# Procedure file

transport)

# **Basic information** 2003/0119(COD) Procedure completed COD - Ordinary legislative procedure (ex-codecision procedure) Regulation Persistent organic pollutants See also 2003/0118(CNS) Repealed by 2018/0070(COD) Subject 3.70.02 Atmospheric pollution, motor vehicle pollution 3.70.04 Water control and management, pollution of waterways, water pollution 3.70.06 Soil pollution, deterioration 3.70.09 Transfrontier pollution 3.70.12 Waste management, domestic waste, packaging, light industrial 3.70.13 Dangerous substances, toxic and radioactive wastes (storage,

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	ENVI Environment, Public Health, Consumer Policy		09/09/2003
		GUE/NGL FRAHM Pernille	
	Committee for opinion	Rapporteur for opinion	Appointed
	JURI Legal Affairs and Internal Market		20/10/2003
		PSE ZIMERAY François	
	ITRE Industry, External Trade, Research, Energy		02/10/2003
		ELDR <u>NEWTON DUNN Bill</u>	
Council of the European Union	Council configuration	Meeting	Date
	Agriculture and Fisheries	2578	26/04/2004
European Commission	Commission DG	Commissioner	
	Environment		

Key events			
tcy events			
19/06/2003	Committee referral announced in Parliament, 1st reading		
21/01/2004	Vote in committee, 1st reading		Summary
21/01/2004	Committee report tabled for plenary, 1st reading	A5-0017/2004	
25/02/2004	Debate in Parliament	-	
26/02/2004	Decision by Parliament, 1st reading	T5-0109/2004	Summary
26/04/2004	Act adopted by Council after Parliament's 1st reading		
29/04/2004	End of procedure in Parliament		

30/04/2004	Final act signed	
30/04/2004	Final act published in Official Journal	

Technical information	
Procedure reference	2003/0119(COD)
Procedure type	COD - Ordinary legislative procedure (ex-codecision procedure)
Procedure subtype	Legislation
Legislative instrument	Regulation
	See also <u>2003/0118(CNS)</u> Repealed by <u>2018/0070(COD)</u>
Legal basis	EC Treaty (after Amsterdam) EC 095-p1; EC Treaty (after Amsterdam) EC 175-p1
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed

Documentation gateway					
Legislative proposal		COM(2003)0333	12/06/2003	EC	Summary
Committee opinion	JURI	PE338.432/DEF	20/11/2003	EP	
Committee draft report		PE337.044	24/11/2003	EP	
Committee opinion	ITRE	PE337.399/DEF	12/12/2003	EP	
Amendments tabled in committee		PE337.044/AM	09/01/2004	EP	
Committee report tabled for plenary, 1st reading/single reading		<u>A5-0017/2004</u>	21/01/2004	EP	
Text adopted by Parliament, 1st reading/single reading		T5-0109/2004 OJ C 098 23.04.2004, p. 0019-0127 E	26/02/2004	EP	Summary
Document attached to the procedure		COM(2008)0462	16/07/2008	EC	Summary
Follow-up document		COM(2010)0514	28/09/2010	EC	Summary
Follow-up document		COM(2014)0306	03/06/2014	EC	Summary
Follow-up document		SWD(2014)0172	03/06/2014	EC	Summary
For information		COM(2015)0409	27/08/2015	EC	
Follow-up document		COM(2018)0848	04/01/2019	EC	Summary
Follow-up document		SWD(2018)0495	04/01/2019	EC	
Follow-up document		COM(2021)0109	09/03/2021	EC	
Follow-up document		SWD(2021)0053	09/03/2021	EC	

