

Procedure file

Basic information	
COD - Ordinary legislative procedure (ex-codecision procedure) Directive	2012/0007(COD) Procedure lapsed or withdrawn
Classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous preparations	
Subject 3.70.13 Dangerous substances, toxic and radioactive wastes (storage, transport)	

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	ENVI Environment, Public Health and Food Safety		13/03/2012
		PPE KORHOLA Eija-Riitta	
		Shadow rapporteur	
		S&D JØRGENSEN Dan	
		ALDE TAYLOR Rebecca	
		Verts/ALE HASSI Satu	
		ECR GIRLING Julie	
	Committee for opinion	Rapporteur for opinion	Appointed
	JURI Legal Affairs		18/10/2012
		EFD SPERONI Francesco Enrico	
Council of the European Union			
European Commission	Commission DG Legal Service Internal Market, Industry, Entrepreneurship and SMEs	Commissioner	
European Economic and Social Committee			

Key events			
26/01/2012	Legislative proposal published	COM(2012)0008	Summary
02/02/2012	Committee referral announced in Parliament, 1st reading		
28/11/2012	Vote in committee, 1st reading		
03/12/2012	Committee report tabled for plenary, 1st reading	A7-0391/2012	Summary
16/01/2013	Results of vote in Parliament		
16/01/2013	Decision by Parliament, 1st reading	T7-0008/2013	Summary
07/03/2015	Proposal withdrawn by Commission		

Technical information

Procedure reference	2012/0007(COD)
Procedure type	COD - Ordinary legislative procedure (ex-codecision procedure)
Procedure subtype	Recast
Legislative instrument	Directive
Legal basis	Treaty on the Functioning of the EU TFEU 114-p1
Other legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 159
Mandatory consultation of other institutions	European Economic and Social Committee
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure lapsed or withdrawn
Committee dossier	ENVI/7/08689

Documentation gateway

Legislative proposal	COM(2012)0008	26/01/2012	EC	Summary
Economic and Social Committee: opinion, report	CES0834/2012	28/03/2012	ESC	
Committee draft report	PE489.701	19/06/2012	EP	
Amendments tabled in committee	PE492.930	13/07/2012	EP	
Committee report tabled for plenary, 1st reading/single reading	A7-0391/2012	03/12/2012	EP	Summary
Text adopted by Parliament, 1st reading/single reading	T7-0008/2013	16/01/2013	EP	Summary
Commission response to text adopted in plenary	SP(2013)176	05/03/2013	EC	

Additional information

National parliaments	IPEX
European Commission	EUR-Lex

Classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous preparations

PURPOSE: to recast Directive 1999/45/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on the classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous preparations.

PROPOSED ACT: Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council.

BACKGROUND: the codification of Directive 1999/45/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 May 1999 concerning the approximation of the laws, regulations and administrative provisions of the Member States relating to the classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous preparations has been initiated by the Commission. The new Directive was to have superseded the various acts incorporated in it.

In the meantime the Lisbon Treaty entered into force.

Article 290 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) allows the legislator to delegate to the Commission the power to adopt non-legislative acts of general application to supplement or amend certain non-essential elements of a legislative act.

IMPACT ASSESSMENT: no impact assessment was carried out.

LEGAL BASIS: Article 114 of the TFEU.

CONTENT: Directive 1999/45/EC contains a provision with regard to which such a delegation of power would be opportune. It is therefore appropriate to transform the codification of Directive 1999/45/EC into a recast in order to incorporate the necessary amendments.

The power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union should be delegated to the Commission in respect of determining exemptions to certain provisions on environmental labelling, deciding on measures in the framework of the special provisions on the labelling of certain preparations and adapting the Annexes to technical progress.

BUDGETARY IMPLICATION: this proposal has no impact on the EUs budget.

Classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous preparations

The Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety adopted the report by Eija-Riitta KORHOLA (EPP, FI) on the proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on the classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous preparations (recast).

The committee recommends that the European Parliaments position adopted at first reading, following the ordinary legislative procedure, should amend the Commissions proposal as follows:

Members call for the time period to express objections as regards a delegated act to be extended by two months at the initiative of the European Parliament or of the Council (contrary to one month as proposed by the Commission).

Classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous preparations

The European Parliament adopted by 671 votes to 16, with 10 abstentions a legislative resolution on the proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on the classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous preparations (recast).

Parliament adopted its position at first reading under the ordinary legislative procedure, taking into account the recommendations of the Consultative Working Party of the legal services of the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission. The proposal in question does not include any substantive amendments other than those identified as such in the proposal. As regards the codification of the unchanged provisions of the earlier acts, together with those amendments, the proposal contains a straightforward codification of the existing texts, without any change in their substance

Members adopted an amendment calling for the time period to express objections regarding a delegated act to be extended by two months at the initiative of the European Parliament or of the Council as opposed to the one-month period proposed by the Commission.