

Procedure file

Basic information		
COS - Procedure on a strategy paper (historic)	2002/2150(COS)	Procedure completed
European Union consumer protection: legal aspects. Green paper		
Subject 4.60.06 Consumers' economic and legal interests		

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	JURI Legal Affairs and Internal Market	PPE-DE THYSSEN Marianne	08/10/2002
Council of the European Union	Council configuration	Meeting	Date
	Competitiveness (Internal Market, Industry, Research and Space)	2462	14/11/2002
	Competitiveness (Internal Market, Industry, Research and Space)	2426	21/05/2002
	Competitiveness (Internal Market, Industry, Research and Space)	2412	01/03/2002
	Competitiveness (Internal Market, Industry, Research and Space)	2389	26/11/2001
European Commission	Commission DG Health and Food Safety	Commissioner	

Key events			
02/10/2001	Non-legislative basic document published	COM(2001)0531	Summary
26/11/2001	Debate in Council	2389	
01/03/2002	Debate in Council	2412	
21/05/2002	Debate in Council	2426	
01/07/2002	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
14/11/2002	Debate in Council	2462	
20/02/2003	Vote in committee		Summary
20/02/2003	Committee report tabled for plenary	A5-0054/2003	
13/03/2003	Decision by Parliament	T5-0102/2003	Summary

13/03/2003	End of procedure in Parliament	
10/03/2004	Final act published in Official Journal	

Technical information

Procedure reference	2002/2150(COS)
Procedure type	COS - Procedure on a strategy paper (historic)
Procedure subtype	Commission strategy paper
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 142
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	JURI/5/16385

Documentation gateway

Non-legislative basic document	COM(2001)0531	02/10/2001	EC	Summary
Document attached to the procedure	B5-0108/2002	13/03/2002	EP	
Economic and Social Committee: opinion, report	CES0344/2002 OJ C 125 27.05.2002, p. 0001	20/03/2002	ESC	
Document attached to the procedure	COM(2002)0289	11/06/2002	EC	Summary
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading	A5-0054/2003	20/02/2003	EP	
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading	T5-0102/2003 OJ C 061 10.03.2004, p. 0320-0413 E	13/03/2003	EP	Summary

European Union consumer protection: legal aspects. Green paper

PURPOSE : to present a Green Paper on European Union Consumer Protection which aims to open a public debate on the future direction of EU consumer protection. CONTENT : the European Commission has adopted a this Green Paper on fair trading practices, addressing the key issues of consumer protection. The Green Paper aims to stimulate a wide debate on options to improve the functioning of the business-to-consumer (B2C) Internal Market. It sets out two main strategic options for the future development of EU regulation of B2C commercial practices. The first option is a strategy based on further harmonisation addressing specific issues and to continue the approach of the last two decades. The second option is based on complementing specific legislative measures with a framework directive covering B2C commercial practices. The paper also seeks views on priority areas for harmonisation and on the various options for a possible framework directive. In addition, it equally sets out options for ensuring and improving enforcement of consumer protection rules. The Commission wants to stimulate a wide debate on options to improve the functioning of the "business-to-consumer" (B2C) Internal Market. While the business-to-business (B2B) Internal Market is fairly well-developed, the potential of B2C Internal Market is not fully realised as indicated by the large divergences in prices of consumer goods between Member States and the limited volume of cross-border shopping. In short, the cross-border offer of consumer goods is insufficient. Consumers lack the confidence to take up the offers that do exist and businesses hesitate to directly offer their goods EU-wide because of the wide differences in national consumer protection rules and the limited scope of EU consumer protection legislation. The existing EU rules are not adequate to the challenge. They cover only a limited number of commercial practices, are often out-dated and lagging behind new market developments, and often designed to address one specific problem consumers were confronted with, such as for example the package travel or timeshare directives. There are many national rules and a wealth of national jurisprudence to protect consumers. The problem lies in their diversity. Consumers do not necessarily have the same rights in one Member State than in another. In addition, there currently is no formal framework for co-operation between the bodies enforcing consumer rights in the Member States. The main choice in the Green Paper is between: - a strategy based on further harmonisation addressing specific issues - a continuation of the past strategy - and - a strategy based on setting out core principles of consumer protection in a framework directive to complement specific legislative measures. The paper also seeks views on priority areas for harmonisation and on the various aspects of the possible framework directive. It also sets out options for ensuring and improving enforcement of consumer protection rules. The Green Paper sets out some ideas for the use of self-regulatory codes, but within a legislative framework. A legal backup to codes of conduct is necessary so that firms who break the rules are punished and consumers can be more certain they are respected. Business organisations that have experience with codes of conduct have said so explicitly themselves. The aim of a framework would be to ensure good practice (either based on fairness or non-misleading practices) in B2C commercial practices. There may be reasons for wanting to regulate these commercial practices in further detail, but that would be outside the scope of a framework directive. For example, a framework directive would not include rules concerning health and safety (like tobacco or alcohol advertising) or decency. Equally it would not aim to cover pure social policy aspects, such as shop opening hours. Practices regulated by national contract law will not be covered, leaving national laws which invalidate a contract or establish rules on redress unaffected. The Commission's recent Green Paper on contract law addresses this. A framework directive would supplement sector specific provisions and apply to aspects which are not regulated by such legislation. This is the central question for

