

# Procedure file

Basic information		
CNS - Consultation procedure JHA act	2004/0818(CNS)	Procedure lapsed or withdrawn
Combating the sexual exploitation of children and child pornography: recognition and enforcement of prohibitions arising from convictions for sexual offences		
Subject 4.10.03 Child protection, children's rights 7.30.30.02 Action to combat violence, trafficking in human beings and migrant smuggling 7.40.04 Judicial cooperation in criminal matters		

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	<b>LIBE</b> Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs		18/01/2005
		PPE-DE <a href="#">SONIK Bogusław</a>	
	Committee for opinion	Rapporteur for opinion	Appointed
	<b>JURI</b> Legal Affairs		20/01/2005
		PSE <a href="#">SAKALAS Aloyzas</a>	
Council of the European Union	Committee for opinion on the legal basis	Rapporteur for opinion	Appointed
	<b>JURI</b> <a href="#">Legal Affairs</a>		13/07/2005
		PPE-DE <a href="#">LÓPEZ-ISTÚRIZ WHITE Antonio</a>	
European Commission	Commission DG <a href="#">Justice and Consumers</a>	Commissioner FRATTINI Franco	

Key events			
05/11/2004	Legislative proposal published	<a href="#">14207/2004</a>	Summary
10/01/2005	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
13/03/2006	Vote in committee		Summary
22/03/2006	Committee report tabled for plenary, 1st reading/single reading	<a href="#">A6-0068/2006</a>	
31/05/2006	Debate in Parliament		
01/06/2006	Results of vote in Parliament		

01/06/2006	Decision by Parliament	<a href="#">T6-0236/2006</a>	Summary
------------	------------------------	------------------------------	---------

Technical information	
Procedure reference	2004/0818(CNS)
Procedure type	CNS - Consultation procedure
Procedure subtype	Legislation
Legislative instrument	JHA act
Legal basis	Treaty on the European Union (after Amsterdam) M 034-p2; Treaty on the European Union (after Amsterdam) M 031
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure lapsed or withdrawn
Committee dossier	LIBE/6/25416

Documentation gateway					
Legislative proposal		<a href="#">14207/2004</a>	05/11/2004	CSL	Summary
Amendments tabled in committee		<a href="#">PE364.770</a>	21/10/2005	EP	
Committee opinion	JURI	<a href="#">PE364.879</a>	30/11/2005	EP	
Committee opinion	JURI	<a href="#">PE365.044</a>	30/11/2005	EP	
Amendments tabled in committee		<a href="#">PE368.062</a>	15/02/2006	EP	
Committee report tabled for plenary, 1st reading/single reading		<a href="#">A6-0068/2006</a>	22/03/2006	EP	
Text adopted by Parliament, 1st reading/single reading		<a href="#">T6-0236/2006</a>	01/06/2006	EP	Summary
Commission response to text adopted in plenary		<a href="#">SP(2006)2902</a>	22/06/2006	EC	

Additional information	
European Commission	<a href="#">EUR-Lex</a>

## Combating the sexual exploitation of children and child pornography: recognition and enforcement of prohibitions arising from convictions for sexual offences

**PURPOSE** : to present a Belgian initiative which aims to adopt a framework decision on the recognition and enforcement in the European Union of prohibitions arising from convictions for sexual offences committed against children.

**CONTENT** : it should be noted that this initiative is intended as a useful supplement, in the particularly troubling area of sexual offences against children, to the proposal for a Council Decision on the exchange of information extracted from criminal records (please refer to CNS/2004/0238).

Combating the sexual exploitation of children and child pornography, and in particular combating risks of recidivism in this context, should be a priority for the Union. In this particular area Council Framework Decision 2004/68/JHA of 22 December 2003 on combating the sexual exploitation of children and child pornography established a minimum common EU approach to these criminal offences, in particular as regards the type of penalty and prohibition that should be provided for by national legislation. The principle of mutual recognition should be applicable to temporary or permanent prohibition from exercising professional activities related to the supervision of children, which is expressly provided for by the Framework Decision, where that prohibition is consequent upon a criminal conviction for one of the offences connected to the sexual exploitation of children and child pornography.

