


Procedure file

Basic information		
RSP - Resolutions on topical subjects	2004/2519(RSP)	Procedure completed
Resolution on the failure to consult Parliament on the Transeuropean transport networks TEN Quick Start project		
Subject 3.20.11 Trans-European transport networks 8.40.10 Interinstitutional relations, subsidiarity, proportionality, comitology		

Key players	
European Parliament	

Key events			
25/02/2004	Debate in Parliament		
26/02/2004	Decision by Parliament	T5-0115/2004	Summary
26/02/2004	End of procedure in Parliament		

Technical information	
Procedure reference	2004/2519(RSP)
Procedure type	RSP - Resolutions on topical subjects
Procedure subtype	Debate or resolution on oral question/interpellation
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 136-p5
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed

Documentation gateway					
Motion for a resolution		B5-0103/2004	09/02/2004	EP	
Oral question/interpellation by Parliament		B5-0011/2004	25/02/2004	EP	
Text adopted by Parliament, topical subjects		T5-0115/2004 OJ C 098 23.04.2004, p. 0020-0161 E	26/02/2004	EP	Summary

Resolution on the failure to consult Parliament on the Transeuropean transport networks TEN Quick Start project

The European Parliament adopted a resolution tabled by EPP-ED, PES, ELDR, Greens/ALE and UEN in which it expresses its strong disapproval of the Council having developed a so-called Quick Start Programme on trans-European transport priority projects without consulting the European Parliament. It recalled in the strongest terms that the determination of priority projects in Annex III of Decision No

1692/96/EC on Community guidelines for the development of the trans-European transport network is governed solely by the co decision procedure between Parliament and the Council in accordance with Article 251 of the Treaty. Parliament stressed that any arbitrary setting of priorities with regard to priority projects listed in Annex III is inadmissible and that the timing or funding of the individual projects within Annex III depends solely on the prescribed criteria, such as each project's state of maturity in planning, funding and construction terms. In an oral question to the Council Paola COSTA (ELDR, I), the chairman of the Transport Committee, stressed that the Commission's communication was therefore not a legally binding instrument and that Parliament should not take it into consideration in the context of the current legislative procedure concerning the revision of the TENs guidelines. In the debate, President-in-Office Dick Roche could not convince MEPs that Council had respected the co decision procedure. Although questioned by several Members, the President-in-Office did not want to answer specific questions on the involvement of Parliament. He refused to say whether or not Council had respected the co decision procedure.?