

# Procedure file

Basic information		
IMM - Members' immunity	<a href="#">2012/2238(IMM)</a>	Procedure completed
Request for the waiver of parliamentary immunity of Malgorzata Handzlik		
Subject 8.40.01.03 Members' immunity		

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	<b>JURI</b> <a href="#">Legal Affairs</a>	NI <a href="#">STOYANOV Dimitar</a>	18/09/2012

Key events			
29/05/2013	Vote in committee		
04/06/2013	Committee report tabled for plenary	<a href="#">A7-0195/2013</a>	Summary
11/06/2013	Results of vote in Parliament		
11/06/2013	Decision by Parliament	<a href="#">T7-0237/2013</a>	Summary
11/06/2013	End of procedure in Parliament		

Technical information	
Procedure reference	2012/2238(IMM)
Procedure type	IMM - Members' immunity
Procedure subtype	Waiver of immunity
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 6
Other legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 159
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	JURI/7/10696

Documentation gateway					
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading		<a href="#">A7-0195/2013</a>	04/06/2013	EP	Summary
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading		<a href="#">T7-0237/2013</a>	11/06/2013	EP	Summary
Commission response to text adopted in plenary		<a href="#">SP(2014)469</a>	25/09/2014	EC	

## Request for the waiver of parliamentary immunity of Malgorzata Handzlik

---

The Committee on Legal Affairs adopted the report by Dimitar STOYANOV (NI, BG) in which it recommends that the European Parliament should not waive the immunity of Ma?gorzata HANDZLIK (EPP, PL).

The Public Prosecutor of the Polish Republic has requested the waiver of the parliamentary immunity of a Member of the European Parliament, Ma?gorzata HANDZLIK, in connection with an investigation and possible legal action concerning an alleged offence under the Polish Criminal Code of 6 June 1997.

Ms HANDZLIK stands accused of having requested the European Parliament to reimburse the costs of attending a language course on the basis of the relevant provisions although she did not attend said course.

The committee considers that the alleged actions do not constitute opinions expressed or votes cast in the performance of the duties of the Member of the European Parliament for the purposes of Article 8 of Protocol No 7 on the Privileges and Immunities of the European Union.

Ms HANDZLIK has been heard twice in these proceedings, when she had the opportunity to voice concerns about the procedure. The Committee also heard Giovanni Kessler, Director-General of the European Anti-Fraud Office, and Roger Vanhaeren, Director-General for Finance of the European Parliament.

The circumstances in which the case against Ma?gorzata HANDZLIK was handled, bearing in mind also the small amount concerned and the uncertain status and provenance of the evidence, have led to serious doubts as to the procedure. It would therefore appear that this is a case where the existence of a *fumus persecutionis* may be assumed.

On the basis of the above considerations, after considering the reasons for and against waiving the Members immunity, it is recommended that the European Parliament should not waive the parliamentary immunity of Ma?gorzata HANDZLIK.

## Request for the waiver of parliamentary immunity of Malgorzata Handzlik

---

The European Parliament decided not to waive the immunity of Ma?gorzata HANDZLIK (EPP, PL).

To recall, the Public Prosecutor of the Polish Republic has requested the waiver of the parliamentary immunity of a Member of the European Parliament, Ma?gorzata HANDZLIK, in connection with an investigation and possible legal action concerning an alleged offence under the Polish Criminal Code of 6 June 1997.

Ms HANDZLIK stands accused of having requested the European Parliament to reimburse the costs of attending a language course on the basis of the relevant provisions although she did not attend said course.

Parliament considers that the alleged actions do not constitute opinions expressed or votes cast in the performance of the duties of the Member of the European Parliament for the purposes of Article 8 of Protocol No 7 on the Privileges and Immunities of the European Union.

The circumstances in which the case against Ma?gorzata HANDZLIK was handled, bearing in mind also the small amount concerned and the uncertain status and provenance of the evidence, have led to serious doubts as to the procedure. It would therefore appear that this is a case where the existence of a *fumus persecutionis* may be assumed.

Consequently, the European Parliament decided not to waive the parliamentary immunity of Ma?gorzata HANDZLIK.