# Procedure file

# Basic information COS - Procedure on a strategy paper (historic) 1998/2043(COS) Procedure completed Common fisheries policy: fisheries control situation Subject 3.15.07 Fisheries inspectorate, surveillance of fishing vessels and areas

Key players				
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed	
	PECH Fisheries	DDE DDOVAN James I C	19/03/1998	
		PPE PROVAN James L.C.		
	Committee for opinion	Rapporteur for opinion	Appointed	
	BUDG Budgets	rapportour for opinion	, ippolitiou	
Council of the European Union	Council configuration	Meeting	Date	
	Fisheries	2077	24/03/1998	

Key events			
19/02/1998	Non-legislative basic document published	COM(1998)0092	Summary
09/03/1998	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
24/03/1998	Debate in Council	2077	
20/07/1998	Vote in committee		Summary
20/07/1998	Committee report tabled for plenary	A4-0277/1998	
17/09/1998	Debate in Parliament	-	
18/09/1998	Decision by Parliament	T4-0531/1998	Summary
18/09/1998	End of procedure in Parliament		
12/10/1998	Final act published in Official Journal		

Technical information	chnical information		
Procedure reference	1998/2043(COS)		
Procedure type	COS - Procedure on a strategy paper (historic)		
Procedure subtype	Commission strategy paper		

Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 142
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	PECH/4/09796

Occumentation gateway				
Non-legislative basic document	COM(1998)0092	19/02/1998	EC	Summary
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading	<u>A4-0277/1998</u> OJ C 313 12.10.1998, p. 0007	20/07/1998	EP	
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading	T4-0531/1998 OJ C 313 12.10.1998, p. 0229-0241	18/09/1998	EP	Summary

# Common fisheries policy: fisheries control situation

OBJECTIVE: To take stock of developments in monitoring of the Common Fisheries Policy since 1992 and to analyse the prospects for progress in this area. SUBSTANCE: Since a new regulation on fisheries monitoring (Regulation 2847/93/EEC) was adopted in 1993 and a plan for monitoring by satellite (Regulation 686/97/EC) was put in place in 1996, there have been significant improvements in monitoring the Common Fisheries Policy (CFP). Nonetheless, major shortcomings have become apparent in the Community monitoring instrument, particularly in the following sectors: - monitoring of fleets; - monitoring at sea; - monitoring of landings (in particular, with regard to basic documents, computerisation and data checking and with regard to monitoring vessels flying the flag of a country other than where they land; there are also problems with regard to control points, given the number of potential landing points and landings in third countries); - monitoring the market for fishery products (the main short comings concern respect for common marketing standards and minimum fish sizes). The Commission document also discusses the problem of infringements and penalties. It deplores the fact that these are not always harmonised and therefore fair. Increased cooperation between Member States is needed in this area and with competent international organisations such as NAFO. The Commission's resources should also be increased in order to guarantee the effectiveness of Member States' monitoring systems and the fairness of the penalties imposed. In the third part of its communication, the Commission proposes a number of measures to remedy the various short comings. To this end, it suggests priorities for action in the years to come with a possible calender for 1998 and 1999. In 1998, its main proposals are to: - remedy the serious shortcomings with regard to basic documents and their computerisation, incorrect catch declarations and repeated overfishing of quotas; - examine how combining regulatory arrangements with cooperation will make it possible for controls to be more effective, - put in place pilots schemes for coordinating control operations, - amend the existing regulations (in particular, Regulation (EEC) 2847/93), - integrate the Mediterranean in the general rules of the CFP and launch the first phase of satellite monitoring, - study the issue of human resources assigned to monitoring activities. In 1999 the basic goals are follow-up action to the initiative launched in 1998, extending control operations across the whole of the sector and exploiting the opportunities afforded by satellite monitoring, proposing a procedure for resolving coordination problems between the Member States by establishing a plan for exchanges of information and staff, defining possible statistical objectives for the resources assigned to monitoring by each Member State, dividing tasks fairly between the Commission and the Member States with regard to monitoring non-Community resources and preparing the ground for the action to be taken on the budget decision due to expire in 2000. ?

### Common fisheries policy: fisheries control situation

Parliament has always been keen for fisheries to be monitored more closely. It is therefore no surprise that the report by James PROVAN (EPP, UK), which was adopted by the Committee, should welcome the fact that the Commission has responded to Parliament's demands by publishing a paper on the monitoring of fisheries under the common fisheries policy (CFP). The committee highlights five priorities which are needed to improve the CFP and regain the confidence of fishermen. Firstly, the Community fisheries inspectorate should be strengthened so that it can improve and expand its inspections. Secondly, common definitions of tonnage, engine power, etc. should be established. Thirdly, tougher checks on vessels of non-EU countries are needed; these should not stop at the point where catches are landed but should continue throughout the supply chain up to the final consumer. Fourthly, effective controls in markets are essential, so as to prevent fraudulent imports. Lastly, the Commission should use all available instruments, including legal action and financial penalties, to ensure that Member States abide by all the provisions of the existing regulations.?

## Common fisheries policy: fisheries control situation

Adopting the report by Mr James L.C. PROVAN (PPE, UK) on monitoring under the Common Fisheries Policy, the European Parliament welcomed the fact that the Commission had responded to its call for action by publishing the present communication. However, it regretted that the Commission?s communication did not take any account of wider international experience of the successful control of fisheries policies other than that of NAFO. It underlined the fact that the scientific data on which TACs are based has to have the full confidence of the fishing industry and should therefore be better integrated into the control process. It insisted on several priorities in order to improve the fisheries policy and win the confidence of fishermen: - more positive involvement of fishermen?s organisations in improving compliance with control measures; - greater cooperation between the Commission and the Member States in monitoring and control activities, with the Commission taking the lead in this area; - strengthening the Community fisheries inspectorate so that it can improve and extend its control work in EU waters (Parliament considered, in particular, that it should have responsibility for the inspection of landings made by Community vessels into ports outside their flag state); - standardisation of the definition of control and monitoring terms (in particular common definitions of tonnage,

engine power and inspections in port and at sea) in order to ensure that controls are even-handed, irrespective of the Member State carrying out the control or the flag of the vessel being controlled; - correction of the imbalance between controls carried out in Community waters and in international waters: controls on third country vessels operating in Community waters need to be reinforced and measures adopted to allow access on board these vessels for the purpose of inspection and monitoring. Parliament insisted that controls should not end at port but were essential throughout the supply chain through to the end consumer and efficient control of the markets was therefore needed in order to combat fraudulent imports. Increased cooperation was needed between Member States and the Commission in order to monitor properly the market channels for fisheries products under the supervision of the Community inspectorate. Parliament requested the Commission to bring forward proposals to ensure that in all Member States it becomes a punishable offence to trade in illegally caught or landed fish. It also stressed the need for urgent supervisory action in the knowledge that some Member States are not adequately carrying out control activities and are only partially honouring their legal obligations in the field of data transfer. The Commission must have on-line access to Member States? data bases covering the fisheries sector and use all available instruments, including legal action and financial penalties, to ensure that Member States abide by regulations. Parliament urged the Commission to consider how a decentralised control system for coastal regions which would directly involve fish producers in decisions concerning their sector could be implemented in the post year 2000 period. Finally, it called on the Commission to consider how the NAFO control model could be adapted and extended to Community waters.?