## Procedure file

Basic information		
INI - Own-initiative procedure	2008/2050(INI)	Procedure completed
Follow-up of the Monterrey Conference of 2d development	002 on financing for	
Subject 6.30 Development cooperation 6.30.02 Financial and technical cooperation	and assistance	

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	DEVE Development		29/01/2008
		PSE BERMAN Thijs	
	Committee for opinion	Rapporteur for opinion	Appointed
	BUDG Budgets		12/03/2008
		ALDE JENSEN Anne E.	
	ECON Economic and Monetary Affairs	The committee decided not give an opinion.	t to
uropean Commission	Commission DG	Commissioner	
	Development	MICHEL Louis	

Key events			
13/03/2008	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
25/06/2008	Vote in committee		Summary
04/08/2008	Committee report tabled for plenary	A6-0310/2008	
22/09/2008	Debate in Parliament	<b>F</b>	
23/09/2008	Results of vote in Parliament	<u> </u>	
23/09/2008	Decision by Parliament	T6-0420/2008	Summary
23/09/2008	End of procedure in Parliament		

Technical information		
Procedure reference	2008/2050(INI)	

Procedure type	INI - Own-initiative procedure
Procedure subtype	Initiative
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 55; Rules of Procedure EP 55-p4
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	DEVE/6/60347

Documentation gateway					
Committee draft report		PE406.024	14/05/2008	EP	
Amendments tabled in committee		PE407.785	09/06/2008	EP	
Committee opinion	BUDG	PE406.163	18/06/2008	EP	
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading		A6-0310/2008	04/08/2008	EP	
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading		T6-0420/2008	23/09/2008	EP	Summary

## Follow-up of the Monterrey Conference of 2002 on financing for development

The Committee on Development unanimously adopted the own initiative report by Thijs BERMAN (PES, NL) on the follow-up to the Monterrey Conference of 2002, reiterating the Parliament?s commitment to poverty eradication, sustainable development and the achievement of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), as the only way to bring about social justice and improved quality of life for the approximately one billion people globally who live in extreme poverty.

According to MEPs, the immediate actions to be taken by the EU to tackle the dramatic consequences of the soaring food prices in developing countries should be carried out as part of the financial efforts required by the Monterrey Consensus (International Conference on Financing for Development in Monterrey, Mexico, March 2002). They therefore look forward to a concrete proposal from the Commission on the use of emergency funds.

Overall, MEPs stress the need to find the right balance between the need to provide development aid to partner countries, trusting them to develop the right tools for implementation of the funds, while earmarking the financial aid in order to avoid misuse of the aid.

Volumes of Official Development Assistance (ODA): MEPs point out that the EU is the world's leading donor in ODA, representing almost 60% of the world official development aid. Nevertheless, they call on the Commission to provide clear and transparent data on the share of the EU budget devoted to EU development aid in order to assess the follow-up of the Monterrey Consensus by all European donors. Once again, they regret the lack of visibility of European aid and stress the need to improve this visibility. They recall that the EU met its binding ODA target of 0.39% of GNI by 2006, but regret the alarming decrease in EU aid in 2007 (from EUR 47.7 billion in 2006, or 0.41% of EU collective GNI, to EUR 46.1 billion in 2007, or 0.38% of EU collective GNI). Member States are therefore called upon to raise ODA volumes to achieve their promised target of 0.56% of GNI in 2010. MEPs also insist that these reductions should not take place again, bearing in mind that the EU will have given EUR 75 billion less than was promised for the period 2005-2010 if the current trend continues. They also express concern that a number of Member States have reduced their level of aid (sometimes dramatically) or are backloading ODA increases, leading to a net loss for developing countries of more than EUR 17 billion. It is therefore necessary to develop binding multi-annual timetables, as some Member States have already done, to meet the UN target of 0.7% by 2015, particularly in view of the forthcoming International Conference on Financing for Development. Therefore, overall, MEPs call on the Member States to increase ODA levels in a sustainable manner.

Speed, flexibility, predictability and sustainability of financial flows: in addition to the granting of aid, MEPs consider that a certain number of rules must be obeyed to make the provision of aid effective. Assistance needs to be delivered in a timely manner and be flexible in order to respond to changing circumstances, such as rising food prices. The funding should also be predictable to allow partner countries to plan for sustainable development. MEPs also call for the clear observance of the principles of responsible lending and financing.

Debt and capital flight: MEPs fully endorse efforts by developing countries to maintain long-term debt sustainability and to implement the initiative for very Heavily Indebted Poor Countries (HIPC). They regret, however, that the debt relief plans exclude a large number of countries for which debt remains an obstacle to development. Furthermore, MEPs call on the Commission to address the issue of 'odious' or illegitimate debts (meaning debts having arisen from irresponsible, self-interested, reckless or unfair lending) and call on it to limit the rights of commercial creditors, in the event of judicial proceedings. At the same time, all Member States are called upon to adhere to the framework of debt sustainability and to recognise that lender liability does not just involve compliance with the sustainability framework, but also entails:

- taking into consideration the vulnerability of borrowing countries to external shocks;
- incorporating transparency requirements in borrowing agreements;
- exercising greater vigilance in ensuring that the borrowing does not contribute to human rights violations or an increase in corruption.

