# Procedure file

# INI - Own-initiative procedure 2002/2260(INI) Procedure completed Taxes: taxation of passengers cars at national and Community levels Subject 2.70.02 Indirect taxation, VAT, excise duties 3.40.03 Motor industry, cycle and motorcycle, commercial and agricultural vehicles 3.70.15 Environmental taxation

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	ECON Economic and Monetary Affairs		01/10/2002
		PSE HONEYBALL Mary	
	Committee for opinion	Rapporteur for opinion	Appointed
	ITRE Industry, External Trade, Research, Energy	The committee decided not to give an opinion.	
	ENVI Environment, Public Health, Consumer Policy	1	09/12/2002
		PSE LANGE Bernd	
	RETT Regional Policy, Transport and Tourism		05/11/2002
		PPE-DE <u>VATANEN Ari</u>	
European Commission	Commission DG	Commissioner	
	Taxation and Customs Union		

Key events					
06/09/2002	Non-legislative basic document published	COM(2002)0431	Summary		
19/12/2002	Committee referral announced in Parliament				
08/07/2003	Vote in committee		Summary		
08/07/2003	Committee report tabled for plenary	A5-0265/2003			
05/11/2003	Debate in Parliament	-			
06/11/2003	Decision by Parliament	T5-0486/2003	Summary		
06/11/2003	End of procedure in Parliament				

Technical information
-----------------------

Procedure reference	2002/2260(INI)
Procedure type	INI - Own-initiative procedure
Procedure subtype	Initiative
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 54
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	ECON/5/16957

Documentation gateway						
Non-legislative basic document	COM(2002)0431	06/09/2002	EC	Summary		
Document attached to the procedure	SEC(2002)0858	06/09/2002	EC			
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading	A5-0265/2003	08/07/2003	EP			
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading	T5-0486/2003 OJ C 083 02.04.2004, p. 0020-0191 E	06/11/2003	EP	Summary		

### Taxes: taxation of passengers cars at national and Community levels

PURPOSE: to propose, for discussion in the Council and the European Parliament, policies and options for future action in the field of passenger car taxation. CONTENT: this communication is based on the outcome of two recent studies, as well as a number of best practices in use by Member States. It explores possibilities to: - modernise and simplify the existing vehicle taxation systems, and in particular to include new parameters in the tax bases of passenger car related taxes, in order to make them partially, or totally, CO2 based; - better co-ordinate and, at a later stage, to approximate passenger car taxation systems, and to remove tax obstacles and distortions to free circulation of passenger cars within the Internal Market. The EU passenger car market is fragmented into fifteen national markets, and this is partly due to very divergent policies in respect of car taxation. This raises a series of problems for both the European citizen and the car industry. The introduction of the Euro has started to bring greater price and tax transparency, and could increase pressure for more vehicle tax approximation. This Communication provides evidence that a number of policy measures and actions should be considered in the area of passenger car taxation, in order to provide definitive solutions for the problems faced by citizens and the car industry, and thus improve the functioning of the Internal Market and the benefits which EU consumers derive therefrom. Apart from this, the Communication has made clear that vehicle taxation can contribute to the achievement of the Kyoto Protocol commitments. The recommendations in this communication concern 2 main issues: 1) removing tax obstacles in the internal market for passenger cars: - registration tax levels should be gradually reduced, with a view of RT stabilisation at low levels, and preferably with a view to its total abolition. This action should take place over a transitional period of about 5 to 10 years. It should take into account the specific conditions in each Member State; - a gradual transfer of revenue from RT to ACT, and to some extent to fuel taxes, should be decided. This transfer is feasible, and can take place in parallel with the reduction of RT, in a budget neutral context; - action has to be taken in order to ensure a certain degree of ACT approximation among all Member States, in particular as regards tax bases. Reasonable ACT divergences would prevent car market fragmentation, and prevent increased tax avoidance in future; - the excise duty on diesel, used as fuel by passenger cars, should be gradually increased in view of its total alignment, in the medium term, to the excise duty applied on unleaded petrol. At the same time, ACT for diesel cars should be aligned to the ACT for petrol cars; - a RT refund system should be established without delay in all ten Member States currently applying a RT. This system should ensure, during the transitional period, a pro rata refund of the residual RT in all cases where a passenger car, registered in one Member State, is exported or is moved permanently to another Member State. Should such a system be adopted, it would resolve all problems relating to double payment of RT that the EU citizen faces today. The refund system should also apply in the case of cars moved permanently to another Member State in connection with a transfer of residence. The refund system would also have a positive influence on the export of used cars, because prices can be lower as a consequence of the refund of RT; - general rules with regard to the method of calculation of RT on used cars imported from other Member States should be established. This method of calculation of the residual amount of RT should also apply to the refund system; - general rules should be established with regard to the avoidance of double taxation of ACT. 2) fiscal measures one of the tools for CO2 emissions reduction of cars: - Vehicle tax bases need to be restructured in order to establish a more direct relation between taxation levels and the CO2 emissions of new passenger cars. Both RT and ACT should be turned into entirely CO2 based taxes, or at least a CO2 sensitive element should be added to both of them. This structural change is necessary in order to optimise the effect of taxation on the reduction of CO2 emissions from new passenger cars. It should take into account the specific conditions in each Member State. For example if the RT in a Member State is already rather low, the transitional period during which the RT will be further reduced could be relatively short. In this case, it may not be worthwhile to carry through this structural change in the RT. The restructuring should then focus on the ACT, in a context of gradual approximation of tax bases; - all Member States should establish tax differentiation as an important parameter for making passenger car tax systems more CO2 efficient and optionally to contribute to the reduction of other emissions. The optimal level of tax differentiation could differ from one Member State to another, taking into account the particular characteristics of each Member State's market. - company car taxation has to take into account the general objectives of EU policies, and in particular, those of transport and environmental policies. Lastly, the Commission recommends that the Council approve these general principles and that the Member States should take into account these general principles when evaluating and revising their national vehicle taxation systems. The Commission, based on these principles and in the light of the results of the consultation process, could submit proposals for Community legislation.?

