Procedure file

| Basic information | | |
|--|----------------|---------------------|
| INI - Own-initiative procedure | 2001/2007(INI) | Procedure completed |
| Progress in implementing the Common Foreign and Security Policy (Article 21 TEU) | | |
| Subject 6.10 Common foreign and security policy (CFSP) | | |

| European Parliament | Committee responsible | Rapporteur | Appointed |
|---------------------|--|-------------------|------------|
| | AFET Foreign Affairs, Human Rights, Common Security, Defense | | 23/01/2001 |
| | 333411, 23131133 | PPE-DE BROK Elmar | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Key events | | | | |
|------------|--|---------------------|---------|--|
| 18/01/2001 | Committee referral announced in Parliament | | | |
| 10/10/2001 | Vote in committee | | Summary | |
| 10/10/2001 | Committee report tabled for plenary | <u>A5-0332/2001</u> | | |
| 24/10/2001 | Debate in Parliament | | | |
| 25/10/2001 | Decision by Parliament | <u>T5-0575/2001</u> | Summary | |
| 25/10/2001 | End of procedure in Parliament | | | |
| 09/05/2002 | Final act published in Official Journal | | | |

| Technical information | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Procedure reference | 2001/2007(INI) |
| Procedure type | INI - Own-initiative procedure |
| Procedure subtype | Initiative |
| Legal basis | Rules of Procedure EP P.F. |
| Stage reached in procedure | Procedure completed |
| Committee dossier | AFET/5/14212 |

| Documentation gateway | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|-----|---------|
| Supplementary non-legislative basic document | 07853/2001 | 06/04/2001 | CSL | Summary |
| | | | | |

| Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading | <u>A5-0332/2001</u> | 10/10/2001 | EP | | |
|---|--|------------|----|---------|--|
| Text adopted by Parliament, single reading | T5-0575/2001 OJ C 112 09.05.2002, p. 0208-0333 E | 25/10/2001 | EP | Summary | |

Progress in implementing the Common Foreign and Security Policy (Article 21 TEU)

PURPOSE: to present the annual report from the Council on the main aspects and basic choices of the CFSP in 2000. CONTENT: in accordance with point 40, Section H, of the Interinstitutional Agreement of 6 May 1999 on budgetary discipline, the Council presents to the European Parliament a document comprising of the main aspects and basic choices of the Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP), including their financial implications for the Union's budget. This fourth report follows the same criteria as those used for the previous report, namely: 1) to present a description of the CFSP activities (common strategies, common positions, joint actions and decision concerning their implementation, declarations and policy dialogues and "démarches"); 2) to establish a supplement to the chapter relating to the external relations of the Union in the annual report on the progress of the European Union (see INI/2001/2022); 3) to present the main priorities of the Union concerning the external relations in the year 2000. This report suggests that in 2000, the first priority was to related to the conflict regulation and the stabilisation of the political situation in the Western Balkans. The High Representative for the CFSP, Mr Javier SOLANA, became the EU spokesperson in dealing with the democratic forces in Serbia. In Zagreb, in November 2000, the European Union Heads of State or government and the countries of the Western Balkans agreed to their potential accession to the Union, once the Stabilisation and Association Agreement had been completed. With regard to the EU/Russia relations, the year 2000 was marked by the beginning of a dialogue on the situation in Chechnya and the continuation of the priviledged partnership with this country. A new common strategy was also adopted with Ukraine. In Central and Eastern Europe, the pre-accession process was continued. Furthermore, a specific pre-accession strategy was defined vis-à-vis Turkey, a new candidate for accession. Amongst the other priorities of the Union, is the Middle East peace process, halted due to the violence in September 2000. Many initiatives have been put in place in this context with mixed success. In the same way, and in consequence to the halting of Israeli-Palestinian spokespersons, the Barcelona process also came to standstill. Therefore, the Commission decided to put in place a new common strategy with regard to Mediterranean Region aiming to reinforce bilateral relations. In Asia, the Union's foreign policy consisted of opening for greater respect to democratic values in certain countries, for instance, Burma, Eastern Timor) and to conclude a trade agreement with China. The year 2001 was also marked by the first EU/India Summit, which provides for a new strategic partnership between the Parties. It was also in 2000 that there was the first Euro-African Summit which gave a new dimension to the cooperation relations between the Parties. In this context, the Union strongly supported the peace process started in the African Great Lakes and in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. With regard to defence, the Nice European Council decided toestablish within the Council military structures to enable the EU to fully assume its responsibilites for crisis management as a whole. Permanent arrangements were agreed for EU-NATO consultation and cooperation. More specifically, in relation to crisis management, the Helsinki Council set the priorities for the Member States with regard to military capacities (by 2003, Member States should be able to deploy within 60 days and sustain for at least one year forces up to corps level (60 000 persons). Other civilian aspects of crisis management were set out at the European Council in Feira (police, reinforcing the rule of law and of civil administration and civil protection). In the field of disarmament, the Union continued its efforts to present its position in a coherent and unified manner in international conferences with regard to the reinforcement of global non-proliferation and disarmament. Other initiatives relate to the elimination anti-personnel mines and small arms and light weapons. With regard to the budget, as with the year previous, this was mainly the Western Balkans which concentrated most of the Community financial efforts with assistance to the Former Republic of Yugoslavia (around EUR 5 million) and Albania (around EUR 2 million). Other main financial priorities were the Peace Process in the Middle-East (EUR 10 million for the period 2000-2003, mainly for the Palestinian side), South-East Europe (appointment of special representative was EUR 2 million), Southern Caucasus (protection between Georgian and the Chechen Republic), the Great Lakes Region (EUR 0.6 million). With regard to the perspectives for future action, the report clearly states that Western Balkans, the Middle-East and Russia will all dominate the agenda in 2001. Another main priority will be the development of the European Security and Defence Policy. A decision on this issue should be taken no later than the meeting in December 2001 in Laeken (Belgian presidency). Lastly, the report suggests that the new efforts shall be made to increase the contacts between the civil society and the international actors with a view to reinforcing Human Rights.?