MEPs therefore urge the EU to put in place some form of international insolvency procedures or fair and transparent arbitration procedure to deal efficiently and equitably with any future debt crisis.

The Commission is also criticised for its lack of initiative to prevent capital flight, which does serious damage to the development of sustainable economic systems in developing countries. It is therefore necessary, as required by the Monterrey Consensus, to close down tax havens, some of which are located within the EU or operate in close connection with Member States. They recall that, according to the World Bank, the

illegal component of this capital flight amounts to between 1 000 and 1 600 billion USD each year, half of which comes from developing countries. Therefore, the Commission and the Member States must create measures to promote the global extension of the principle of the automatic exchange of tax information and establish a Code of Conduct on tax evasion, such as that currently being drawn up at the United Nations Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC).

Innovative financing mechanisms: while MEPs welcome the innovative financing mechanisms put forward by the Member States, they ask that these be easy to implement and effective. These instruments should provide for new sources of funding and deploy credit guarantees. At the same time, the Commission is called upon to enhance funding of climate change adaptation measures. They stress, in particular, that innovative finance mechanisms should be developed urgently for this purpose, such as levies on aviation and oil trading, as well as by earmarking of auctioning revenues from the EU Emissions Trading System (EU ETS). They also welcome the Commission's proposal to establish a Global Climate Financing Mechanism, based on the principal of frontloading aid to finance mitigation and adaptation measures in developing countries. Until this mechanism enters into force, they call on the EU to earmark at least 25% of future auctioning revenues from the EU ETS to finance climate change adaptation and mitigation measures in developing countries.

Micro-credit: MEPs call on the Commission to develop access to finance for small-scale entrepreneurs and farmers, as a means of increasing food production and providing a sustainable solution to the food crisis. Furthermore, the European Investment Bank (EIB) is called upon set up a guarantee fund in support of micro-credit and risk-hedging schemes that respond closely to the needs of local food producers in poorer developing countries.

Reforming international systems: lastly, MEPs call on the Council and the Commission to include the European Development Fund in the EU budget at the 2008/2009 Midtern Review, in order to enhance its democratic legitimacy. Regretting the current system of voting rights at the IMF, MEPs call on the Commission and the Member States to demonstrate their interest in double-majority decision-making (shareholders/states) within the institution responsible for international financial stability. The Member States are also called upon to reform the World Bank.

## Follow-up of the Monterrey Conference of 2002 on financing for development

The European Parliament adopted by 610 votes to 25, with 14 abstentions, a resolution on the follow-up to the Monterrey Conference of 2002 on financing for development.

The own initiative report had been tabled for consideration by Thijs BERMAN (PES, NL) on behalf of the Committee on Development.

Firstly, the European Parliament reiterated its commitment to poverty eradication, sustainable development and the achievement of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), as the only way to bring about social justice and improved quality of life for the approximately one billion people globally who live in extreme poverty.

According to MEPs, the immediate actions to be taken by the EU to tackle the dramatic consequences of the soaring food prices in developing countries should be carried out as part of the financial efforts required by the Monterrey Consensus (International Conference on Financing for Development in Monterrey, Mexico, March 2002). They therefore look forward to a concrete proposal from the Commission on the use of emergency funds.

Overall, MEPs stress the need to find the right balance between the need to provide development aid to partner countries, trusting them to develop the right tools for implementation of the funds, while earmarking the financial aid in order to avoid misuse of the aid. Parliament underlines the absolute need for the EU to aim for the highest level of coordination in order to achieve coherence with other Community policies (environment, migration, human rights, agriculture, etc.) and avoid duplication of work and inconsistency of activities.

Volumes of Official Development Assistance (ODA): MEPs point out that the EU is the world's leading donor in ODA, representing almost 60% of the world official development aid. Nevertheless, they call on the Commission to provide clear and transparent data on the share of the EU budget devoted to EU development aid in order to assess the follow-up of the Monterrey Consensus by all European donors. Once again, they regret the lack of visibility of European aid and stress the need to improve this visibility. They recall that the EU met its binding ODA target of 0.39% of GNI by 2006, but regret the alarming decrease in EU aid in 2007 (from EUR 47.7 billion in 2006, or 0.41% of EU collective GNI, to EUR 46.1 billion in 2007, or 0.38% of EU collective GNI). Member States are therefore called upon to raise ODA volumes to achieve their promised target of 0.56% of GNI in 2010. Parliament also expresses serious concern that a majority of the Member States (18 out of 27, especially Latvia, Italy, Portugal, Greece and the Czech Republic) were unable to raise their level of ODA between 2006 and 2007 and that there has even been a dramatic reduction of over 10% in a number of countries such as Belgium, France and the United Kingdom. Member States are called upon to fulfil the ODA volumes to which they are committed. Parliament notes with satisfaction that some Member States (Denmark, Ireland, Luxembourg, Spain, Sweden and the Netherlands) are certain to reach their ODA targets for 2010, and is confident that these Member States will maintain their high levels of ODA.