Additional	inform	ation

European Commission <u>EUR-Lex</u>

# Final act

Regulation 2004/850

## Persistent organic pollutants

PURPOSE: proposal for a Regulation on persistent organic pollutants and to amend Directives 79/117/EEC and 96/59/EC CONTENT: persistent organic pollutants (POPs) are chemical substances that persist in the environment, bioaccumulate through the food web, and pose a risk of causing adverse effects to human health and the environment. These pollutants are transported across international boundaries far from their sources, even to regions where they have never been used or produced. The ecosystems and indigenous people of the Arctic are particularly at risk because of the long-range environmental transportation and biomagnification of these substances. Consequently, persistent organic pollutants pose a threat to the environment and to human health all over the globe. The European Community and its Member States signed the Protocol to the regional UNECE Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution (CLRTAP) on POPs in June 1998 and the global Stockholm Convention on POPs in May 2001. The purpose of the proposed Regulation is to give effect to the main provisions of the Convention and the Protocol which are not yet sufficiently covered by Community legislation. Separate proposals for Council Decisions concerning the Community's conclusions on these two international agreements are being submitted in parallel to this proposal. (See CNS/2003/0118). Current Community legislation includes restrictions on marketing and use of most of the intentionally produced substances listed in the Convention and in the Protocol. The main deficiency in the present Community legislation is that there is no legislation on prohibition of the production of any of the currently listed chemicals nor is there any framework to prohibit the production of new POPs added in the agreements in future. Another significant deficiency is that most of the existing Community prohibitions on the marketing and use of specific POP chemicals are not complete, as Directive 79/117/EEC only covers use of substances as plant protection products, not e.g. biocidal or industrial uses. Furthermore, placing on the market and use of chemicals occurring as constituents of articles are not in all cases banned, either, and exemptions given in the two limitations Directives are wider than those set in the Convention. The proposed Regulation would implement the main provisions of the Stockholm Convention and the UNECE Protocol on intentionally produced POPs by prohibiting the production, use and placing on the market of the listed substances. To ensure a high level of protection of health and the environment, the proposed new Regulation would not make use of the possibility offered by the international agreements of continuing the production, placing on the market and use of some of the listed substances for limited uses. The proposed Regulation would also contain certain provisions on stockpiles and waste, which to some extent would go beyond those set in the Convention. As the necessary legislation on the listed by-products is to a great extent in place, it is proposed to include only provisions on release inventories and on the development and implementation of the national action plans in the Regulation. In addition to provisions on control measures, the proposal contains certain general obligations based on the provisions of the Convention and the Protocol. Adding new POP substances is a crucial element of the proposed Regulation, and new chemicals will most likely be added relatively soon both in the Convention and in the UNECE Protocol. After a decision on listing of a substance is made under either of the two international legally binding instruments on POPs, the Regulation would be amended, as appropriate, by using a regulatory committee procedure. This is sufficient because all Member States and the Council would have already dealt with the listing of a new POP during international negotiations. This procedure provides a possibility also for the European Parliament to react on the Commission proposals and decisions taken. The regulatory committee procedure would enable the Community to decide on the inclusion of a substance in the given one year timeframe. For the sake of legal clarity, it is proposed to repeal the obsolete marketing and use restrictions on eight POP pesticides set out in Council Directive 79/117/EEC. In addition, it is proposed to make a slight amendment in the PCB Disposal Directive (96/59/EC). However, the present restrictions on PCBs would remain intact.?

#### Persistent organic pollutants

The committee adopted the report by Pernille FRAHM (EUL/NGL, DK) amending the proposal under the 1st reading of the codecision procedure. The report sought to tighten up the proposed measures and bring the regulation more into line with the Stockholm Convention: - it should be clearly stated that the aim of the regulation is to protect human health and the environment and, as well as banning the production, trade and use of POPs, to eliminate releases and establish provisions for the disposal of such substances; - Member States and the Commission should take steps to prevent the creation of new POPs; - sufficient funding should be provided for the disposal of obsolete stockpiles as waste; - stockpiles of obsolete pesticides containing POPs should be disposed of within 36 months of the regulation's entry into force. Permanent storage should not be permitted; - when considering proposals to construct new facilities or industrial sites that release chemicals, Member States should give priority to alternative processes, techniques or practices which avoid the formation and release of POPs; - a "name and shame" system, updated annually, should be put in place to send out a clear message that breaking the law is unacceptable. Other amendments focused on ensuring greater transparency by making information - such as penalties for infringements accessible to the public on Internet; - Member States should develop educational and public awareness programmes - targeted especially at women, children and the least educated - on POPs and on their health and environmental effects. They should also ensure public participation in addressing POPs and related problems and implement specific training programmes for workers, scientists, educators and technical and managerial personnel; - Annex II (List of substances subject to restrictions) should be deleted as MEPs considered that the only substance listed in it - HCH, including lindane - should be prohibited right away and therefore moved to Annex I containing the list of substances subject to prohibitions; - Hexabromobiphenyl should be included in Annex IV concerning the substances subject to waste management provisions. The concentration limits for Annex IV should be specified by 31 December 2005.?