Progress in implementing the Common Foreign and Security Policy (Article 21 TEU)

The committee adopted the own-initiative report by Elmar BROK (EPP-ED, D) on the progress achieved in the implementation of the common foreign and security policy (CFSP). This 4th annual report focused on a number of areas, including institutional aspects of the CFSP, progress in European security and defence policy (ESDP), conflict prevention and crisis management, strategic partnerships for the EU and the EU's global responsibility for peace, security and sustainable development. The committee believed that the fight against terrorism should be a central component of the CFSP and called for closer links between internal and external security policy. While NATO would remain a key player, the OSCE also had an important role to play in conflict prevention. The report welcomed the fact that the EU was for the first time displaying the political will to act on its own initiative in crisis situations. However, the delay in setting up new civil and military crisis management machinery and in providing the military capabilities envisaged in Helsinki was criticised. On European defence policy, the committee hoped that by the time of the Laeken European Council the strategic shortcomings that were limiting the ESDP's effectiveness would have been overcome by the provision of adequate funding. The parliamentary dimension of the ESDP must be strengthened by intensifying cooperation between the EP and the national parliaments and also with the NATO parliamentary assembly. Finally, the report looked at a number of specific cases. As far as the Middle East was concerned, the committee supported the "road map" proposed by the Mitchell Commission and the sending of international observers to the region. It regretted the fact that the Euro-Mediterranean partnership had suffered from the deadlock in the Middle East peace process and called for priority to be given to the Barcelona process in order to promote peace in the Mediterranean. A comprehensive social dialogue was needed in the Maghreb and Mashreq countries, in particular Algeria. In Africa, conflict prevention required a strategy that took account of issues such as water and energy supplies and the need to combat trafficking in weapons and drugs, and also allowed for greater involvement of NGOs. Regarding Iran, the report called for a policy of 'rapprochement' but demanded an unequivocal condemnation of terrorism before any trade or cooperation agreement could be reached.?

Progress in implementing the Common Foreign and Security Policy (Article 21 TEU)

The European Parliament adopted the resolution by Mr Elmar BROK (EPP-ED, D) on the progress achieved in the implementation of the common foreign and security policy. (Please refer to the previous text).?