


# Procedure file

| Basic information  |                                |                     |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| IMM - Members' immunity  | <a href="#">2011/2070(IMM)</a> | Procedure completed |
| Request for the waiver of parliamentary immunity of Adrian Severin |                                |                     |
| Subject<br>8.40.01.03 Members' immunity                            |                                |                     |

| Key players         |   |  |            |
|---------------------|---|--|------------|
| European Parliament | Committee responsible                     | Rapporteur                                   | Appointed  |
|                     | <b>JURI</b> <a href="#">Legal Affairs</a> | EFD <a href="#">SPERONI Francesco Enrico</a> | 12/04/2011 |

| Key events |                                     |   |         |
|------------|-------------------------------------|---|---------|
| 20/06/2011 | Vote in committee                   |   | Summary |
| 21/06/2011 | Committee report tabled for plenary | <a href="#">A7-0242/2011</a>  |         |
| 23/06/2011 | Results of vote in Parliament       |  |         |
| 23/06/2011 | Decision by Parliament              | <a href="#">T7-0278/2011</a>  | Summary |
| 23/06/2011 | End of procedure in Parliament      |   |         |

| Technical information      |                           |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Procedure reference        | 2011/2070(IMM)            |
| Procedure type             | IMM - Members' immunity   |
| Procedure subtype          | Waiver of immunity        |
| Legal basis                | Rules of Procedure EP 6   |
| Other legal basis          | Rules of Procedure EP 159 |
| Stage reached in procedure | Procedure completed       |
| Committee dossier          | JURI/7/05805              |

| Documentation gateway                               |  |                              |            |    |         |
|---|--|------------------------------|------------|----|---------|
| Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading |  | <a href="#">A7-0242/2011</a> | 21/06/2011 | EP |         |
| Text adopted by Parliament, single reading          |  | <a href="#">T7-0278/2011</a> | 23/06/2011 | EP | Summary |

## Request for the waiver of parliamentary immunity of Adrian Severin

---

The Legal Affairs Committee unanimously adopted the report by Francesco Enrico SPERONI (EFD, IT), and called upon Parliament to waive the immunity of Adrian SEVERIN (NI, RO).

The request for the waiver of the immunity of Adrian SEVERIN comes from Romania's National Anti-Corruption Department in order to enable the Romanian Prosecutor's Office to conduct the necessary investigations into alleged corruption offences covered by Article 6 of Romanian Law No 78/2000 in conjunction with Article 254 (corruption) and Article 257 (influence peddling) of the Criminal Code and with Article 81(b) of Law No 78/2000.

It is alleged that Mr SEVERIN, exploiting his position as Member of the European Parliament, allegedly accepted an offer of payment of EUR 100 000 from representatives of a self-styled lobbying company which presented itself under the name of 'Taylor Jones Public Affairs', in exchange for his support in the European Parliament for a draft amendment to Directive 94/19/EC on deposit-guarantee schemes concerning the time limit for the reimbursement of depositors in the case of a bank failure.

However, it appears that Taylor Jones Public Affairs was a fake society created by the British newspaper 'Sunday Times'. Mr Severin maintains that he had had relations with a fake company and had been deceived. He also maintains that this does not have any legal consequences and that, in any case, he has never carried out his parliamentary duties in exchange for money. In short, according to Mr Severin, the company and contract were fake. He did not do any lobbying, no damage was done and no money exchanged, and no amendments to legislation were made.

For its part, the committee considers that however much such action may be regarded as reprehensible from an ethical point of view and raise doubts as to the propriety of relations between the press and MEPs, there are no indications that it might be, on the part of the Romanian judicial authority, a case of *fumus persecutionis*. That is to say, there are no sufficiently serious and specific grounds for suspecting that the proceedings have been initiated with the precise intention of damaging the Member politically.

Accordingly, the Legal Affairs Committee recommends that the European Parliament raise the immunity of Adrian SEVERIN, while considering that, until a final sentence is passed, the Member should be immune from any form of detention or remand or any other measure which prevents that Member from performing the duties proper to the mandate.

## Request for the waiver of parliamentary immunity of Adrian Severin

---

The European Parliament decided to waive the immunity Adrian SEVERIN (NI, RO).

Romania's National Anti-Corruption Department has requested the waiver of immunity of Adrian Severin, in order to enable the Romanian Prosecutor's Office to conduct the necessary investigations and to take legal action against Adrian Severin. The waiver of the immunity of Adrian Severin concerns alleged corruption offences covered by Article 6 of Romanian Law No 78/2000 in conjunction with Article 254 (corruption) and Article 257 (influence peddling) of the Criminal Code.

It is alleged that Mr SEVERIN, exploiting his position as Member of the European Parliament, accepted an offer of payment from representatives of a self-styled lobbying company which presented itself under the name of 'Taylor Jones Public Affairs', in exchange for his support in the European Parliament for a draft amendment to Directive 94/19/EC on deposit-guarantee schemes concerning the time limit for the reimbursement of depositors in the case of a bank failure. It appears that Taylor Jones Public Affairs was a fake society created by the British newspaper 'Sunday Times'. Mr Severin maintains that he had had relations with a fake company and had been deceived.

He also maintains that this does not have any legal consequences and that, in any case, he has never carried out his parliamentary duties in exchange for money. In short, according to Mr Severin, the company and contract were fake. He did not do any lobbying, no damage was done and no money exchanged, and no amendments to legislation were made.

However, as it not the European Parliament's responsibility to express an opinion on the guilt or otherwise of the Member nor on whether or not the acts attributed to him warrant prosecution, Parliament has decided not to waive the immunity of Adrian Severin, excluding any restrictions on his personal freedom.