

Procedure file

Basic information		
INI - Own-initiative procedure	2008/2206(INI)	Procedure completed
Control of the budgetary implementation of the Instrument for Pre-Accession		
Subject 8.20.04 Pre-accession and partnership 8.70.03 Budgetary control and discharge, implementation of the budget		

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	CONT Budgetary Control		02/06/2008
		PPE-DE KRATSA-TSAGAROPOULOU Rodi	
	Committee for opinion	Rapporteur for opinion	Appointed
	AFET Foreign Affairs (Associated committee)		15/07/2008
		ALDE SZENT-IVÁNYI István	
	REGI Regional Development	The committee decided not to give an opinion.	
European Commission	Commission DG Neighbourhood and Enlargement Negotiations	Commissioner REHN Olli	

Key events			
23/09/2008	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
23/09/2008	Referral to associated committees announced in Parliament		
16/03/2009	Vote in committee		Summary
23/03/2009	Committee report tabled for plenary	A6-0181/2009	
21/04/2009	Debate in Parliament		
22/04/2009	Results of vote in Parliament		
22/04/2009	Decision by Parliament	T6-0237/2009	Summary
22/04/2009	End of procedure in Parliament		

Technical information

Procedure reference	2008/2206(INI)
Procedure type	INI - Own-initiative procedure
Procedure subtype	Initiative
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 54; Rules of Procedure EP 54-p4
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	CONT/6/66667

Documentation gateway

Committee draft report		PE416.628	30/01/2009	EP	
Committee opinion	AFET	PE416.554	11/02/2009	EP	
Amendments tabled in committee		PE420.207	17/02/2009	EP	
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading		A6-0181/2009	23/03/2009	EP	
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading		T6-0237/2009	22/04/2009	EP	Summary
Commission response to text adopted in plenary		SP(2009)3615	27/10/2009	EC	

Control of the budgetary implementation of the Instrument for Pre-Accession

The Committee on Budgetary Control adopted the own-initiative report drawn up by Rodi KRATSA-TSAGAROPOULOU (EPP-ED, EL) on control of the budgetary implementation of the [Instrument for Pre-Accession Assistance](#) (IPA) in 2007, welcoming the structured dialogue established with the Commission on the implementation of the IPA, and recalling its position in this respect, notably the need to:

- grant all beneficiary countries equal access to the full range of policy tools available under the instrument;
- give adequate priority to the fight against corruption and organised crime;
- pay increased attention to institutional capacity-building, particularly at parliamentary level, development of civil society organisations, measures to promote the principle of tolerance and non-discrimination, human development and regional cooperation in key policy areas.

The committee is pleased by the high implementation rate of IPA commitments in 2007 but regrets that the first IPA programmes were only adopted at the end of 2007 and the actual implementation started only in 2008. It considers that there was satisfactory coherence between the 2007 IPA national Programmes and the EU pre-accession policy, as most of the objectives set out in the Programmes were in line with the priorities identified in the respective Commission Progress Reports.

Do not undermine the political criteria: the report notes that the main focus for the candidate countries lies in the implementation of European standards, namely statistical, environmental and fiscal standards. It stresses, however, that the importance of the political criteria, notably democratic governance, respect for human rights, freedom of religion, women's rights, minorities' rights and the rule of law, should not be undermined, since their non-fulfilment can lead to complications and delay in negotiations.

Assistance should benefit citizens: MEPs remind the Commission that the Union's legitimacy and capacity to promote reforms can be greatly enhanced if the IPA targets its assistance to areas of direct benefit for the citizens of the candidate and potential candidate countries, particularly in view of the needs and challenges generated by the global financial crisis. They are consequently of the opinion that the IPA should support the efforts by the beneficiary countries to meet the requirements laid down in the roadmap for visa liberalisation, so that the citizens of the Western Balkans can finally enjoy freedom of movement and participate fully in EU programmes and schemes.

Increasing transparency: stressing the need for transparent and effective IPA management and control, MEPs expect the Commission to report every year to Parliament and its responsible Committee on Budgetary Control on payments and implementation of IPA funds.

Horizontal issues: the report notes that horizontal issues, such as environmental impact assessment, good governance, civil society involvement, equal opportunities and non-discrimination, are not sufficiently present and visible in the 2007 IPA projects. The Commission is called upon to develop, in particular, multi-beneficiary regional or horizontal programmes, notably on the fight against corruption and organised crime, intercultural dialogue and gender equality.

Combating corruption: the committee stresses the need to use the IPA to strengthen in all beneficiary countries the fight against corruption and organised crime with a special focus on money laundering, illegal migration and human trafficking. Not all 2007 IPA programmes take corruption sufficiently into account. Therefore, MEPs suggest that funds should be earmarked for this purpose, and call on the Commission to develop a more coherent strategy in this context.

Involvement of civil society organisations: MEPs consider that Civil Society Organisations (CSOs) in the beneficiary countries should be more actively involved in the development and initiation of projects. They point out that future IPA programmes should tackle the systematic donor

dependency of the CSOs, and should address the development of some of the CSOs along ethno-political conflict lines (especially in Bosnia and Herzegovina, former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia and Kosovo). MEPs expect the new Civil Society Facility to tackle many of the problems with regard to the diversity, complexity, and fragmentation of EU programmes.

Education and youth employment: stressing that education and youth have not been adequately addressed, MEPs suggest that the Commission examines the possibility of making greater use of the flexibility provided for in IPA so as to allow funding, where appropriate, of measures related to components III-V through the first two components.

