


# Procedure file

Basic information		
COS - Procedure on a strategy paper (historic)		Procedure completed
1999/2118(COS)		
Development cooperation: relations with ACP countries involved in armed conflicts		
Subject 6.30 Development cooperation 6.40.06 Relations with ACP countries, conventions and generalities		
Geographical area ACP countries		

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible		Rapporteur
	<b>AFET</b> Foreign Affairs, Human Rights, Common Security, Defense		Appointed 11/01/2000
			PPE-DE <a href="#">VAN HECKE Johan</a>
	Committee for opinion		Rapporteur for opinion
Council of the European Union	<b>DEVE</b> Development and Cooperation		Appointed 24/11/1999
			V/ALE <a href="#">MAES Nelly</a>

Key events			
19/05/1999	Non-legislative basic document published	COM(1999)0240	Summary
01/12/1999	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
10/10/2000	Vote in committee		Summary
10/10/2000	Committee report tabled for plenary	<a href="#">A5-0296/2000</a>	
25/10/2000	Debate in Parliament		
26/10/2000	Decision by Parliament	<a href="#">T5-0480/2000</a>	Summary
26/10/2000	End of procedure in Parliament		
12/07/2001	Final act published in Official Journal		

Technical information	
Procedure reference	1999/2118(COS)
Procedure type	COS - Procedure on a strategy paper (historic)

Procedure subtype	Commission strategy paper
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 050; Rules of Procedure EP 142
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	AFET/4/11017

#### Documentation gateway

Non-legislative basic document		COM(1999)0240	19/05/1999	EC	Summary
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading		<a href="#">A5-0296/2000</a> <a href="#">OJ C 197 12.07.2001, p. 0009</a>	10/10/2000	EP	
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading		<a href="#">T5-0480/2000</a> <a href="#">OJ C 197 12.07.2001, p. 0218-0390</a>	26/10/2000	EP	Summary

## Development cooperation: relations with ACP countries involved in armed conflicts

**PURPOSE** : to define the outline of future cooperation with the ACP countries involved in armed conflicts, bringing together at the same time the development cooperation of the European Union and possibilities available under the CFSP. **CONTENT** : following the crisis in the Congo, the Commission announced that it was going to review its cooperation with the ACP countries presently at war against each other in order to avoid the misuse of funds for military purposes and to underscore the EU's appeal for a peaceful settlement of this conflict. This review exercise applies to all ongoing conflicts and military interventions in the ACP countries, notably in Africa where the number, scope and intensity of armed conflicts has been rising dramatically during the last years. This Communication describes the various measures and policy options available within the existing institutional and legal framework, in order to enable the EU to react to various conflict situations. It sets out instruments and strategic proposals, which might be used in the shaping of decisions, to be taken on a case-by-case basis by the competent authorities. A freezing, reduction or suspension of development aid as a reaction to the outbreak of armed conflict may occur under the following circumstance : 1) freezing or discontinuation of individual programmes if funds are, or can be, diverted to military activities or the provisions of weapons or military equipment; 2) suspension of aid under the 366a procedure of the Lomé Convention in case of serious violations of human rights as a consequence of armed conflicts; 3) sanctions imposed by the UN Security Council with direct suspension of aid imposed by the United Nations. In its Communication, the Commission pays attention to the permanent evaluation of the situation from the time of freezing or the suspension of aid so that the persons who are affected the most by these conflicts are not penalised. Thus, new programmes for countries involved in armed conflicts may be envisaged (structural adjustment support, food aid programmes, STABEX, SYSMIN) while waiting for normal cooperation to be resumed at the end of the conflict. As regards humanitarian aid, the Commission considers that it should not be subject to political objectives. Nonetheless, it has to be fully recognised that humanitarian aid may have unintended political effects, and that it can be exposed to political and even strategic manipulation. Its potential impact on the dynamics of conflict situations should therefore be carefully assessed. Lastly, the Commission attracts attention to the new options for the Common Foreign and Security Policy. According to the Commission, the policy response of the European Union has to be flexible and adapted to the actual situation in each crisis region. General guidelines for a comprehensive EU policy approach towards armed conflicts, encompassing measures to be taken in the appropriate Community and CFSP instances, can be outlined for the following typical situations : 1) outbreak, escalation or extension of an armed conflict : the outbreak of hostilities leads in most cases to a partial or total discontinuation of development cooperation for security reasons in the countries or areas directly affected by armed conflict. The continuation of cooperation programmes, either on a partial basis with regards to countries directly affected by hostilities, or to countries involved in armed conflict abroad, involves the risk of diversion of funds for belligerent purposes. Within the CFSP framework, the European Union should make full use of available policy instruments (Presidency declarations, Common Positions, Joint Actions, Troika missions, the appointment of Special Envoys etc.) with the aim of persuading belligerents to seek negotiated solutions to their differences. In case of violations of the essential elements of the Lomé Convention, a partial or total suspension of aid should be set in motion to encourage a cessation of hostilities and political dialogue. In order to ensure a coherent approach and maximum impact of the EU's policy, the Member States should stop their bilateral aid at the same time as the Unions; 2) cessation of hostilities and negotiations : in the context of this scenario, the CFSP response should support dialogue and negotiations and, if required, peacekeeping initiatives, with the appropriate instruments and Community measures (e.g. financial support or technical assistance for mediation or political dialogue). The Commission, as well as EU member states through bilateral cooperation, can offer technical and financial assistance for post-conflict activities in the socio-economic field (e.g. reconciliation initiatives, demobilisation, reintegration of refugees and displaced people, rehabilitation). The European Union may also consider providing financial, technical, material or human resources for international peacekeeping operations; 3) breakdown of state authority : since the state authority is no longer respected (Somalia, Southern Sudan), the European Union can extend humanitarian aid and social sector assistance through UN agencies, international organisations and NGOs. In certain cases, the European Union may consider specific political and economic support to countries neighbouring "vanished states" which threaten regional security. Such support to "front lines states" should be contingent on the readiness of the beneficiary governments to respect human rights and democratic principles, and to ensure full transparency of military expenditures. In conclusion, the Commission draws attention to the fact that the urgency to react to political crises should not divert the attention of the European Union from the need to further strengthen efforts to contribute to the prevention of violent conflicts at an early stage by addressing their root caused in a targeted manner and with adequate combination of all available Community and CFSP instruments.?

