

Procedure file

Basic information		
INI - Own-initiative procedure	2011/2192(INI)	Procedure completed
Impact of devolution of the Commission's management of external assistance from its headquarters to its delegations on aid delivery		
Subject		
6.30.02 Financial and technical cooperation and assistance		
8.40.03 European Commission		
8.70.03 Budgetary control and discharge, implementation of the budget		

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	DEVE Development		25/05/2011
		PPE KACZMAREK Filip	
		Shadow rapporteur	
		S&D ARLACCHI Pino	
		ALDE GOERENS Charles	
		Verts/ALE STAES Bart	
	Committee for opinion	Rapporteur for opinion	Appointed
	AFET Foreign Affairs		11/10/2011
		S&D MAVRONIKOLAS Kyriakos	
	CONT Budgetary Control (Associated committee)		06/07/2011
		S&D KALFIN Ivailo	
	ENVI Environment, Public Health and Food Safety	The committee decided not to give an opinion.	
European Commission	Commission DG International Cooperation and Development	Commissioner PIEBALGS Andris	

Key events			
29/09/2011	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
29/09/2011	Referral to associated committees announced in Parliament		
29/02/2012	Vote in committee		
09/03/2012	Committee report tabled for plenary	A7-0056/2012	Summary
19/04/2012	Debate in Parliament		

20/04/2012	Results of vote in Parliament		
20/04/2012	Decision by Parliament	T7-0144/2012	Summary
20/04/2012	End of procedure in Parliament		

Technical information

Procedure reference	2011/2192(INI)
Procedure type	INI - Own-initiative procedure
Procedure subtype	Initiative
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 54
Other legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 159
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	DEVE/7/06905

Documentation gateway

Committee draft report		PE478.527	15/12/2011	EP	
Amendments tabled in committee		PE480.826	07/02/2012	EP	
Committee opinion	AFET	PE473.937	08/02/2012	EP	
Committee opinion	CONT	PE478.359	09/02/2012	EP	
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading		A7-0056/2012	09/03/2012	EP	Summary
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading		T7-0144/2012	20/04/2012	EP	Summary
Commission response to text adopted in plenary		SP(2012)487	19/09/2012	EC	

Impact of devolution of the Commission's management of external assistance from its headquarters to its delegations on aid delivery

The Committee on Development adopted the own-initiative report drafted by Filip KACZMAREK (EPP, PL) on the impact of devolution of the Commission's management of external assistance from its headquarters to its delegations on aid delivery.

The report recalls that a decentralised approach to aid delivery brings decision-making closer to delivery realities and to where more operationally efficient donor coordination and harmonisation take place, while taking due account of the need for local ownership. It states that 74% of EU external assistance from the EU budget and the European Development Fund (EDF) is managed directly through 136 EU delegations.

With the creation of the EEAS, delegations have been forced to take on additional competencies such as diplomacy, information/communication and freedom, security and justice policies, while still having to deal with the existing challenges of coordination, coherence and resource shortages.

In this context, Members welcome the general conclusions of the ECAs report and call on the Commission to continue its efforts to increase the effectiveness of aid delivery. They note that, according to the Courts report, further efforts are necessary on the part of the Commission in order to improve the manner in which it evaluates the quality and the results of its interventions. Members take the view that this will result in better accountability for the EU's financial interventions and will ensure increased visibility for its actions. In addition, the Commission is encouraged to complement the criteria and strengthen the procedures for assessing the quality of the projects financed, in order to increase the quality of aid and further to decrease the number of non-performing projects.

Situation in the delegations: Members are concerned that over the period 2005 to 2008 the composition of delegation staffing shifted towards more political and trade-oriented functions, and call on the Commission to strike an appropriate balance in delegations staffing between aid management and other functions. They consider the high turnover rate of staff in delegations to be unacceptable (40% of Commission staff are contract agents), as this weakens the institutional memory and negatively affects the efficiency of operations. They also note that 6% of the commitments under the budget available for 2006 were not contracted by 2009 and were therefore lost. They call for this percentage to be brought down.