Awareness of the existence of such a prohibition in one Member State is a prerequisite for its recognition and enforcement in another Member State. Several international instruments govern the exchange of information on convictions, in particular the European Convention on Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters of 20 April 1959, which provides that Member States are to inform each other of all criminal convictions and subsequent measures entered in the criminal records that concern their nationals. It is necessary to improve the existing legal framework for

exchanging information on the prohibitions associated with such convictions. This draft Framework Decision applies to the offences covered in Articles 2, 3 and 4 of Council Framework Decision 2004/68/JHA of 22 December 2003 on combating the sexual exploitation of children and child pornography.

Its purpose is to establish the rules under which a Member State shall recognise and enforce in its territory prohibitions arising from convictions for such offences.

The proposed Framework Decision shall not have the effect of modifying the obligation to respect fundamental rights and fundamental legal principles as enshrined in Article 6 of the Treaty on European Union.

More specifically, the drafts states that Member States shall take the necessary steps to ensure that any prohibition is registered in the criminal record. When the issuing State passes on criminal record information to another Member State under the applicable international rules on mutual legal assistance in criminal matters, it shall mention the prohibition in the excerpt from the criminal record. The central authority of the issuing State shall also indicate the duration of the prohibition.

Where application is made in the framework of this Framework Decision for the criminal records of a Member State, in accordance with national law, with a view to obtaining information on a national of another Member State, an application shall always be made to the central authority of the Member State of which the person concerned is a national.

Moreover, the proposal highlights the procedures for the recognition and enforcement of the prohibition. The competent authorities of the enforcing State shall recognise any prohibition, without any formalities being required, and shall enforce it, unless the competent authorities decide to invoke one of the grounds for non-recognition or non-enforcement stated below. When an enforcing State is informed of the existence of a prohibition, it shall forward that information to the competent authority. The competent authority shall issue its decision within thirty days of such information being forwarded.

On the other hand, the proposal sets out reasons for non-recognition or non-enforcement. Refusal to recognise and enforce a prohibition may only happen if: the penalty is time-limited under the law of the enforcing State, where the offences concerned are subject to the jurisdiction of that State under its own criminal law; the conviction was handed down in default of appearance and the person concerned was not summoned in person nor otherwise informed of the date and location of the hearing that led to the conviction handed down in default of appearance; a conviction was handed down on the person concerned for the same offences in the enforcing State.

If the duration of the prohibition exceeds the maximum provided for by the law of the enforcing State for the same offence, the duration of the enforced prohibition shall be reduced to that maximum.

Each Member State shall put in place the necessary arrangements to ensure that the convicted person has a non-suspensive legal remedy against the recognition and enforcement of a prohibition.

Lastly, the central authority of the issuing State shall inform the central authority of the enforcing State of any subsequent measure affecting the prohibition, including review, pardon, amnesty, rehabilitation and erasure.

## Combating the sexual exploitation of children and child pornography: recognition and enforcement of prohibitions arising from convictions for sexual offences

---

The committee adopted the report by Bogusław SONIK (EPP-ED, PL) broadly approving - under the consultation procedure - the initiative by the Kingdom of Belgium calling for a Council framework decision on the recognition and enforcement in the EU of prohibitions arising from convictions for sexual offences committed against children. MEPs adopted a number of amendments to improve the wording and tighten up some definitions in the legislative text. One of the key changes would oblige Member States also to include in their criminal records any related prohibition imposed by non-EU countries.

## Combating the sexual exploitation of children and child pornography: recognition and enforcement of prohibitions arising from convictions for sexual offences

---

The European Parliament adopted a resolution drafted by Bogusław SONIK (EPP-ED, PL) and made some amendments to the Belgian initiative. (Please see the summary of 13/03/2006.) A number of amendments are intended to improve the wording and tighten up some definitions in the legislative text

Parliament stated that, given that, within the same Member State and across the European Union, the range of possible prohibitions arising from criminal convictions is broad and the nature and methods of applying those sanctions can vary considerably, priority should be given to sectors where a common basis already exists between the Member States.

Member States shall take the necessary steps to ensure that any prohibition, including any prohibition imposed by other Member States, is registered in the criminal record. In addition, Where the applicable international instruments on mutual legal assistance in criminal matters so allow, prohibitions imposed by third countries shall be registered in the criminal record.