consultation. The Green Paper offers a choice between the concepts of "fair commercial practices" or "misleading and deceptive practices". Both concepts have some basis in existing EU law, notably in the misleading advertising directive and the unfair contract terms directive. The concept of fair commercial practices, is broader than the misleading and deceptive practices concept. It covers the principle of good faith in the pre-contractual phase (e.g. disclosure of material information). For the post-contractual phase, it covers unfair and dishonest practices (e.g. those which unfairly deter consumers from switching suppliers would be targeted). The Green Paper on EU consumer protection presents new ideas for the use of self-regulatory codes within a legislative framework. A framework directive establishing EU-wide principles for fair trading practices would be adaptable and responsive to changes in market practices - allowing to tackle new unfair practices, such as those in the online world, quickly. It would however not include rules concerning health and safety (i.e. tobacco or alcohol advertising) or decency, or social policy issues such as shop opening hours. Although a framework could cover all commercial practices, specific legislation may still be needed to regulate specific practices or sectors in more detail. If the second option were chosen; one of the key questions for the consultation would be the scope of the directive. The Green Paper offers a choice between the concepts of "fair commercial practices" or "misleading and deceptive practices". Both concepts have some basis in existing EU law, notably in the misleading advertising Directive and the unfair contract terms Directive. The concept of fair commercial practices is broader than the concept of misleading and deceptive practices. It covers the principle of good faith in the pre-contractual phase, e.g. the disclosure of material information or high-pressure selling techniques. For the post-contractual phase, it covers unfair and dishonest practices, e.g. those practices which unfairly deter consumers from switching suppliers would be targeted. The Green paper also develops ideas for better enforcement of consumer rights in B2C transactions. Currently there is no formal framework for co-operation between the bodies enforcing consumer rights in Member States. Ideas are developed in some detail about setting up a system for co-operation between national consumer protection agencies and bodies to help consumers to get their rights respected abroad. The Commission will organise a hearing and an intensive consultation process with consumer and business organisations on the ideas put forward in the Green Paper on EU consumer protection. Further initiatives in this area will be decided in the light of the outcome of the debate.?

European Union consumer protection: legal aspects. Green paper

The committee adopted the report by Marianne THYSSEN (EPP-ED, B) welcoming the Commission's Green Paper on the future of EU consumer protection. MEPs nevertheless emphasised the need for simpler, better focused legislation that would be easier to enforce. The committee noted that a great deal had been achieved at Community level in the matter of consumer protection but took the view that the total arsenal of legislation applicable to the internal market should be aimed, not at securing full legislative approximation, but at setting minimum norms and standards. It added that it was particularly important to establish a "harmonious legal concept" covering the whole spectrum of economic transactions within the internal market, and to boost consumer confidence, in order to make e-Europe into a reality. The report acknowledged that maximum harmonisation could be effective in ironing out the fragmentation of business and consumer legislation. However, it stressed that, until the Commission had drawn up an impact study on the suitability of the maximum harmonisation approach, it should indicate, on a case-by-case basis, why it had opted for one or the other form of harmonisation. Regarding the mutual recognition and country of origin principles, MEPs pointed out that these could only be satisfactorily implemented once a sufficient degree of harmonisation and a high level of protection had been achieved. On the idea of developing a framework directive on fair commercial practices, as suggested in the Green Paper, the committee proposed that such a directive be accompanied by a non-exhaustive blacklist of practices considered detrimental to consumer interests. As to the idea of establishing European codes of conduct, MEPs said these should be in addition to, and not a substitute for, legislation. Signing up to such codes in the first place must be voluntary, but at the same time these codes must be made enforceable: any infringement of a voluntarily accepted code of conduct should be treated as equivalent to an unfair practice and be penalised by arbitration bodies or courts. Lastly the committee repeated its call for a coordinated approach between the consumer protection framework directive and the regulation on sales promotions.?

European Union consumer protection: legal aspects. Green paper

The European Parliament passed a resolution drafted by Marianne THYSSEN (EPP-ED, Belgium) on the Commission's Green Paper. (Please refer to the document dated 20/02/03.) Parliament also wanted to see the development of rules for a better treatment of Community law in cross border relations, and agreed that an internal market calls for coordinated market supervision. It supported the idea of intergovernmental cooperation by national enforcement authorities, which could operate to the advantage of mutual information and mutual assistance in specific cases. The Commission was asked to provide detailed information on the nature of the obstacles encountered, to quantify their impact on cross-border purchases and, on that basis, to continue consultations with all parties concerned, on both the production and the distribution sides, and with representatives of SMEs and consumer organisations.?