## Development cooperation: relations with ACP countries involved in armed conflicts

The committee adopted the report by Johan VAN HECKE (EPP-ED, B) on the Commission communication reviewing cooperation with ACP countries involved in armed conflicts. Although the committee felt the Commission paper was "thoughtful, focused and persuasive", it put

forward a number of proposals seeking to improve and update it, particularly in the light of the Cotonou ACP-EU Partnership agreement signed in June 2000. It believed, for example, that greater emphasis should be placed on improving the coherence and coordination of EU policies in this area. In addition it stressed the need for conditionality - linking debt relief and aid to good governance, respect for human rights and the rule of law. There should be clear criteria for the suspension of aid, in contrast to what the committee saw as the current "ad hoc" system. It also believed there should be better control of EU spending, with an end to unspecified budgetary support to countries involved in armed conflicts and more transparency from ACP countries in their finances. The European Parliament, it argued, should be given a more prominent role in the freezing of aid and any subsequent decision to release it. The committee also called for more emphasis to be given to conflict prevention, management, and resolution. In particular, it urged the EU to combat the illegal trade in arms and diamonds and called for the establishment of an international convention to regulate the diamond trade and for the setting-up of an international institution to control the trade. The committee wanted there to be a clear distinction between direct aid going straight to the governments of warring countries, and indirect aid that could be channelled through NGOs or UN agencies. The latter form of assistance should be extended in all situations where the need existed and where there was a firm guarantee that it would benefit the civilian population. Finally, the report called on belligerents to protect aid workers and allow them to carry out their work unhindered, particularly local staff members who stay behind in conflict zones after the evacuation of their foreign colleagues. ?

## Development cooperation: relations with ACP countries involved in armed conflicts

---

The Parliament has adopted, without amendment, the resolution drafted by Mr Johan VAN HECKE (EPP/ED, B) on the Commission communication on cooperation with ACP countries involved in armed conflicts. The Parliament voted 438 votes to 5 with 13 abstentions. The adopted report mirrors the decisions of the committee responsible (please refer to previous step in the legislative process).?