MEPs also insist that these reductions should not take place again, bearing in mind that the EU will have given EUR 75 billion less than was promised for the period 2005-2010 if the current trend continues. It is therefore necessary to develop binding multi-annual timetables, as some Member States have already done, to meet the UN target of 0.7% by 2015. Therefore, overall, MEPs call on the Member States to increase ODA levels in a sustainable manner by concentrating on figures with the debt relief component removed.

Speed, flexibility, predictability and sustainability of financial flows: in addition to the granting of aid, MEPs consider that a certain number of rules must be obeyed to make the provision of aid effective. Assistance needs to be delivered in a timely manner and be flexible in order to respond to changing circumstances, such as rising food prices. The funding should also be predictable to allow partner countries to plan for sustainable development. MEPs also call for the clear observance of the principles of responsible lending and financing.

Debt and capital flight: Parliament observes that the 2007 decreases in reported aid levels are due in some cases to the artificial boosting of figures in 2006 by debt relief; calls on Member States to increase ODA levels in a sustainable manner by concentrating on figures with the debt relief component removed. MEPs fully endorse efforts by developing countries to maintain long-term debt sustainability and to implement the initiative for very Heavily Indebted Poor Countries (HIPC). They regret, however, that the debt relief plans exclude a large number of countries for which debt remains an obstacle to development. Furthermore, MEPs call on the Commission to address the issue of 'odious' or illegitimate debts (meaning debts having arisen from irresponsible, self-interested, reckless or unfair lending) and call on it to limit the rights of commercial creditors, in the event of judicial proceedings. At the same time, all Member States are called upon to adhere to the framework of debt sustainability and to recognise that lender liability does not just involve compliance with the sustainability framework, but also entails:

- taking into consideration the vulnerability of borrowing countries to external shocks;
- incorporating transparency requirements in borrowing agreements;
- exercising greater vigilance in ensuring that the borrowing does not contribute to human rights violations or an increase in corruption.

Parliament therefore urges the EU to put in place some form of international insolvency procedures or fair and transparent arbitration procedure to deal efficiently and equitably with any future debt crisis.

The Commission is also criticised for its lack of initiative to prevent capital flight, which does serious damage to the development of sustainable economic systems in developing countries. It is therefore necessary, as required by the Monterrey Consensus, to close down tax havens, some of which are located within the EU or operate in close connection with Member States. They recall that, according to the World Bank, the illegal component of this capital flight amounts to between 1 000 and 1 600 billion USD each year, half of which comes from developing countries. Therefore, the Commission and the Member States must create measures to promote the global extension of the principle of the automatic exchange of tax information and establish a Code of Conduct on tax evasion, such as that currently being drawn up at the United Nations Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC).

Innovative financing mechanisms: while Parliament welcomes the innovative financing mechanisms put forward by the Member States, it asks that these be easy to implement and effective. These instruments should provide for new sources of funding and deploy credit guarantees. At the same time, the Commission is called upon to enhance funding of climate change adaptation measures. MEP stress, in particular, that innovative finance mechanisms should be developed urgently for this purpose, such as levies on aviation and oil trading, as well as by earmarking of auctioning revenues from the EU Emissions Trading System (EU ETS). They also welcome the Commission's proposal to establish a Global Climate Financing Mechanism, based on the principal of frontloading aid to finance mitigation and adaptation measures in developing countries. Until this mechanism enters into force, they call on the EU to earmark at least 25% of future auctioning revenues from the EU ETS to finance climate change adaptation and mitigation measures in developing countries.

Micro-credit: MEPs call on the Commission to develop access to finance for small-scale entrepreneurs and farmers, as a means of increasing food production and providing a sustainable solution to the food crisis. Furthermore, the European Investment Bank (EIB) is called upon set up a guarantee fund in support of micro-credit and risk-hedging schemes that respond closely to the needs of local food producers in poorer developing countries.

Reforming international systems: lastly, MEPs call on the Council and the Commission to include the European Development Fund in the EU budget at the 2008/2009 Midterm Review, in order to enhance its democratic legitimacy. Regretting the current system of voting rights at the IMF, MEPs call on the Commission and the Member States to demonstrate their interest in double-majority decision-making (shareholders/states) within the institution responsible for international financial stability. The Member States are also called upon to reform the World Bank.