

Procedure file

Basic information		
COS - Procedure on a strategy paper (historic)	1999/2012(COS)	Procedure completed
Regional policy, transport and structural policy: improving social cohesion, competitiveness and development		
Subject 3.20 Transport policy in general 4.70 Regional policy 5.05 Economic growth		

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	<div>REGI</div> Regional Policy	PSE CRAMPTON Peter Duncan	18/11/1998
Council of the European Union	Committee for opinion	Rapporteur for opinion	Appointed
	<div>TRAN</div> Transport and Tourism	The committee decided not to give an opinion.	

Key events			
14/01/1999	Non-legislative basic document published	COM(1998)0806	Summary
12/02/1999	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
22/04/1999	Vote in committee		
22/04/1999	Committee report tabled for plenary	A4-0236/1999	
04/05/1999	Decision by Parliament	T4-0350/1999	Summary
04/05/1999	End of procedure in Parliament		
01/10/1999	Final act published in Official Journal		

Technical information	
Procedure reference	1999/2012(COS)
Procedure type	COS - Procedure on a strategy paper (historic)
Procedure subtype	Commission strategy paper
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 142
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed

Committee dossier	REGI/4/10726
-------------------	--------------

Documentation gateway

Non-legislative basic document		COM(1998)0806	14/01/1999	EC	Summary
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading		A4-0236/1999 OJ C 279 01.10.1999, p. 0007	22/04/1999	EP	
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading		T4-0350/1999 OJ C 279 01.10.1999, p. 0020-0048	04/05/1999	EP	Summary
Economic and Social Committee: opinion, report		CES0707/1999 OJ C 258 10.09.1999, p. 0035	07/07/1999	ESC	
Committee of the Regions: opinion		CDR0390/1999 OJ C 226 08.08.2000, p. 0022	12/04/2000	CofR	

Regional policy, transport and structural policy: improving social cohesion, competitiveness and development

OBJECTIVE : Commission Communication on the role of transport in efforts to reduce regional and social disparities in the European and in strengthening its economic and social cohesion. **CONTENT :** the objective of the Communication is to consider ways in which the Common Transport Policy (CTP) and EU structural policies financed by the Structural and Cohesion Funds can promote a more balanced and sustainable development of the Union's territory, in particular by improving the situation of peripheral or weaker regions and disadvantaged social groups. The Communication suggests ways in which efforts in the CTP and structural policy fields can be combined to make a more effective contribution to the development of the weaker regions and the opportunities available to disadvantaged groups and hence to improving economic and social cohesion. A number of concrete recommendations are made for the development of the European transport system, focusing on the achievement of the following objectives : - improving regional economic development prospects, competitiveness and employment; - contributing to balanced development in the Fifteen and creating conditions favourable to the integration of new Member States; - promoting sustainable mobility and ensuring the availability of transport services to those without the use of private cars and those with impaired mobility. The long term link between levels of economic development and transport is generally uncontested. An efficient European transport system is essential for economic development and to enable citizens, economic operators and regional and local communities to derive full benefit from an area without internal frontiers. It is clear that investment in transport alone will not lead to the reduction of development disparities. The success of improvements in transport depends on complementary efforts to ensure that the disadvantaged regional economies are in a better position to seize the opportunities created. Transport can contribute to employment in a number of ways : investment in transport infrastructure contributes to employment in both the long run and the short run. While the short-term boost to employment that arises from the construction phase is welcome, it is not the primary objective of investment in transport infrastructure, which is to secure long-run gains in the form of increased competitiveness and the creation of durable employment. In the context of the regional programmes, therefore, a careful assessment has to be made of the contribution of investment in transport to competitiveness and employment compared to alternative investments in fields such as SMEs, R&D and human resources. Efficient transport systems are considered essential for the operation of the labour market to ensure the widest access of workers to employment. It is increasingly recognised that provision for non-private car users is fundamental in this respect, as well as having important efficiency and environmental benefits. The key concern here is often not the provision of new transport infrastructure, but the provision of transport services (particularly public transport). Since 1989, the Structural and Cohesion Funds have been a major source of finance in the development of Europe's transport infrastructure, while contributing to improving the accessibility of its peripheral and remote regions, although catching up will remain a long-term challenge. It is, nevertheless, recognised that the transport needs of the weaker regions of the EU are not the same as those of the stronger regions; it is neither necessary nor desirable to seek to reproduce the transport systems of the latter in the former, for example, with regard to the balance between the different modes. The reduction of the less favourable environmental impacts of transport and the promotion of a shift towards the more environmentally friendly modes of transport must also be encouraged in the peripheral and remote areas. The Commission identifies three ways forward : - maximising the effectiveness of the Community's contribution by strengthening the leverage effect of EU grants under the Structural and Cohesion Funds and the TEN budget, promoting a balanced approach to transport infrastructure priorities and properly taking into account trans-regional effects, and improved co-ordination between the Community budget instruments. - linking up the Union by implementing the TEN and extending it in an enlarged Union. - promoting accessible, sustainable transport services.?

Regional policy, transport and structural policy: improving social cohesion, competitiveness and development

The European Parliament adopted the resolution by Leoluca Orlando (V,IT) on the communication from the Commission on Cohesion and Transport. The report welcomes the long-awaited Commission communication, while stressing its failure to provide sufficient detail as regards coordination measures between transport and cohesion policies. It calls on the Commission to take account of the specific issue of transport in rural, sparsely populated, remote and island areas where the scattered population raises particular social and regional problems. It stresses the potential role of the Structural Funds, especially the ERDF, in improving urban transport and network interconnection. The Parliament underlines the priority to be given to transport projects and calls on the Commission to consider novel combinations of transport within the framework of intermodal transport, for example, in the case of coastal and island regions, a sea-air combination. It calls on the Commission to

ensure the coordination of all Community activities, especiall trans-European networks, the Structural Funds and the Cohesion Fund, the EIF and the EIB, affecting the transport sector, as part of an ambitious regional planning policy as set out in the ESDP. The report insists that public transport services should be maintained and calls for priority to be given to measures to ensure accessibility between the peripheral and core regions by means of specific measures in the field of public transport policy. It proposes that the current limit of 25% on Objective 2 funding for public transport should be lifted. The Parliament also considers that in town planning, the needs of pedestrians and cyclists must be taken into account. It is convinced that improving pan-European transport links between the European Union and the neighbouring areas, including in the former Soviet states and the Mediterranean countries, is of utmost importance for the future relations and calls for transport infrastructure improvements to be regarded as eligible funding when consideration is given, in the future, to the revision of Tacis and Meda.?