Furthermore, the Commission and the EEAS are called upon to:

- address specifically the areas identified by the audit, in particular the workload within delegations, the adequacy of staffing levels among delegations and the balance of delegations staffing between aid management and other functions;
- consider promoting local consultation, where possible, when deciding on aid projects and monitoring their progress;
- appoint Policy Coherence for Development (PCD) focal points in each delegation to monitor the impact of EU policy at partner-country level;
- consider using local expertise and that the existing staff of the EU delegations should strive towards greater involvement in the local societies, in order to bridge the knowledge gap and to ensure an accurate understanding of the local environment in which they operate;
- offer and provide in a more systematic manner legal and financial training for local staff, with a view to optimising the management of EU aid and ensuring good governance in the medium term at local authority level.

EEAS mandate: Members believe that both the mandate and the competences of the EEAS in development cooperation are still unclear, and call on the Council and the Commission to take the necessary steps to resolve this situation. They note with concern, in this connection, that the separation between the EEASs political and administrative tasks and the Commissions aid management tasks might be a source of possible inconsistencies in the implementation of the principles of the Paris Declaration.

Strengthen the effectiveness of development aid: Members call on the Commission and the Council to continue to advocate a reduction in the number of areas of intervention, in line with the EU Code of Conduct on Complementarity and Division of Labour and the Agenda for Change. The relevant EU financial instruments and the European Development Fund (EDF) need to be more poverty-focused and more flexible with regard to their approach and operation, and that more accountability and transparency and better value for money in terms of achieving clear results should also be encouraged.

Improve the supervision and control of aid: Members expect the Commission to take all the necessary measures to overcome the weaknesses of the supervisory and control systems, notably at delegation level. They note the Court of Auditors criticism of the working relationship between the Commissions headquarters and its delegations for the management of external aid and call for the processes in question to be reviewed and simplified with a view to reducing internal bureaucracy, and for a report on the action taken to be submitted to Parliament. Members encourage the Commission to require the delegations systematically to carry out technical and financial monitoring visits to projects and to focus the internal reporting system more on the results achieved by the aid interventions.

Devolution of development aid for increased efficiency: the report calls on the Commission to show how further devolution of financial and human-resource responsibilities from Commission headquarters to delegations would add value by improving dialogue and the coordination and programming of EU aid on the ground. It stresses that neither the Commission nor the Member States should use the current economic and financial crisis to justify a doing more with less approach involving containing or reducing staffing levels in bilateral aid agencies. Members believe that, in the interests of smooth implementation of the EU budget, heads of delegation should be able to delegate the management of operational tasks and of a delegations administrative expenditure to their deputies, and that the Financial Regulation should if necessary be revised accordingly.

Lastly, they call on the Commission and Member States to make greater efforts to improve links between EU delegations and bilateral agencies and partner governments and other development groups such as think tanks, universities, foundations, NGOs and sub-national authorities, since closer ties will maximise the comparative advantages of the devolution process and of the different actors within the national context, while avoiding unnecessary duplication of effort. At the same time, Members call for it to be ensured, during the process of devolving the management of EU external aid from centralised services to delegations, that Parliament keeps its powers of oversight and scrutiny.

Impact of devolution of the Commission's management of external assistance from its headquarters to its delegations on aid delivery

The European Parliament adopted a resolution on the impact of devolution of the Commission's management of external assistance from its headquarters to its delegations on aid delivery.

It recalls that a decentralised approach to aid delivery brings decision-making closer to delivery realities and to where more operationally efficient donor coordination and harmonisation take place, while taking due account of the need for local ownership. It also states that the ultimate objective of devolution and the wider reform of external assistance managed by the Commission is to enhance speed, thoroughness of financial management procedures and the quality of aid in partner countries.

Parliament states that 74% of EU external assistance from the EU budget and the European Development Fund (EDF) is managed directly through 136 EU delegations. It underlines that with the creation of the EEAS, delegations have been forced to take on additional competencies such as diplomacy, information/communication and freedom, security and justice policies, while still having to deal with the existing challenges of coordination, coherence and resource shortages, calling for increase in the effectiveness of aid delivery. Parliament welcomes the report prepared by the European Court of Auditors, which notes that further efforts are necessary on the part of the Commission in order to improve the manner in which it evaluates the quality and the results of its interventions. This will result in better accountability for the EU's financial interventions. In addition, the Commission is encouraged to strengthen the procedures for assessing the quality of the projects financed, in order to increase the quality of aid and further to decrease the number of non-performing projects.

