## Procedure file

Basic information		
INI - Own-initiative procedure	2002/2212(INI)	Procedure completed
External borders: integrated management of b of the Union	orders of the Member States	
Subject 7.10.04 External borders crossing and controls	s, visas	

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	LIBE Citizens' Freedoms and Rights, Justice and Home Affairs		23/05/2002
		PPE-DE PIRKER Hubert	
	Committee for opinion	Rapporteur for opinion	Appointed
	AFET Foreign Affairs, Human Rights, Common Security, Defense	The committee decided not to give an opinion.	
European Commission	Commission DG	Commissioner	
	Justice and Consumers		

Key events				
07/05/2002	Non-legislative basic document published	COM(2002)0233	Summary	
24/10/2002	Committee referral announced in Parliament			
10/12/2002	Vote in committee		Summary	
10/12/2002	Committee report tabled for plenary	A5-0449/2002		
13/01/2003	Debate in Parliament	-		
15/01/2003	Decision by Parliament	<u>T5-0013/2003</u>	Summary	
15/01/2003	End of procedure in Parliament			
12/02/2004	Final act published in Official Journal			

Technical information	
Procedure reference	2002/2212(INI)
Procedure type	INI - Own-initiative procedure
Procedure subtype	Initiative
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 54

Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	LIBE/5/16838

Documentation gateway				
Non-legislative basic document	COM(2002)0233	07/05/2002	EC	Summary
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading	A5-0449/2002	10/12/2002	EP	
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading	T5-0013/2003 OJ C 038 12.02.2004, p. 0175-0263 E	15/01/2003	EP	Summary

## External borders: integrated management of borders of the Member States of the Union

PURPOSE: on the management of the European Union's external borders. CONTENT: The security of the external borders of the EU is an essential subject for European citizens. The EU already possesses an exact acquis communautaire in this area, but the main difficulty is the ability to organise between Member States all the operational synergies that are necessary. Moreover, in the context of expansion, citizens recall the necessity to improve the level of enlarged security of an enlarged EU. This is now a priority question. Four major needs have to be met: -ensure mutual confidence between the Member States which have abolished checks at their internal borders and thereby facilitate movement of travellers; -increase the effectiveness of the fight against illegal immigration, and trafficking in human beings and drugs, whilst respecting the right to asylum; -combating the threats posed by terrorism; -guarantee a high level of security within the EU after enlargement, in particular after the new Member States have been authorised to implement the Schengen acquis, which will consequently have an increase in the external land borders in a regional environment which is frequently more difficult. This Communication starts by taking stock of the situation and describing the acquis and existing operational practices, so as to lead to a diagnosis of the needs of the EU in the process of expansion. On the basis of this diagnosis it proposes the development of a common policy on management of the external borders of the EU, incorporating the components which are regarded as inseparable from each other. The Commission recommends structuring projects and ensuring continuity within a common policy of integrated management of external borders. This common policy should include at least five mutually interdependent components: -a common corpus of legislation; -a common co-ordination and operational co-operation mechanism. This involves the establishment of an External borders practitioners common unit involving those responsible for border guards service in the Member States and the representatives of various services whose functions also contribute to security at external borders. The common unit should have a steering role to carry out integrated risk analysis, co-ordinate projects on the ground, encourage major convergence in the field of the staff and the equipment, exercise an inspection function and put forward emergency operational measures. Certain measures may require Treaty amendment in due time. In the medium term, the unit should explore the need for a security procedure at external borders, consisting of establishing exchanges and processing of information between the authorities concerned with security at borders, possibly setting up permanent contact points. -common integrated risk analysis; -staff trained in the European dimension and inter-operational equipment; -financial burden sharing between Member States in the run up to a European Corps of Border Guards. The first function of the latter would be the "common surveillance" of the most sensitive places, particularly maritime borders, before assuming checking functions at crossing border points. Democratic and jurisdictional control of these activities must be assured.?

## External borders: integrated management of borders of the Member States of the Union

The committee adopted the own-initiative report by Hubert PIRKER (EPP-ED, A) on the Commission communication on integrated managment of the EU's borders. It approved the plan adopted by the Council on 13 June 2002 and in particular the decision to have the Common Manual on border protection revised and based on what the Member States have found to be best practice. However, with regard to operational coordination and cooperation, it pointed out that the International Airports Plan was inappropriate for the devising and testing of standard procedures, as the situation at airports was very specific and totally different from every other border situation. As regards training, the committee proposed that a European Staff College be established, so that Europe-wide standards could be guaranteed. As regards the technical equipment used for border protection, it called for measures to be taken to ensure the compatibility of the Member States' equipment. Future radar and satellite-based surveillance systems should be designed in such a way as to ensure smooth cooperation between Member States. The committee therefore wanted to see support for the development of new technologies which would facilitate border controls at European level. Furthermore, it called on the Council to draw up proposals for uniform procurement of mobile surveillance equipment, which might be used, as required, for temporarily sensitive sections of the EU·s external borders. The report also called on Europol to draw up an integrated risk analysis model for border control which should be constantly updated. Moreover, there should be cooperation between Schengen and Europol for the successful accomplishment of specific tasks, such as the fight against people smugglers. The committee regretted that, in its Plan, the Council had said very little about the idea of a European Corps of Border Guards. It endorsed the setting up of a jointly financed Corps, consisting of specialist units which would, at the request of the Member States, be temporarily seconded to assist national authorities at vulnerable sections of the EU·s external borders. The committee said that a new legal basis was required for the establishment of a European Corps of Border Guards and therefore called on the Convention to debate this issue so that the idea of a joint Corps could be implemented in the medium term.?

## External borders: integrated management of borders of the Member States of the Union

The European Parliament adopted an own-initiative resolution drafted by Hubert PIRKER (EPP-ED, Austria) on integrated management of the external borders of the EU. The resolution was adopted by 384 to 111 with 47 abstentions. (Please refer to the summary dated 10/12/02).?