, structural adjustment and the operations managed by the EIB, which the Court felt were mainly due to the nature of the legal framework which, in part, is unsuitable for the actual circumstances under which transactions are effected and decisions taken.?

#### 1995 discharge: EDF

The committee has unanimously adopted the report by Herbert BÖSCH (PES, D). It has also noted the Commission's efforts at the IGC to find a satisfactory solution as regards inclusion of the EDF in the budget.?

### 1995 discharge: EDF

The European Parliament adopted the report by Mr Herbert BOSCH (PSE, D) on giving discharge to the Commission in respect of the financial management of the sixth and seventh European Development Funds (EDF) for the 1995 financial year. However, it called on the Commission in the resolution accompanying the discharge decision to present specific budget proposals for the EDF by the end of 1997 during forthcoming negotiations on the revision of the financial perspectives. It noted in this respect that the democratic scrutiny which it is required to exercise in

this context is still invalidated by the legal framework of the EDF. However, it welcomes the statement of assurance issued by the Court of Auditors, which demonstrates that important progress has been made in the management of the EDF in comparison with 1994. As far as the budgetary implementation itself is concerned, it again calls upon the Commission to introduce provisions allowing appropriations for indicative national or regional programmes not yet used to be reallocated to non-programmable aid programmes. As far as structural adjustment is concerned, it called on the Commission to formulate clearer and more realistic conditions for granting aid so that there is less aid. Above all, it drew attention to the fact that aid for structural adjustment should be conditional upon respect for democracy in the beneficiary country and that Community action should aim first and foremost to mitigate the social fall out of structural reform. Efforts should also be made to give priority to the most disadvantaged categories in these countries. At the same time, the European Parliament called on the Commission not to confine itself to funding aid but also to supervise aid up to implementation.