# Procedure file

# Basic information COS - Procedure on a strategy paper (historic) 1997/2057(COS) European Monetary Institute EMI. 3rd annual 1996 Report Subject 5.20.01 Coordination of monetary policies, European Monetary Institute (EMI), Economic and Monetary Union (EMU)

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	Econ Economic and Monetary Affairs, Industrial Policy		23/01/1997
	,	UPE MEZZAROMA Roberto	
Council of the European Unic	n		

Key events			
10/04/1997	Non-legislative basic document published	Non-legislative basic document published N4-0175/1997	
23/04/1997	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
22/05/1997	Vote in committee		Summary
22/05/1997	Committee report tabled for plenary	A4-0194/1997	
10/06/1997	Debate in Parliament	-	
10/06/1997	Decision by Parliament	T4-0284/1997	Summary
10/06/1997	End of procedure in Parliament		
30/06/1997	Final act published in Official Journal		

Technical information	
Procedure reference	1997/2057(COS)
Procedure type	COS - Procedure on a strategy paper (historic)
Procedure subtype	Commission strategy paper
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 142
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	ECON/4/08795

Documentation gateway				
Non-legislative basic document	N4-0175/1997	10/04/1997	ECB	Summary
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading	A4-0194/1997 OJ C 200 30.06.1997, p. 0004	22/05/1997	EP	
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading	T4-0284/1997 OJ C 200 30.06.1997, p. 0017-0032	10/06/1997	EP	Summary

### European Monetary Institute EMI. 3rd annual 1996 Report

OBJECTIVE: presentation of the 1996 annual report of the European Monetary Institute (EMI). SUBSTANCE: the report describes the economic, monetary and financial situation of the Community up to the end of 1996 and the activities of the EMI; it also presents the Institute's annual accounts. To a significant extent, the material presented in this year's report draws on earlier, more extensive EMI publications, particularly the reports entitled 'Progress towards convergence 1996' and 'The single monetary policy in Stage III - specification of the operational framework' (see COS0519). - After analysing the economic and financial background in 1996, the EMI points out that, even though the economic outlook for 1997 is more favourable, the need for structural adjustment persists. In general, more and durable progress is needed to ensure sustainable fiscal positions. In particular, the EMI stresses the link between sustainable fiscal adjustment and an improvement of growth perspectives over a medium term. Further progress will also contribute to sustaining exchange rate stability and the convergence of bond yields. As regards budgetary policies, the EMI stresses that it must be kept in mind that the necessary strict compliance with the fiscal criteria also implies ensuring the sustainability of government financial positions as a precondition for the adoption of the single currency. It also points out that exchange rate stability remains an element in judging the degree of sustainable convergence both before and after 1999. - As regards the preparatory work for Stage III, the EMI believes that it made further progress in 1996 in preparing the ground for the European System of Central Banks (ESCB) to be able to perform its tasks from the start of Stage III of the single monetary policy. This involved work in the areas of monetary policy, foreign exchange policy, statistics, payment systems, issuing bank notes, accounting rules and standards, information systems and legal issues. The EMI also continued to monitor progress in the preparatory work for the introduction of the Euro, following the adoption of the changeover scenario by the European Council in Madrid in December 1995. ?

## European Monetary Institute EMI. 3rd annual 1996 Report

The rapporteur, Mr Roberto MEZZAROMA, congratulated Mr LAMFALUSSY, as he neared the end of his term of office, on setting up the European Monetary Institute (EMI) and quickly making it operational. As regards the macro-economic framework, he was worried by the weak relationship in the European Union between GDP growth, employment and unemployment and regretted that the EMI analysis blamed this situation on "rigidities in labour markets", while ignoring other significant factors such as low investment, lack of purchasing power, little research and technological innovation, lack of educational and learning systems etc. Noting that a large number of Member States already meet many of the requirements for economic and monetary union, the rapporteur considers that the decision on which Member States can participate in economic and monetary union should take full account of the tendential and lasting nature of convergence developments. As far as preparations for stage three are concerned, the rapporteur reiterated that the European Union's monetary policy could not be divorced from its economic policy, which was based on close coordination between the economic policies of the Member States. He noted with surprise that numerous Member States have still not fully satisfied the provisions of the Treaty relating to the independence of the national central banks. He trusted that proper use would be made of the EMI missions designed to safeguard cooperation between the central banks and expressed his concern with respect to the implementation of the information and communication systems needed in order to carry out the operational functions of monetary policy within the ESCB. Similarly, the rapporteur trusted that relations between the EMI and the various international financial bodies would be consolidated as quickly as possible. As far as relations with the European Parliament were concerned, he reiterated the importance which he attached to genuine democratic monitoring of EMU policy and the need to start planning how to consolidate future relations with the ECB. The rapporteur did not feel that it made sense to draw up a convergence report in 1997 on the basis of the economic data for 1996, as provided for in the Treaty, because misunderstandings and uncertainty might arise.?

### European Monetary Institute EMI. 3rd annual 1996 Report

In adopting the report by Mr Roberto MEZZAROMA (UPE, It), Parliament, congratulated Mr LAMFULUSSY, as he neared the end of his term of office, on setting up the European Monetary Institute (EMI) and quickly making it operational. However, it regretted the fact that the EMI blamed the weak relationship between GDP growth, employment and unemployment principally on 'rigidities in labour markets' while ignoring other significant factors such as low investment, lack of purchasing power and demand. As regards the macro-economic framework, Parliament considered that the decision as to which Member States should enter the monetary union should take full account of the historical role and the tendential and lasting nature of convergence developments. It acknowledged that the improvement of the budgetary positions of the Member States depended on a real reduction in public spending rather than on an increase in the tax burden, but believed that this objective could be attained by the selective use of public investment in productive investments (transport, energy and telecommunications infrastructures). It regretted that the EMI had not mentioned the general problem of the coordination of - direct and indirect - taxation in Europe. Parliament reiterated the importance it attached to genuine democratic monitoring of EMU policy which required, in particular, the establishment of regular and frequent relations between Parliament and the EMI and, in future, the ECB. It called for the future central bank to provide quarterly information, in writing or through the appearance of a member of the Executive Board before Parliament, on the implementation of monetary policy and to report to it regularly on the background to interest rate decisions. Finally, Parliament considered that it did not make sense to draw up a convergence report in 1997 on the basis of the economic data for 1996 as provided for in the Treaty, because misunderstandings and uncertainty might arise in public opinion.?