Cross-border cooperation: MEPs are concerned that the total 2007 IPA allocations for Component II was only EUR 38.8 million out of a total IPA of EUR 497.2 million (i.e. less than 8 %). Regretting that effective cooperation has been difficult to establish, in practice, MEPs call on the beneficiary countries and the Commission, under this component, to pursue further existing cooperation and to develop new cooperation, in line with the objective of fostering good neighbourly relations and promoting economic integration, especially in the fields of environment, natural and cultural heritage and the fight against corruption and organised crime.

Women's rights: the Commission is also called upon to provide pre-accession funds for strengthening women's rights in the Balkans, in particular through women's NGOs and women's organisations.

Lastly, the European Court of Auditors is called upon to submit by the end of 2010 a mid-term special evaluation report on the implementation of the IPA.

Control of the budgetary implementation of the Instrument for Pre-Accession

The European Parliament adopted by 640 votes to 28, with 9 abstentions, a resolution on control of the budgetary implementation of the [Instrument for Pre-Accession Assistance](#) (IPA) in 2007.

The Parliament welcomes the structured dialogue established with the Commission on the implementation of the IPA and reiterates its position in this respect, notably the need to:

- grant all beneficiary countries equal access to the full range of policy tools available under the instrument;
- give adequate priority to the fight against corruption and organised crime;
- pay increased attention to institutional capacity-building, particularly at parliamentary level, development of civil society organisations, measures to promote the principle of tolerance and non-discrimination, human development and regional cooperation in key policy areas.

Late implementation: the Parliament is pleased by the high implementation rate of IPA commitments in 2007 but regrets that the first IPA programmes were only adopted at the end of 2007 and the actual implementation started only in 2008. It also believes that it is important to strengthen the coherence between the 2007 IPA national programmes and the EU pre-accession policy.

Do not undermine the political criteria: the Parliament notes that the main focus for the candidate countries lies in the implementation of European standards, namely statistical, environmental and fiscal standards. It stresses, however, that the importance of the political criteria, notably democratic governance, respect for human rights, freedom of religion, women's rights, minorities' rights and the rule of law, should not be undermined.

Assistance should benefit citizens: the Parliament reminds the Commission that the Union's legitimacy and capacity to promote reforms can be greatly enhanced if the IPA targets its assistance to areas of direct benefit for the citizens of the candidate and potential candidate countries, particularly in view of the needs and challenges generated by the global financial crisis. It is consequently of the opinion that the IPA should support the efforts by the beneficiary countries to meet the requirements laid down in the roadmap for visa liberalisation, so that the citizens of the Western Balkans can finally enjoy freedom of movement and participate fully in EU programmes and schemes.

Increasing transparency: stressing the need for transparent and effective IPA management and control, the Parliament expects the Commission to report every year to Parliament and its responsible Committee on Budgetary Control on payments and implementation of IPA funds.

Horizontal issues: the Parliament notes that horizontal issues, such as environmental impact assessment, good governance, civil society involvement, equal opportunities and non-discrimination, are not sufficiently present and visible in the 2007 IPA projects. The Commission is called upon to develop, in particular, multi-beneficiary regional or horizontal programmes, notably on the fight against corruption and organised crime, intercultural dialogue and gender equality.

Unequal regional funds: the Parliament notes that limited funds are allocated for large geographic areas or comprehensive policy areas and that these funds are fragmented into many small projects rather than concentrated in fewer, more visible projects. It points out that the annual national programmes should strike a balance between providing an adequate response to the key priorities identified in the progress reports and avoiding over-fragmentation of the funds.

Combating corruption: the Parliament stresses the need to use the IPA to strengthen in all beneficiary countries the fight against corruption and organised crime with a special focus on money laundering, illegal migration and human trafficking, and suggests that EU funds should be earmarked for this purpose.

Involvement of civil society organisations: the Parliament considers that Civil Society Organisations (CSOs) in the beneficiary countries should be more actively involved in the development and initiation of projects. It points out that future IPA programmes should tackle the systematic donor dependency of the CSOs, and should address the development of some of the CSOs along ethno-political conflict lines (especially in Bosnia and Herzegovina, former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia and Kosovo). It expects the new Civil Society Facility to tackle many of the problems with regard to the diversity, complexity, and fragmentation of EU programmes.

Improving the visibility of the EU: noting that IPA-funded projects and activities score low in terms of EU visibility "on the ground" and have not generated "bottom-up" legitimacy for further EU rapprochement, the Parliament insists on this aspect.

Education, youth employment and women's rights: stressing that education and youth employment have not been adequately addressed, the Parliament suggests that the Commission examine the possibility of making greater use of the flexibility provided for in IPA so as to allow

funding of measures in these areas. The Parliament also calls for pre-accession funds for strengthening women's rights in the Balkans, in particular through women's NGOs and women's organisations.

Cross-border cooperation: the Parliament is concerned that the total 2007 IPA allocations for Component II was only EUR 38.8 million out of a total IPA of EUR 497.2 million (i.e. less than 8%). Regretting that effective cooperation has been difficult to establish, in practice, the Parliament calls on the beneficiary countries and the Commission, under this component, to pursue further existing cooperation and to develop new cooperation, in line with the objective of fostering good neighbourly relations and promoting economic integration, especially in the fields of environment, natural and cultural heritage and the fight against corruption and organised crime.