#### Persistent organic pollutants

The European Parliament adopted a resolution drafted by Pernille FRAHM (EUL/NGL, DK) on persistent organic pollutants and reached a political compromise with the Council. By adopting the compromise at the first reading of co-decision Parliament ensures that the regulation enters into force quickly. Parliament was not content with the ban on production, marketing and use of POPs. Accordingly, it secured from the Council the addition of a provision introducing a total ban on HCHs like lindane by 2007 at the latest. Parliament also secured detailed provisions regarding waste containing or contaminated by any of these pollutants, specifying that disposal or recovery operations that may lead to recycling or re-use of the pollutants should be prohibited. A new Annex IVa on waste management is added to the Regulation. Existing stockpiles which consist of or contain banned persistent organic pollutants should be managed as waste as soon as possible. If other

substances are banned in the future, their stocks should also be destroyed without delay and no new stockpiles should be built up. In view of the particular problems of certain new Member States, adequate financial and technical assistance should be provided through existing financial Community instruments, such as the Cohesion and Structural Funds. It is possible to obtain derogations from this prohibition, and Parliament has imposed conditions on derogations. Furthermore, the Commission must, before 31 December 2009, review the derogations in the light of international and technical developments, in particular with regard to their environmental preferability. The Commission must submit a report on the workings of the Regulation every three years, and the report must contain information on the use of derogations given. An amendment to Part A of Annex 1 giving the list of substances prohibited in the Convention and Protocol contains an amendment of DDT. Member States may allow the existing production and use of DDT as a closed-system site-limited intermediate for the production of dicofol until 1 January 2014, in accordance with Article 4(3). The Commission must review this exemption by 31.12.2008 in the light of the outcome of the evaluation in the framework of Directive 91/414/EEC. Parliament did give the Member States more freedom on waste disposal in exceptional cases. One amendment obliges the Member States, when preparing their national implementation plans, to give the public early and effective opportunities to participate in the process. A new recital also stated that public awareness of the hazards that persistent organic pollutants pose to the health of present and future generations as well as to the environment, particularly in developing countries, is often lacking, and wide-scale information is therefore needed to increase the level of caution and gain support for restrictions and bans. In accordance with the Convention, public awareness programmes on these substances, especially for the most vulnerable groups, as well as training of workers, scientists, educators and technical personnel should be promoted and facilitated, as appropriate. Parliament went on to specify that when Member States study proposals concerning the construction of new installations or new industrial sites liable to discharge such chemicals, they should give priority to alternative processes, techniques and practices to avoid the creation and discharge of POPs. In addition, Parliament specified that information on infringements of the provisions of the Regulation should be made public, where appropriate, thereby introducing a "name-and-shame" system. Parliament added definitions of 'preparation' and 'disposal'. Finally, Parliament stated that common concentration limits for the substances in waste should be established before 31 December 2005.?

## Persistent organic pollutants

PURPOSE: to prohibit, phase out, or restrict the production, placing on the market and use of persistent organic pollutants (POPs).

LEGISLATIVE ACT: Regulation 850/2004/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on persistent organic pollutants and amending Directive 79/117/EEC.

CONTENT: This Regulation takes into account the precautionary principle. Its objective is the protection of human health and the environment by prohibiting, phasing out as soon as possible, or restricting the production, placing on the market and use of substances subject to the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants, ("the Convention"), or the 1998 Protocol to the 1979 Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution on Persistent Organic Pollutants ("the Protocol"). It also minimises, with a view to eliminating where feasible as soon as possible, releases of such substances, and establishes provisions regarding waste containing any of these substances. There are certain exemptions from control measures.

The main points are as follows:

