


Procedure file

Basic information	
INI - Own-initiative procedure	2010/2076(INI)
26th annual report on monitoring the application of European Union law (2008)	Procedure completed
Subject	
8.50.01 Implementation of EU law	

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	JURI Legal Affairs		02/09/2009
		Vers/ALE LICHTENBERGER Eva	
		Shadow rapporteur	
		PPE ZWIEFKA Tadeusz	
	Committee for opinion	Rapporteur for opinion	Appointed
PETI Petitions		04/05/2010	
	PPE MAZZONI Erminia		
	IMCO Internal Market and Consumer Protection		15/06/2010
		ALDE BUȘOI Cristian-Silviu	
European Commission	Commission DG	Commissioner	
	Secretariat-General	ŠEFČOVIČ Maroš	

Key events			
15/12/2009	Non-legislative basic document published	COM(2009)0675	
20/05/2010	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
18/10/2010	Vote in committee		Summary
22/10/2010	Committee report tabled for plenary	A7-0291/2010	
25/11/2010	Results of vote in Parliament		
25/11/2010	Decision by Parliament	T7-0437/2010	Summary
25/11/2010	End of procedure in Parliament		

Technical information	
Procedure reference	2010/2076(INI)
Procedure type	INI - Own-initiative procedure
Procedure subtype	Annual report
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 142-p1

Other legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 159
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	JURI/7/00884

Documentation gateway

Non-legislative basic document		COM(2009)0675	16/12/2009	EC	
Committee draft report		PE443.023	18/06/2010	EP	
Amendments tabled in committee		PE445.729	15/07/2010	EP	
Committee opinion	IMCO	PE443.067	14/09/2010	EP	
Committee opinion	PETI	PE445.670	05/10/2010	EP	
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading		A7-0291/2010	22/10/2010	EP	
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading		T7-0437/2010	25/11/2010	EP	Summary
Commission response to text adopted in plenary		SP(2011)1476	02/05/2011	EC	

26th annual report on monitoring the application of European Union law (2008)

The Committee on Legal Affairs adopted the own-initiative report drafted by Eva LICHTENBERGER (Greens/EFA, AT) on the 26th Annual Report on Monitoring the Application of European Union Law (2008).

Members regret that the Commission has not responded to the issues raised by Parliament in its previous resolutions, in particular the aforementioned [resolution of 21 February 2008](#). They note the lack of improvement with regard to transparency, particularly with reference to the 'EU Pilot' project and the issue of human resources.

The report notes that through EU Pilot the Commission is aiming to increase 'commitment, co-operation and partnership between the Commission and Member States' and is considering, in close cooperation with national administrations, how to deal with the application of European Union law.

The report also notes that on the one hand citizens are portrayed as having an essential role in ensuring compliance with EU law on the ground, whilst on the other 'in EU Pilot' they are even further excluded from any subsequent procedure. Members consider that this is not in line with the Treaties' solemn declarations that decisions are taken as openly as possible and as closely as possible to the citizen.

The committee is of the opinion that, in their present form, the Commission's annual reports on monitoring the application of European Union law do not give citizens or the other institutions sufficient information about the true state of application of EU law, as the Commission only makes reference to formal proceedings being opened against Member States that have not transposed EU law into their national legal systems. It considers however that it would also be very much in the interest of citizens and Parliament to be informed when the Commission opens infringements for the incorrect or bad transposition of EU law, with details of those infringements also being supplied.

Members wish to ensure that the Commission continues to produce detailed data on all types of infringement, and that the entirety of this data is made freely available to Parliament to enable it to perform its role of scrutiny of the Commission's discharge of its role as guardian of the Treaties.

They recall the [Parliament's resolution of 4 February 2010](#) in which it calls on the Commission 'to make available to Parliament summary information about all infringement procedures based on the letter of formal notice.

