# Procedure file



| Key players                   |   |   |            |
|-------------------------------|---|---|------------|
| European Parliament           | Committee responsible                                 | Rapporteur                                    | Appointed  |
|                               | TRAN Transport and Tourism                            |   | 17/03/1998 |
|                               |   | PPE LANGENHAGEN Brigitte                      |            |
|                               | Committee for opinion                                 | Rapporteur for opinion                        | Appointed  |
|                               | BUDG Budgets  |   | 21/04/1998 |
| P                             |   | ARE DELL'ALBA Gianfranco                      |            |
|                               | ECON Economic and Monetary Affairs, Industrial Policy | The committee decided not to give an opinion. |            |
|                               | ENER Research, Technological Development and Energy   |   | 23/06/1998 |
|                               |   | UPE POMPIDOU Alain                            |            |
|                               | RELA External Economic Relations                      |   | 23/04/1998 |
|                               |   | UPE MALERBA Franco E.                         |            |
|                               |   |   |            |
|                               |   |   |            |
| Council of the European Union | Council configuration                                 | Meeting                                       | Date       |
|                               | Transport, Telecommunications and Energy              | 2108  | 17/06/1998 |

| Key events |  |               |         |
|------------|--|---------------|---------|
| 21/01/1998 | Non-legislative basic document published   | COM(1998)0029 | Summary |
| 30/03/1998 | Committee referral announced in Parliament |               |         |
| 17/06/1998 | Debate in Council                          | 2108          |         |
| 28/10/1998 | Vote in committee                          |               | Summary |
| 28/10/1998 | Committee report tabled for plenary        | A4-0413/1998  |         |
| 12/01/1999 | Debate in Parliament                       | <b>W</b>      |         |
| 13/01/1999 | Decision by Parliament                     | T4-0019/1999  | Summary |
| 13/01/1999 | End of procedure in Parliament             |               |         |

14/04/1999

| Technical information      |  |
|----------------------------|--|
| Procedure reference        | 1998/2050(COS)                                 |
| Procedure type             | COS - Procedure on a strategy paper (historic) |
| Procedure subtype          | Commission strategy paper                      |
| Legal basis                | Rules of Procedure EP 142                      |
| Stage reached in procedure | Procedure completed                            |
| Committee dossier          | TRAN/4/09830                                   |

#### Documentation gateway

| Non-legislative basic document                      | COM(1998)0029  | 21/01/1998 | EC | Summary |
|---|--|------------|----|---------|
| Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading | <u>A4-0413/1998</u><br>OJ C 379 07.12.1998, p. 0006  | 28/10/1998 | EP |         |
| Text adopted by Parliament, single reading          | T4-0019/1999<br>OJ C 104 14.04.1999, p.<br>0038-0073 | 13/01/1999 | EP | Summary |

### Navigation by satellite: trans-European positioning network GNSS

OBJECTIVE: to propose a European strategy for global navigation satellite systems (GNSS). SUBSTANCE: in presenting its Communication the Commission calls on the Member States to agree by early 1999 on the strategy which the Union should adopt with regard to navigation systems and satellite positioning, an expanding world market which could be worth \$50 billion within 7 years, with many applications in the transport sector but also in agriculture and in oil and gas exploration. The key strategic issue is how best to ensure an effective EU role in long-term development of GNSS. The Commission considers that three options can be envisaged: - joint development of GNSS by all the major players; - the EU developing a GNSS with one or more international partners (in particular the United States, Japan and Russia); independent development by the EU of its own system as an alternative to the two existing systems (the American GPS system and the Russian GLONASS system). The Commission considers that in the long term the ideal would be to cooperate with all the Union's international partners, provided however that this cooperation takes place on an equitable basis and that the Union's interests are respected. To this end the Commission calls on the Member States to work together and start consultations with the main international operators with a view to studying the possibility of achieving an acceptable joint system based on the following criteria: - full European participation in the design, development and operation of the system; - guarantees from the outset that the system (on which Europe will depend for certain vital and safety-related applications) will not be withdrawn or disrupted unilaterally by one party; - an opportunity for European industry to compete equally in all segments of the market, with equal access to the basic technologies. If these conditions are not met, the Union should opt for the establishment of an independent European GNSS, taking into account the technological developments which might substantially reduce the cost of development of such a system. The financing of a European GNSS system (which should cost between ECU 400 million and ECU 400 billion, depending on quality) could be undertaken through a public/private partnership. The Union must now decide on its strategy rapidly as any delay could impair the prospect of Europe achieving a competitive position in this market. ?

#### Navigation by satellite: trans-European positioning network GNSS

The Commission is calling on the member states to agree by early 1999 on the strategy which the EU should adopt with regard to global navigation satellite systems (GNSS). This is an expanding world market which could be worth \$50 bn within 7 years, with many applications in the transport sector as well as in agriculture and oil and gas exploration. The Commission considers that in the long term the ideal would be to cooperate with all the EU's international partners. To this end it is calling on the member states to start consultations with the main international operators with a view to studying the possibility of achieving an acceptable joint system. It considers that if this is not possible, the EU should opt for the establishment of an independent European GNSS, taking into account the technological developments which might substantially reduce the cost of development of such a system. The financing of a European GNSS system (which should cost between EUR 400m and EUR 400bn, depending on quality) could be undertaken through a public/private partnership. Any delay in deciding on its strategy could impair the prospect of Europe achieving a competitive position in this market. Brigitte Langenhagen (D, EPP) for the Transport Committee will be welcoming the Commission's proposed action plan. Amoing other requests she wants the member states to convene a European Space Council at Head of State or Government level to give clear decisions on the strategic, technical and budgetary guidelines, and considers that Council should assess the desirability of holding a World Conference on the issue. She is also calling on the Commission to take all necessary meaures to promote international cooperation in the field of GNSS.?

## Navigation by satellite: trans-European positioning network GNSS

The resolution drafted by Brigitte LANGENHAGEN (D, EPP) on the Commission's Communication on a European strategy for global navigation satellite systems (GNSS) was approved by the European Parliament. The report welcomes the Commission's proposed action plan as a concrete step forward in the development of a civil multimodal system, fully integrated in the trans-European transport and telecommunications networks. It equally welcomes the Council conclusions, which invite the Commission to proceed with the drawing up of a strategy for GNSS and emphasises the need for a wider range of research resources and to encourage basic research in order to develop appropriate satellites, ground installations and on-board equipment. The Parliament requests the establishment of a European Space Council, responsible for giving clear decisions on the strategic, technical and budgetary guidelines as well as the timetable for GNSS2 after negotiations with the US and Russia. This cooperation should not only concern equal participation in the promotion of a Europeean contribution to GNSS but also equal access to all the resulting basic technologies. The report calls on the Commission to decide, no later than the beginning of 1999, in favour of one of the three options mentioned in its communication for the development of a trans-European positioning and navigation network and to present a coherent strategy defining and setting out the objectives of GNSS 2. It encourages the Commission to negotiate with potential international partners with a view to establishing a transeuropean navigation and positioning network and to act as European negotiator in the international fora for satellite orbital positions and frequencies assigment to the satellite navigation services. Concerned that access to the necessary frequencies should be guaranteed, the Parliament asks that the Commission assess the need for an entity at European level to harmonise rules. Stressing that European participation in GNSS opens up important new job opportunities, the report calls on the Commission to take steps to aid its development and create a structure for the European Space industry and to provide incentives for industrial consortia for the development of high performance on-board systems.?