- The production, placing on the market and use of substances listed in Annex I is prohibited.
- The production, placing on the market and use of substances listed in Annex II, is restricted in accordance with the conditions set out in that Annex.
- On the matter of minimizing release, within two years of the date of entry into force of the Regulation, Member States must draw up release inventories for the substances listed in Annex III into air, water and land.
- Disposal or recovery operations that may lead to recovery, recycling, reclamation or re-use of the substances listed in Annex IV are prohibited, with certain derogations.
- The production and use of hexachlorocyclohexane (HCH), including lindane, is subject to restrictions under the Protocol but not totally prohibited. That substance is still used in some Member States and therefore it is not possible to prohibit immediately all existing uses. However, in view of the harmful properties of HCH and the possible risks related to its release into the environment, its production and uses must be confined to a minimum and ultimately phased out by the end of 2007 at the latest.
- Since obsolete or carelessly managed stockpiles of persistent organic pollutants may seriously endanger the environment and human health, the Regulation contains provisions that go beyond the provisions laid down in the Convention. Stockpiles of prohibited substances must be treated as waste, while stockpiles of substances the production or use of which is still allowed must be notified to the authorities and properly supervised. In particular, existing stockpiles which contain banned persistent organic pollutants must be managed as waste as soon as possible. If other substances are banned in the future, their stocks must also be destroyed without delay and no new stockpiles should be built up. In view of the particular problems of certain new Member States, adequate financial and technical assistance will be provided through existing Community financial instruments, such as the Cohesion and Structural Funds.
- The Regulation lays down rules on the common concentration limits of POPs in waste. To ensure a high level of protection, common concentration limits for the substances in waste should be established before 31 December 2005.
- The Convention provides that each Party is to draw up a plan for the implementation of its obligations under the Convention. Member States must provide opportunities for public participation in drawing up their implementation plans. Since the Community and the Member States share competence in that regard, implementation plans must be drawn up both at national and Community level. Cooperation and an exchange of information between the Commission and the authorities of the Member States must be promoted.
- Public awareness of the hazards that persistent organic pollutants pose to the health of present and future generations as well as to the environment, particularly in developing countries, is often lacking, and wide-scale information is therefore needed to increase the level of caution and gain support for restrictions and bans. Public awareness programmes on these substances, especially for the most vulnerable groups, as well as training of workers, scientists, educators, technical and managerial personnel must be promoted.
- Technical assistance to developing countries should include the development and implementation of suitable alternative products, methods and strategies, inter alia, to the use of DDT in disease vector control which, under the Convention, can only be used in accordance with World Health Organisation recommendations and guidelines and when locally safe, effective and affordable alternatives are not available to the country in question
- Member States must report every three years to the Commission, in particular as regards release inventories, notified stockpiles and the production and placing on the market of restricted substances.
- Information on infringements of the provisions of this Regulation should be made public, where appropriate.

- Finally, on the question of DDT, the existing production and use of DDT as a closed-system site-limited intermediate for the production of dicofol is allowed until January 2014. The Commission shall review this exemption by 31.12.2008 in the light of the outcome of the evaluation in the framework of Directive 91/414/EEC.

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 20/05/04.

### Persistent organic pollutants

The Commission submits a proposal for a Council regulation amending Annexes IV and V to Regulation (EC) No 850/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the treatment of waste containing persistent organic pollutants in thermal and metallurgical production processes.

It notes that Article 7(2) of Regulation 2004/850/EC on persistent organic pollutants provides that waste consisting of, containing or contaminated with persistent organic pollutants is treated in accordance with Annex V of this Regulation in such a way that the persistent organic pollutant content is destroyed or irreversibly transformed. Under Articles 7(6) and 14(3) the Commission may adapt Annex IV and V to the scientific and technical progress. The Commission will be assisted by the prescribed committee established by Directive 75/442/EEC, repealed by Directive 2006/12/EC.

The Commission submitted a draft Regulation amending Annex IV and V for vote in the Committee established under Art 18 of Directive 2006/12/EC on 7 May 2008. There was no qualified majority for the draft Regulation.

Thus according to the procedure set out in Article 5 of Decision 468/1999/EC a proposal for a Council Regulation is submitted to Council. If Council has not acted within three month from the date of referral of the proposal, the proposed measures shall be adopted by the Commission.

The toxic equivalent factors used in Annexes IV and V to Regulation (EC) No 850/2004 to calculate concentration limits for PCDDs and PCDFs were updated by the World Health Organisation in 2005 on the basis of latest scientific information. This will be reflected in Annexes IV and V to Regulation (EC) No 850/2004.

### Persistent organic pollutants

The Commission presents a report on the application of Regulation (EC) No 850/2004 on persistent organic pollutants in accordance with Article 12(6) of the Regulation.

It recalls that the Regulation contains provisions regarding production, placing on the market and use of chemicals, management of stockpiles and wastes and measures to reduce unintentional releases of POPs. Furthermore, Member States must set up emission inventories for unintentionally produced POPs, national implementation plans (NIPs) and monitoring and information exchange mechanisms.

The first synthesis report was finalised on behalf of the Commission in 2009 based on the 2004?2006 triennial reports and the 2006?2008 annual reports. This report discusses the findings of the synthesis report and progress achieved in the implementation of the Community Implementation Plan (CIP) until the end of 2009. In addition the report recommends further actions to ensure the full implementation of the Regulation.