Situation in the delegations: Members are concerned that over the period 2005 to 2008 the composition of delegation staffing shifted towards more political and trade-oriented functions, and call on the Commission to strike an appropriate balance in delegations staffing between aid management and other functions. They consider the high turnover rate of staff in delegations to be unacceptable (40% of Commission staff are contract agents), as this weakens the institutional memory and negatively affects the efficiency of operations. They also note that 6% of the commitments under the budget available for 2006 were not contracted by 2009 and were therefore lost. They call for this percentage to be brought down. In all, Parliament calls on the Commission to ensure that its headquarters have sufficient capacity and human resources to provide adequate support to delegations through the Quality Operations Directorate.

It also calls on the Commission and the EEAS to:

- address specifically the areas identified by the audit, in particular the workload within delegations, the adequacy of staffing levels

among delegations and the balance of delegations' staffing between aid management and other functions;

- consider promoting local consultation, where possible, when deciding on aid projects and monitoring their progress;
- appoint Policy Coherence for Development (PCD) focal points in each delegation to monitor the impact of EU policy at partner-country level;
- consider using local expertise and that the existing staff of the EU delegations should strive towards greater involvement in the local societies, in order to bridge the knowledge gap and to ensure an accurate understanding of the local environment in which they operate;
- offer and provide in a more systematic manner legal and financial training for local staff, with a view to optimising the management of EU aid and ensuring good governance in the medium term at local authority level.

EEAS mandate: Members believe that both the mandate and the competences of the EEAS in development cooperation are still unclear, and call on the Council and the Commission to take the necessary steps to resolve this situation. They note with concern, in this connection, that the separation between the EEASs political and administrative tasks and the Commissions aid management tasks might be a source of possible inconsistencies in the implementation of the principles of the Paris Declaration (on the coherence of development aid.)

Strengthen the effectiveness of development aid: Members call on the Commission and the Council to continue to advocate a reduction in the number of areas of intervention, in line with the EU Code of Conduct on Complementarity and Division of Labour and the Agenda for Change. The relevant EU financial instruments and the European Development Fund (EDF) need to be more poverty-focused and more flexible with regard to their approach and operation, and that more accountability and transparency and better value for money in terms of achieving clear results should also be encouraged.

Improve the supervision and control of aid: Parliament expects the Commission to take all the necessary measures to overcome the weaknesses of the supervisory and control systems, notably at delegation level (from 2012.) It notes the Court of Auditors criticism of the working relationship between the Commissions headquarters and its delegations for the management of external aid and call for the processes in question to be reviewed and simplified with a view to reducing internal bureaucracy, and for a report on the action taken to be submitted to Parliament. Members encourage the Commission to require the delegations systematically to carry out technical and financial monitoring visits to projects and to focus the internal reporting system more on the results achieved by the aid interventions.

Devolution of development aid for increased efficiency: Parliament calls on the Commission to show how further devolution of financial and human-resource responsibilities from Commission headquarters to delegations would add value by improving dialogue and the coordination and programming of EU aid on the ground. It stresses that neither the Commission nor the Member States should use the current economic and financial crisis to justify a doing more with less approach involving containing or reducing staffing levels in bilateral aid agencies. Members believe that, in the interests of smooth implementation of the EU budget, heads of delegation should be able to delegate the management of operational tasks and of a delegations administrative expenditure to their deputies, and that the Financial Regulation should if necessary be revised accordingly.

Lastly, they call on the Commission and Member States to make greater efforts to improve links between EU delegations and bilateral agencies and partner governments and other development groups such as think tanks, universities, foundations, NGOs and sub-national authorities, since closer ties will maximise the comparative advantages of the devolution process and of the different actors within the national context, while avoiding unnecessary duplication of effort. At the same time, Members call for it to be ensured, during the process of devolving the management of EU external aid from centralised services to delegations, that Parliament keeps its powers of oversight and scrutiny.