Procedure file

Basic information				
RSP - Resolutions on topical subjects	2007/2661(RSP)	Procedure completed		
Resolution on Uzbekistan				
Subject 6.10.04 Third-country political situation, local and regional conflicts 6.10.08 Fundamental freedoms, human rights, democracy in general				

Key players			
European Parliament			
Council of the European Union	Council configuration	Meeting	Date
	Economic and Financial Affairs ECOFIN	2828	13/11/2007

Key events			
13/11/2007	Debate in Council	2828	
15/11/2007	Results of vote in Parliament	<u> </u>	
15/11/2007	Debate in Parliament	1	Summary
15/11/2007	Decision by Parliament	<u>T6-0543/2007</u>	Summary
15/11/2007	End of procedure in Parliament		

Technical information	
Procedure reference	2007/2661(RSP)
Procedure type	RSP - Resolutions on topical subjects
Procedure subtype	Urgent debate or resolution
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 135
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed

Documentation gateway

Motion for a resolution	B6-0452/2007	13/11/2007	EP	
Motion for a resolution	<u>B6-0453/2007</u>	13/11/2007	EP	
Joint motion for resolution	RC-B6-0452/2007	13/11/2007		
Text adopted by Parliament, topical subjects	<u>T6-0543/2007</u>	15/11/2007	EP	Summary
Commission response to text adopted in plenary	SP(2007)6527	18/12/2007	EC	

The European Parliament adopted a joint resolution by the EPP-ED and UEN groups by 39 votes in favour to 27 against with 1 abstention and reaffirmed its serious concerns about the human rights situation in Uzbekistan. It reiterated the importance of EU-Uzbekistan relations and acknowledged Uzbekistan's crucial role in the Central Asia region, but stressed that those relations must be based on mutual respect for the principles of democracy, the rule of law and human rights, as is clearly laid down in the EU-Uzbekistan Partnership and Cooperation Agreement.

Parliament recalled that the General Affairs and External Relations Council imposed and extended sanctions on Uzbekistan following the events of May 2005 in Andijan, and that the Uzbek Government has not addressed all the conditions which the Council set out when sanctions were applied. The Council, at its meeting of 15-16 October 2007, lifted for six months the visa ban on Uzbek officials as set out in the annex to Council Common Position 2007/338/CFSP in order to encourage the Uzbek authorities to take further positive steps to improve the human rights situation. Parliament pointed out that the policy of targeted sanctions had not produced positive results so far. It considered it justified, therefore, to encourage the Uzbek authorities by lifting for six months the visa ban on Uzbek officials as set out in the annex to Council Common Position 2007/338/CFSP. It invited the European Council to monitor and clearly evaluate after six months the effects of this measure on EU-Uzbekistan relations and, possibly, to draw the relevant conclusions on the basis of this evaluation.

Parliament welcomed the general progress in EU-Uzbekistan relations which has taken place in the course of 2007. It noted in particular the willingness shown by the Uzbek authorities to engage in dialogue with the EU by holding two rounds of experts? talks on the events in Andijan, as well as the first round of the human rights dialogue between the EU and Uzbekistan, and encouraged the Uzbek authorities to make further progress in the area of human rights.

Members expressed concern at the refusal by the Ministry of Justice in Uzbekistan to recognise officially the Human Rights Watch office, and called on the Uzbek authorities to reconsider this decision in order to show their commitment to the development of civil society in their country. The Uzbek Government was also urged to release the fifteen Uzbek human rights defenders, given that the EU had made the protection of human rights defenders a central concern of its external policies, in particular through the EU Guidelines on Human Rights Defenders adopted in 2004.

Resolution on Uzbekistan

The House held a debate on Uzbekistan pursuant to Rule 115 of the Rules of Procedure (debates on cases of breaches of human rights, democracy and the rule of law).

The debate was followed by a vote on a motion for a resolution.