The Commission finds that the requirements of the Regulation are largely fulfilled in relation to intentionally produced POPs. Productions, placing on the market and use have been phased-out; stock inventories have been prepared and are being updated. Member States continue their efforts to eliminate the stockpiles particularly in view of the end of 2010 deadline for PCB equipments. Emission inventories for unintentionally produced POPs have been established, but they suffer from data gaps and inconsistencies. Data gaps include insufficient coverage of sources, environmental compartments, number of POP substances and changes in completeness of estimations and reporting methodology. Inconsistencies are observed between E-PRTR (European Pollutant Release and Transfer Register) and EMEP Co-operative programme for monitoring and evaluation of the long range transmission of air pollutants in Europe) emission estimates.

Emission data deficits are especially critical for water and land compartments and for HCB and PCB emissions, but all evaluations would benefit from improved reporting. This includes the need to up-date, further specify and review the use of emissions factors. This will be addressed by ongoing review projects for the EMEP/EEA Guidebook and the UNEP dioxin toolkit. A systematic information exchange of Member State authorities on applied methodologies would be an additional tool to further improve the reliability of estimates.

Elaboration of NIPs pursuant to the Convention requirements and the related establishment of NAPs for unintentionally released POPs are not yet completed or have not even started in a number of Member States. 19 Member States have developed NIPs and related NAPs and provided them to the Convention Secretariat.

NAPs generally contain descriptions of measures to identify, characterise and minimise releases of unintentionally produced POPs. The expansion of the inventories from PCDD/PCDFs and PAHs to PCBs and HCB is a priority. Measures to implement the IPPC Directive, BAT and other EU emission limits are still ongoing in many Member States. Domestic combustion has become a new priority issue (caused by efforts to replace use of fossil fuels) followed by elimination of stocks and environmental burdens, as well as open burning of waste.

Waste provisions are well implemented. Concentration thresholds for upper and lower POP content have been established. Changes to the existing derogation clause providing an option to deal with waste in exceptional circumstances as alternatives to destruction or irreversibly transformation of the POPs content are not envisaged.

POP environmental monitoring is established in most Member States. However, there is no EU level database enabling evaluation of time trends in the environment, nor is the information provided by Member States sufficient to evaluate policy effectiveness at EU level. A more comprehensive and detailed compilation of comparable monitoring data at EU level and establishment of a common information system is needed.

Compliance with the reporting obligation is not satisfactory. A significant number of Member States has not respected their reporting obligations. The quality of information provided must improve. The reporting format would benefit from a revision to increase clarity and

compatibility with SEIS principles. Greater coordination of EU assistance would improve its effectiveness and visibility.

The Commission will continue to work with Member States to improve implementation with the aim of protecting human health and the environment from POPs.

### Persistent organic pollutants

This Commission Staff Working Document accompanies the report on the review and update of the first European Community Implementation Plan in accordance with Article 8(4) of Regulation No 850/2004 on persistent organic pollutants.

The Staff Working Document presents a status quo of the EU's execution of its obligations as a Party to the above-mentioned international frameworks. It describes the relevant EU legislation put in place (including the POPs Regulation, REACH, and the CLP Regulation) as well as the financial instruments that support implementation.

In an effort to provide as detailed a picture as possible, the document proceeds to give an overall assessment of POPs, regarding their production, their use, their placing on the market as well as with regard to existing stockpiles and the contamination of the waste stream.

The Working Document also includes an in-depth analysis of each individual obligation of the Stockholm Convention affecting the EU's treatment of POPs. Subsequent to this analysis, the Commission identifies 26 technical measures to improve implementation of the EU's obligations under Stockholm.

#### Persistent organic pollutants

The Commission presents a report on the review and update of the first European Community Implementation Plan in accordance with Article 8 (4) of Regulation No 850/2004 on persistent organic pollutants.

To recall, Regulation (EC) 850/2004 on persistent organic pollutants (the POPs Regulation) was adopted in April 2004, in order to implement the Stockholm Convention and the POPs Protocol under the Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution (the UNECE Protocol on POPs) within the European Union.

Pursuant to Article 8(4) of the POPs Regulation, the first European Community Implementation Plan (CIP) was developed in 2007. It identified existing measures at EU level related to POPs, assessed their efficiency and sufficiency in meeting the obligations of the Convention, identified needs for further Union level measures and established a plan for implementing further measures.

Further to Article 8(4) of the POPs Regulation, a review and update of the CIP has now been considered appropriate in light of a number of changes in the regulatory landscape:

- the inclusion of a number of new POPs into the Stockholm Convention and the UNECE Protocol on POPs;
- the technical and legislative progress made in the area;
- the findings of the Commission Report on the application of the POPs Regulation.

