Procedure file

Basic information COS - Procedure on a strategy paper (historic) European Ombudsman: Code of good administrative behaviour for the officials, relations with the public. Special report Subject 8.40.09 European officials, EU servants, staff regulations

Key players			
uropean Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	PETI Petitions		10/07/2000
		PPE-DE PERRY Roy	
	Committee for opinion	Rapporteur for opinion	Appointed
	LIBE Citizens' Freedoms and Rights, Justice and		10/10/2000
	Home Affairs	PSE CASHMAN Michael	
	JURI Legal Affairs and Internal Market		17/10/2000
		PSE DEHOUSSE Jean-Maurice	

Key events			
11/04/2000	Non-legislative basic document published	N5-0438/2000	Summary
08/09/2000	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
19/06/2001	Vote in committee		Summary
19/06/2001	Committee report tabled for plenary	A5-0245/2001	
06/09/2001	Debate in Parliament		
06/09/2001	Decision by Parliament	T5-0454/2001	Summary
06/09/2001	End of procedure in Parliament		
21/03/2002	Final act published in Official Journal		

Technical information	
Procedure reference	2000/2212(COS)
Procedure type	COS - Procedure on a strategy paper (historic)

Procedure subtype	Commission strategy paper
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 142
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	PETI/5/12682

Documentation gateway				
Non-legislative basic document	N5-0438/2000	11/04/2000	MED	Summary
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading	A5-0245/2001	19/06/2001	EP	
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading	T5-0454/2001 OJ C 072 21.03.2002, p. 0239-0331 E	06/09/2001	EP	Summary

European Ombudsman: Code of good administrative behaviour for the officials, relations with the public. Special report

PURPOSE: Special Report from the Ombudsman to the European parliament following an own-initiative inquiry into the existence and the public accessibility in the different Community institutions and bodies of a Code of Good Administrative Behaviour. CONTENT: This Special Report concerns the European Ombudsman's own initiative inquiry into the existence and the public accessibility, in the different Community institutions and bodies, of a Code of Good Administrative Behaviour. The inquiry was launched in November 1998. In July and September 1999, the Ombudsman made draft recommendations to 18 Community institutions and bodies to adopt rules concrning good administrative behaviour for their officials in the relations with the public. For adopting these rules, the Ombudsman stated that the institutions and bodies could take guidance from the provisions contained in the Ombudsman's Code of Good Administrative Behaviour. The Ombudsman stressed that, in order to be efficient and accessible to citizens, the rules should be adopted in the form of a decision and be published in the Official Journal. From the responses to the draft recommendations, it appears that only two bodies, namely the European Agency for the Evaluation of Medicinal Products (EMEA) and the Translation Centre for the Bodies pf the EU have adopted, respectively on 1 December 1999 and on 10 February 2000, the Code of Good Administrative Behaviour proposed by the Ombudsman. These two agencies have correctly implemented the draft recommendations. The European Commission presented a draft Code, but has not yet adopted it. Neither the European Parliament nor the Council has adopted a Code of Good Administrative Behaviour. No other institution or body had adopted a Code by 1 March 2000. The European Parliament has stressed the urgent need to draw up as soon as possible Codes of Good Administrative Behaviour which should be as identical as possible for all the European institutions and bodies. However, only 2 out of the 18 institutions, bodies and decentralised agencies concerned by the inquiry have implemented the Ombudsman's draft recommendations. The Ombudsman therefore concluded the present Special Report with a recommendation that a European administrative law is needed to ensure that officials of all the Community institutions and bodies observe the same principles of good administrative behaviour in their relations with the public. Such a lwa could take the form of a Regulation. The European Parliament, in its capacity as the only European institution democratically representing European citizens, could consider using the procedure referred to in Article 192 (2) of the EC Treaty in order to initiate the adoption of a European administrative law in this form.?

European Ombudsman: Code of good administrative behaviour for the officials, relations with the public. Special report

The committee adopted the report by Roy PERRY (EPP-ED, UK) welcoming the special report and the draft code drawn up by the European Ombudsman in April 2000. It called on the Commission to propose a regulation which would include the code, with some amendments made by MEPs, with a view to promoting transparency and closing the gap between the institutions and the public. The amendments aimed to ensure that the Code applied to all Community institutions and all Community bodies and agencies. The committee also felt that the Code should take account of Article 41 of the European Charter of Fundamental Rights (the right to good administration). Other points raised by the report included a call for the Code to be made available to the public through Internet. The committee also introduced a number of amendments designed to ease the position of front desk officials (inter alia with regard to their superiors), enabling them to offer their apologies if ever an error occurred and rectify errors or omissions as quickly as possible.

European Ombudsman: Code of good administrative behaviour for the officials, relations with the public. Special report

The European Parliament adopted the resolution by Mr Roy PERRY (EPP-ED, UK) welcoming the special report and the draft code drawn up in April 2000. (Please refer to the previous text). Furthermore, this resolution calls on the Commission to propose a regulation based on the code. The draft code, which would apply to all the institutions, bodies and agencies of the EU, has 28 articles covering issues such as equal treatment, impartiality and independence, the need to prevent abuses or power and protection of personal data. EU officials dealing with the public should act conscientiously, correctly and courteously. If errors occur, the official would be obliged to apologise and seek to remedy any negative effects resulting from the error. Any request or complaint would receive an acknowledgement within two weeks. A decision should be

taken within two months of the date of receipt and indicate any available appeal procedures. A member of the public who writes to the institutions in one of the EU Treaty languages would receive a reply in that language. The House also wants the code to be publicised widely as possible, including via the Internet. It would be reviewed 2 years after it comes into effect.?	: I as