### Taxes: taxation of passengers cars at national and Community levels

The committee adopted the own-initiative report drawn up by Mary HONEYBALL (PES, UK) in response to the Commission communication on taxation of passenger cars in the EU. The committee agreed that there was an urgent need to restructure vehicle taxes in the EU and that urgent action must be taken at national level to remove barriers to the free movement of persons and cars so as to guarantee the proper functioning of the internal market, while also addressing wider policy considerations including the EU's environmental commitments. It said that, without environment-oriented taxation, the objectives of the three-pillar Community strategy on CO2 reduction and the shared goal of achieving a CO2 level of 120 g/km for new cars by 2010 at the latest would be in jeopardy and could not be realised. The committee therefore called on the Council to implement the third pillar of the Community CO2 strategy speedily. It also called on the Commission and Member States to ensure that tax benefits are accorded to low-pollution passenger vehicles. The report added that it was unacceptable that, 10 years after the launch of the Single Market, such a large number of obstacles to transfer of cars caused by administrative practices or procedures still remained. It said that, for example, registration and insurance requirements for temporary transfers from one Member State should be reformed and made more flexible and the time and expense required for the import or export of a vehicle should be reduced. The Council was urged to make greater efforts to facilitate the passage of the necessary measures, which concerned issues directly affecting the daily lives of European citizens. The committee also called on the Commission to present proposals, before the end of November 2003, as a temporary solution pending measures to abolish registration taxes, and to present proposals to overcome internal market barriers resulting from registration taxes.?

## Taxes: taxation of passengers cars at national and Community levels

The European Parliament adopted a resolution based on the own-initiative report drafted by Mary HONEYBALL (PES, United Kingdom) on the Commission's Communication. (Please see the document dated 08/07/03.) The Commission's proposals on a temporary solution regarding registration taxes must be presented as soon as practicable. Furthermore, Parliament added that an annual circulation tax is a transparent instrument clearly identifying the component parts relating to emission and consumption levels and is easily understandable and easy to use. It asked the Commission for a proposal on the structure of car purchase taxes and/or annual road taxes in the EU, which might include the following points: - an exclusive focus on emissions which affect the environment (vehicle emissions, particles and carbon dioxide), - classification of each vehicle type according to EU directives on vehicle emission reductions (EURO I, II, III) and establishment of a basic tax for each type which would take account of any lower excise duty on diesel fuel, - a progressively graduated CO2 supplement to be levied on passenger cars producing more than 120 g/km of CO2 emissions, which would be added to the basic tax to calculate the final tax level, - rebates to be allowed for vehicles with less than 120 g/km of CO2 emissions, to be structured so as to ensure that 'three-litre cars' are tax-free. Parliament pointed out that the proposal to remove the favourable tax treatment for diesel cars in most Member States may be counterproductive insofar as the objective to reduce greenhouse gas emissions is concerned.?