

Procedure file

Basic information		
INI - Own-initiative procedure	2010/2207(INI)	Procedure completed
International Air Agreements under the Treaty of Lisbon		
Subject 3.20.15.02 Air transport agreements and cooperation		

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	TRAN Transport and Tourism	S&D SIMPSON Brian Shadow rapporteur PPE RANNER Hella ALDE MEISSNER Gesine Verts/ALE LICHTENBERGER Eva ECR FOSTER Jacqueline	07/09/2010
European Commission	Commission DG Mobility and Transport	Commissioner KALLAS Siim	

Key events			
23/09/2010	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
15/03/2011	Vote in committee		Summary
23/03/2011	Committee report tabled for plenary	A7-0079/2011	
07/06/2011	Results of vote in Parliament		
07/06/2011	Decision by Parliament	T7-0251/2011	Summary
07/06/2011	End of procedure in Parliament		

Technical information	
Procedure reference	2010/2207(INI)
Procedure type	INI - Own-initiative procedure
Procedure subtype	Initiative

Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 54
Other legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 159
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	TRAN/7/03820

Documentation gateway					
Committee draft report		PE452.542	15/12/2010	EP	
Amendments tabled in committee		PE458.655	11/02/2011	EP	
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading		A7-0079/2011	23/03/2011	EP	
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading		T7-0251/2011	07/06/2011	EP	Summary
Commission response to text adopted in plenary		SP(2011)8071	24/10/2011	EC	

International Air Agreements under the Treaty of Lisbon

The Committee on Transport and Tourism adopted the own-initiative report drafted by Brian SIMPSON (S&D, UK) on international air agreements under the Treaty of Lisbon.

The Treaty of Lisbon extended the circumstances in which Parliament's consent was required for the conclusion of an international agreement. Air agreements now fall within this category because they cover a field to which the ordinary legislative procedure applies. Previously Parliament had only been consulted on such agreements.

In view of this change, this report aims to set out some general principles regarding how air agreements should be evaluated both in terms of substance and the procedures which may be adopted to ensure that it was well-informed throughout the course of negotiations and has an opportunity to express its priorities well before being confronted with the yes/no choice of consent.

Criteria for assessing an agreement: Members emphasise that, in each negotiation, a judgment must be made about the benefits of an early agreement as compared with delaying in search of a more ambitious outcome. When assessing comprehensive agreements that are presented for consent, Parliament will seek to apply a consistent set of standards. In carrying out that assessment Parliament will focus on the extent to which:

- restrictions on market access and investment opportunities are relaxed in a balanced manner;
- incentives are provided to maintain and enhance social and environmental standards;
- adequate safeguards are provided for data protection and privacy;
- mutual recognition of safety and security standards are included;
- and a high level of passenger rights is ensured.

In the case of safety agreements, criteria shall include: (i) full mutual recognition of certification practices and procedures; (ii) exchange of safety data; joint inspections; (iii) increased regulatory cooperation; and (iv) technical level consultations so as to resolve issues before they trigger the dispute settlement mechanism.

Procedure: the report stresses that, in order to be able to take its decision on whether or not to grant consent at the end of the negotiations, Parliament needs to follow the process from the beginning.

In accordance with the revised Framework Agreement of October 2010, the Commission is expected to provide its responsible committee with information about the intention to propose negotiations with a view to concluding and amending international air agreements, and with the draft negotiating directives, draft negotiating texts and the document to be initialled, together with other relevant documents and information. Parliament's role in relation to any further amendments of an international air agreement should be explicitly stipulated in the agreement.

Moreover, the information mentioned above must be forwarded to Parliament in such a way that, if necessary, it can deliver an opinion. Member stress the importance of Parliament receiving full and timely information about the work of the various joint committees.

The report calls on the Commission to submit reports to Parliament regularly, and no less frequently than every three years, analysing the strengths and weaknesses of existing agreements.

Members regret that the Council has yet to grant the Commission a mandate to negotiate a comprehensive air agreement with important trading partners such as China and India. They point out the absence of important countries, such as Japan and Russia, in the Commission's latest list of ongoing international air agreements. Concerned about the ongoing issue of Siberian overflights, Members call on the Commission to make all the necessary efforts, including pursuing this issue in the context of Russia's WTO accession negotiations, to avoid any distortion of competition between EU airlines.

International Air Agreements under the Treaty of Lisbon

The European Parliament adopted a resolution on international air agreements under the Treaty of Lisbon.

The Treaty of Lisbon extended the circumstances in which Parliament's consent was required for the conclusion of an international

agreement. Air agreements now fall within this category because they cover a field to which the ordinary legislative procedure applies. Previously Parliament had only been consulted on such agreements.

In view of this change, Parliament wishes to set out a series of general principles regarding how air agreements should be evaluated both in terms of substance and the procedures which may be adopted to ensure that it was well-informed throughout the course of negotiations and has an opportunity to express its priorities well before being confronted with the yes/no choice of consent.

Criteria for assessing an agreement: Members emphasise that, in each negotiation, a judgment must be made about the benefits of an early agreement as compared with delaying in search of a more ambitious outcome. When assessing comprehensive agreements that are presented for consent, Parliament will seek to apply a consistent set of standards. In carrying out that assessment Parliament will focus on the extent to which:

- restrictions on market access and investment opportunities are relaxed in a balanced manner;
- incentives are provided to maintain and enhance social and environmental standards;
- adequate safeguards are provided for data protection and privacy;
- mutual recognition of safety and security standards are included;
- and a high level of passenger rights is ensured.

Parliament considers that worldwide standards for data protection and privacy are urgently required, and that the criteria set out by Parliament in its [resolution of 5 May 2010](#) provide an appropriate model for such an agreement. It considers that agreements should include a commitment to work together, in the framework of the International Civil Aviation Organisation, to reduce aircraft emissions, together with an objective of enhancing technical cooperation in the fields of climate science (CO2 and other climate-relevant emissions into the atmosphere), research and technology development and fuel efficiency.

In the case of safety agreements, criteria shall include: (i) full mutual recognition of certification practices and procedures; (ii) exchange of safety data; joint inspections; (iii) increased regulatory cooperation; and (iv) technical level consultations so as to resolve issues before they trigger the dispute settlement mechanism.

Procedure: the resolution stresses that, in order to be able to take its decision on whether or not to grant consent at the end of the negotiations, Parliament needs to follow the process from the beginning.

In accordance with the revised Framework Agreement of October 2010, the Commission is expected to provide its responsible committee with information about the intention to propose negotiations with a view to concluding and amending international air agreements, and with the draft negotiating directives, draft negotiating texts and the document to be initialled, together with other relevant documents and information. Parliament's role in relation to any further amendments of an international air agreement should be explicitly stipulated in the agreement.

Moreover, the information mentioned above must be forwarded to Parliament in such a way that, if necessary, it can deliver an opinion. Member stress the importance of Parliament receiving full and timely information about the work of the various joint committees.

Parliament calls on the Commission to submit reports to Parliament regularly, and no less frequently than every three years, analysing the strengths and weaknesses of existing agreements.

Members regret that the Council has yet to grant the Commission a mandate to negotiate a comprehensive air agreement with important trading partners such as China and India. They point out the absence of important countries, such as Japan and Russia, in the Commission's latest list of ongoing international air agreements. Concerned about the ongoing issue of Siberian overflights, Members call on the Commission to make all the necessary efforts, including pursuing this issue in the context of Russia's WTO accession negotiations, to avoid any distortion of competition between EU airlines.