

# Procedure file

Basic information	
IMM - Members' immunity	2011/2100(IMM)
Request for the defence of parliamentary immunity of Corneliu Vadim Tudor	
Subject 8.40.01.03 Members' immunity	
Procedure completed	

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	<b>JURI</b> <a href="#">Legal Affairs</a>		24/05/2011
		ALDE <a href="#">WIKSTRÖM Cecilia</a>	
		Shadow rapporteur	
		PPE <a href="#">ZWIEFKA Tadeusz</a>	

Key events			
25/04/2012	Vote in committee		
02/05/2012	Committee report tabled for plenary	<a href="#">A7-0151/2012</a>	Summary
10/05/2012	Results of vote in Parliament		
10/05/2012	Decision by Parliament	<a href="#">T7-0151/2012</a>	Summary
10/05/2012	End of procedure in Parliament		

Technical information	
Procedure reference	2011/2100(IMM)
Procedure type	IMM - Members' immunity
Procedure subtype	Defence of immunity
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 7
Other legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 159
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	JURI/7/06034

Documentation gateway					
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading		<a href="#">A7-0151/2012</a>	02/05/2012	EP	Summary

## Request for the defence of parliamentary immunity of Corneliu Vadim Tudor

---

The Committee on Legal Affairs unanimously adopted the report drafted by Cecilia WIKSTRÖM (ADLE, SE) in which it calls on the European Parliament not to defend the immunity and privileges of [Corneliu Vadim Tudor](#) (NI, RO).

Mr Tudor's request relates to criminal proceedings in which he is accused of having threatened a bailiff and some police officers, committing acts of violence against them, insulting them and generally attempting to obstruct the execution of a legal decision in the context of the eviction of the Romania Mare party from its premises in Bucharest on 4 January 2011. He is charged with contempt of court, behaviour contrary to good morals and breach of the peace.

Members recall that according to Article 8 of the Protocol on the Privileges and Immunities of the European Union, Members of the European Parliament shall not be subject to any form of inquiry, detention or legal proceedings in respect of opinions expressed or votes cast by them in the performance of their duties. However, given that Mr Tudor has not been detained, arrested or searched, the need does not exist to seek the agreement of the European Parliament. Since the facts of the case are not connected with his votes or with his political opinions expressed in the exercise of his office, it has not been considered necessary to request the waiver of Mr Tudor's immunity.

Therefore, the committee recommends that the European Parliament should decide not to defend the immunity and privileges of Corneliu Vadim Tudor.

## Request for the defence of parliamentary immunity of Corneliu Vadim Tudor

---

The European Parliament adopted a decision in which it has decided not to defend the immunity and privileges of Corneliu Vadim Tudor (NI, RO).

Mr Tudor's request relates to criminal proceedings in which he is charged with contempt of court, behaviour contrary to good morals and breach of the peace.

Parliament recalls that according to Article 8 of the Protocol on the Privileges and Immunities of the European Union, Members of the European Parliament shall not be subject to any form of inquiry, detention or legal proceedings in respect of opinions expressed or votes cast by them in the performance of their duties. However, Mr Tudor was neither detained, arrested nor searched. Therefore, the need does not exist to seek the agreement of the European Parliament.

Therefore, Parliament has decided not to defend the immunity and privileges of Corneliu Vadim Tudor.