The reviewed and updated CIP will be referred to as the Union Implementation Plan (UIP) on POPs and a Commission Staff Working Document of this UIP is attached as an annex to this report.

#### Persistent organic pollutants

In accordance with Article 8(4) of Regulation No 850/2004 on persistent organic pollutants, the Commission presents a report on the review and update of the second European Union Implementation Plan.

It recalls that under the 2001 Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs), the EU is required to develop a plan for the implementation of its obligations under this Convention. The first European implementation plan was developed in 2007 and updated in 2014. The review and update of the second implementation plan has become necessary to further address (i) the inclusion of a number of new persistent organic pollutants into the Stockholm Convention, and (ii) the technical and legislative progress made in the area.

In the light of the obligations stemming from the Stockholm Convention and taking into account the situation in the European Union, the implementation plan outlines 30 actions that are needed to meet the obligations. 8 actions are new and address in particular the newly 8 listed chemicals. 9 actions are continuous actions and 13 actions were already listed in the previous implementation plan and are still ongoing since they have not yet been completed.

#### Progress achieved

Significant progress towards the elimination of POPs has been achieved. Production and use of all POP substances is prohibited with some minor exemptions. A main challenge for the EU is to eliminate POPs from the waste cycle and remaining stockpiles as these still present a major emission source.

The release of POPs due to unintentional production remains one of the most important issues to be tackled in the EU. Several actions are thus dedicated to the development of corresponding measures with the goal to reach a further reduction of POP emissions. Prevention of the formation of unintentional POPs through the development of processes and technologies that avoid their formation should mainly be addressed in the area of industrial production but also cover domestic sources such as diffuse incineration sources. There is still need for additional research and technological development.

The report notes the following:

- although the production and use of the polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) tetraBDE, pentaBDE, hexaBDE and heptaBDE has been phased out due to regulatory measures and their replacement by decaBDE, their presence in waste of electrical and electronic equipment

(WEEE) is still a challenge in the EU. There are indications that only few full-scale e-waste recycling facilities separate plastics containing PBDEs as required by EU legislation. Therefore, there are some doubts whether the capacity of EU recycling plants for separation of PBDEs containing plastic from other plastic are currently sufficiently developed to separate a major part of PBDE containing plastic waste stream. Data suggest that the flow of plastics recovered from WEEE and containing PBDE is not currently being properly controlled in European recycling operations;

- further efforts are required to meet the objective under the Stockholm Convention of a phase-out of the use of polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) by 2025. Information about current amounts of PCB equipment and PCB wastes in the EU showed that there are still significant quantities of PCB equipment in use. The quantities of PCB that were used in open applications is unknown, as is the quantities of products containing PCBs still in use or capable of emitting to the natural environment;
- there is limited on-going production of perfluorooctane sulfonic acid, its salts and perfluorooctane sulfonyl fluoride (PFOS) in the EU. The use of PFOS in the metal plating industry is the main remaining source for PFOS releases from an intended purpose. Alternatives and substitutes have already been investigated for this use, which need to be implemented to completely phase-out the use of PFOS. The identification and management of sites contaminated by hexachlorocyclohexane (HCH) waste represents a challenge within the Union. An updated assessment revealed that deposited HCH wastes within the EU might amount up to 1.8 to 3 million tonnes. Seventeen Member States have identified contaminated land as an issue within existing national implementation plans, with further action needed to help address this issue. A coordinated strategy for the identification of contaminated sites and for their environmentally sound remediation may be necessary.

#### Monitoring and reporting

The report states that there is a knowledge gap on the chemical burden, despite the fact that Member States' authorities, research organisations and EU bodies are making significant efforts to monitor numerous chemicals in various matrices (water, air, biota, soil, human milk, etc.). This occurs because the chemical data generated by the monitoring activities are not being collected, managed and assessed in a coherent and accessible manner. To address this gap, an information platform for chemical monitoring data has been established at the European scale and a coordinated and integrated approach to collecting, storing, accessing and assessing of data will be ensured in future.

The report also states that several Member States have not met their reporting obligations and need to improve.

Lastly, the Commission considers that the EU should develop mechanisms for better coordination between the bilateral aid programmes of the Commission and those of the Member States with regards to POPs in order to ensure that the available resources are used more efficiently. To increase awareness and demonstrate the support provided by EU financial instruments that are relevant for POP-related action, specific information could be provided on the POP specific Commission website.