The Commission is called upon to supply Parliament with relevant data to enable an analysis to be made of the added value EU Pilot brings to the existing process of managing infringement files, which would justify extending the project further. It considers that this data should, for example, allow Parliament to check whether the 10 weeks granted to a Member State to find a solution to a concrete case have not further delayed the initiation of an infringement procedure, the duration of which is already extremely lengthy and indeterminate.

The report invites the Commission to propose a 'procedural code' in the form of a regulation under the new legal basis of Article 298 TFEU, setting out the various aspects of the infringement procedure, including notifications, time-limits, the right to be heard, the obligation to state reasons, etc., in order to enforce citizens' rights and transparency.

Members urge that Parliament's role in the areas of the application, enforcement and monitoring of single market rules be strengthened.

26th annual report on monitoring the application of European Union law (2008)

The European Parliament adopted a resolution on the 26th Annual Report on Monitoring the Application of European Union Law (2008).

Members regret that the Commission has not responded to the issues raised by Parliament in its previous resolutions, in particular the

aforementioned [resolution of 21 February 2008](#). They note the lack of improvement with regard to transparency, particularly with reference to the 'EU Pilot' project and the issue of human resources.

The resolution notes that through EU Pilot the Commission is aiming to increase 'commitment, co-operation and partnership between the Commission and Member States' and is considering, in close cooperation with national administrations, how to deal with the application of European Union law.

The resolution also notes that on the one hand citizens are portrayed as having an essential role in ensuring compliance with EU law on the ground, whilst on the other 'in EU Pilot' they are even further excluded from any subsequent procedure. Members consider that this is not in line with the Treaties' solemn declarations that decisions are taken as openly as possible and as closely as possible to the citizen.

Parliament is of the opinion that, in their present form, the Commission's annual reports on monitoring the application of European Union law do not give citizens or the other institutions sufficient information about the true state of application of EU law, as the Commission only makes reference to formal proceedings being opened against Member States that have not transposed EU law into their national legal systems. It considers however that it would also be very much in the interest of citizens and Parliament to be informed when the Commission opens infringements for the incorrect or bad transposition of EU law, with details of those infringements also being supplied.

Members wish to ensure that the Commission continues to produce detailed data on all types of infringement, and that the entirety of this data is made freely available to Parliament to enable it to perform its role of scrutiny of the Commission's discharge of its role as guardian of the Treaties. They recall the Parliament's resolution of [4 February 2010](#) in which it calls on the Commission 'to make available to Parliament summary information about all infringement procedures based on the letter of formal notice.

The Commission is called upon to supply Parliament with relevant data to enable an analysis to be made of the added value EU Pilot brings to the existing process of managing infringement files, which would justify extending the project further. It considers that this data should, for example, allow Parliament to check whether the 10 weeks granted to a Member State to find a solution to a concrete case have not further delayed the initiation of an infringement procedure, the duration of which is already extremely lengthy and indeterminate.

Parliament notes that delays in correctly applying, transposing and enforcing European Union law directly affect the daily lives of citizens and businesses and the enjoyment of their rights, resulting in legal uncertainty and preventing them from enjoying the full benefits of the internal market. Deploring the fact that some Member States underestimate the value of the correct and timely application of EU law, Members urge them to give suitable priority to transposition and application, in order to avoid delays.

The resolution invites the Commission to propose a 'procedural code' in the form of a regulation under the new legal basis of Article 298 TFEU, setting out the various aspects of the infringement procedure, including notifications, time-limits, the right to be heard, the obligation to state reasons, etc., in order to enforce citizens' rights and transparency.

Parliament welcomes the nascent one-stop shop for citizens seeking advice or recourse or making complaints through 'Your Europe'. With the addition of the widely publicised Citizens' Initiative (Art 11(4) TEU) to the list of instruments for citizens' participation, the need for explanation and guidance has increased exponentially. The European Parliament would like to be involved in the development of this website in order to ensure coherence with its own plans for providing better guidance for citizens.

Lastly, Members urge that Parliament's role in the areas of the application, enforcement and monitoring of single market rules be strengthened.