Procedure file

RSP - Resolutions on topical sul	bjects	2011/2873(RSP)	Procedure rejected	
lequest for an opinion of the Co U-Australia PNR agreement wi		he compatibility of the		
Subject .20.09 Protection of privacy and .20.01 Air transport and air freig .30 Police, judicial and customs .30.20 Action to combat terroris .30.30 Action to combat crime .30 Treaties in general .40.04 Court of Justice, Court of	ght s cooperation in ge sm	eneral		
uotrana				
Key players				
European Commission	Commission			
	Migration and	DG d Home Affairs	Commissioner MALMSTRÖM Cecili	ia
Kov avants	Migration and			ia
Key events		d Home Affairs	MALMSTRÖM Cecili	ia
Key events 27/10/2011				ia
-		d Home Affairs e in Parliament	MALMSTRÖM Cecili	Summary
27/10/2011	Results of vote	d Home Affairs e in Parliament	MALMSTRÖM Cecili	
27/10/2011 27/10/2011	Results of vote	d Home Affairs e in Parliament	MALMSTRÖM Cecili	
27/10/2011 27/10/2011 Technical information	Results of vote	e in Parliament arliament 2011/2873(RSP	MALMSTRÖM Cecili	
27/10/2011 27/10/2011 Technical information Procedure reference	Results of vote	e in Parliament arliament 2011/2873(RSP	MALMSTRÖM Cecili	
27/10/2011 27/10/2011 Technical information Procedure reference Procedure type	Results of vote	e in Parliament arliament 2011/2873(RSP	MALMSTRÖM Cecili	
27/10/2011 27/10/2011 Technical information Procedure reference Procedure type Procedure subtype	Results of vote	e in Parliament 2011/2873(RSP RSP - Resolution Resolution on si	MALMSTRÖM Cecili	
27/10/2011 27/10/2011 Technical information Procedure reference Procedure type Procedure subtype Legal basis	Results of vote	e in Parliament 2011/2873(RSP RSP - Resolution Resolution on si	MALMSTRÖM Cecili	

Request for an opinion of the Court of Justice on the compatibility of the EU-Australia PNR agreement with the Treaties

The European Parliament rejected, in plenary, the motion for a resolution tabled by Cornelia ERNST (GUE/NGL, DE) et al on seeking an opinion from the Court of Justice on the compatibility with the Treaties of the Agreement between the European Union and Australia on the processing and transfer of EU-sourced Passenger Name Record (PNR) data by air carriers to the Australian Customs and Border Protection Service.

To recall, (see NLE/2011/0126), the scope of the Agreement defines the conditions under which such data may be transferred and used, and the manner in which the data shall be protected.

For the Members concerned, they take the view that there is legal uncertainty as to whether the draft Agreement complies with EU data protection legislation and thus as to whether it is compatible with the Treaties in this respect. They question, further, the choice of legal basis, i.e. Articles 82(1)(d) and 87(2)(a) TFEU (police and judicial cooperation), and not Article 16 TFEU (data protection).

If the purpose were police-judicial cooperation, then the EU could theoretically decide against the collection of PNR data by Australia. But this is a sovereign decision by a third country. Therefore, it is not EU policy as it is not for the EU to decide. The chosen legal base is clearly not the correct one.