



Procedure file

Basic information		
INI - Own-initiative procedure	2012/2286(INI)	Procedure completed
European Investment Bank - 2011 annual report		
Subject 8.40.07 European Investment Bank (EIB)		

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	ECON Economic and Monetary Affairs		27/03/2012
	Committee for opinion	PPE MAURO Mario	Appointed
	CONT Budgetary Control	Rapporteur for opinion	07/11/2012
European Commission	Commission DG	Commissioner	
	Economic and Financial Affairs	REHN Olli	

Key events			
22/11/2012	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
22/01/2013	Vote in committee		
25/01/2013	Committee report tabled for plenary	A7-0016/2013	Summary
07/02/2013	Results of vote in Parliament		
07/02/2013	Debate in Parliament		
07/02/2013	Decision by Parliament	T7-0057/2013	Summary
07/02/2013	End of procedure in Parliament		

Technical information	
Procedure reference	2012/2286(INI)
Procedure type	INI - Own-initiative procedure
Procedure subtype	Annual report
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 54
Other legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 159
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	ECON/7/10267

Documentation gateway	

Committee draft report		PE496.522	25/09/2012	EP	
Amendments tabled in committee		PE498.120	21/11/2012	EP	
Committee opinion	CONT	PE500.404	10/01/2013	EP	
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading		A7-0016/2013	25/01/2013	EP	Summary
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading		T7-0057/2013	07/02/2013	EP	Summary

European Investment Bank - 2011 annual report

The Committee on Economic and Monetary Affairs adopted the report by Mario MAURO (EPP, IT) on the 2011 Annual Report of the European Investment Bank (EIB).

Members point out that a new set of rules for economic, fiscal and budgetary surveillance and discipline have been introduced in the EU, and especially the eurozone, in order to ensure the sustainability of public debt and that this set of rules needs to be urgently backed up by measures designed to boost the economy, industry, growth, competitiveness, innovation and employment, requiring the mobilisation of the EU budget and of the EIBs lending capacity and expertise.

The report focuses on three main issues:

1. Financial and economic aspects: Members stress there is a crucial need to ensure that the EIB keeps its AAA credit rating, in order to preserve its access to worldwide capital markets under attractive funding conditions that can be transferred to final project promoters. They believe that a further increase in the EIBs capital would be greatly beneficial to the Union in the context of its need for economic growth. They recall their insistence, as repeatedly expressed over many years, on the need for prudential banking supervision of the EIB. They regret that the Commission has proposed no action in this regard, despite Parliaments requests dating back to 2007.

Members welcome the EU 2020 project bond initiative, and call for a more rapid improvement of the pilot phase and a prompt evaluation of its achievements, with a view to starting with the second phase of the project bonds. They encourage the EIB to continue its efforts together with the Commission to develop innovative financial instruments, with the objectives of leveraging limited EU budgetary resources in the most efficient way, mobilising private funding sources and promoting risk-sharing instruments for financing key investments for the EU.

2. Internal and external priorities: with a view to maintaining current levels of welfare while Member States are restructuring public financing, Members encourage the EIB Group and the Commission to continue supporting the social economy sector and young entrepreneurs. They welcome the review of the EIBs mandate for operations outside the Union and support the EIBs focus on investing in the long-term prosperity and stability of the EUs neighbourhood, in particular the Mediterranean area and the countries preparing for EU membership, by financing support in the areas of interconnection, growth, climate change, European FDI and SMEs.

They encourage the EIB to further improve its efforts to conduct lending operations outside the EU and to step up its cooperation with other global and regional development banks, as well as the development finance agencies of Member States, in order to reduce costs and achieve more efficient use of resources.

3. Transparency: Members point to the fact that the EIB pursues its efforts against a background of weakly regulated, non-transparent or uncooperative jurisdictions, including by i): screening the AML-CFT (anti-money laundering and combating the financing of terrorism) capability of financial intermediaries used for its intermediated lending, so that they duly identify the final beneficiaries of EIB funds in accordance with applicable EU AML-CFT Directives or FATF (Financial Action Task Force) standards, as applicable; and ii): monitoring EIB disbursements through such jurisdictions. They recommend that the implementation of EIB/EU financial instruments should be based on ex ante policy objectives and criteria, combined with a transparent and efficient ex post reporting system that will preserve the independence of the EIB as regards project selection and due diligence.

European Investment Bank - 2011 annual report

The European Parliament adopted by a simple majority a resolution on the 2011 Annual Report of the European Investment Bank (EIB).

Parliament points out that a new set of rules for economic, fiscal and budgetary surveillance and discipline have been introduced in the EU, and especially the eurozone, in order to ensure the sustainability of public debt and that this set of rules needs to be urgently backed up by measures designed to boost the economy, industry, growth, competitiveness, innovation and employment, requiring the mobilisation of the EU budget and of the EIBs lending capacity and expertise.

The report focuses on three main issues:

1. Financial and economic aspects: Parliament stresses there is a crucial need to ensure that the EIB keeps its AAA credit rating, in order to preserve its access to worldwide capital markets under attractive funding conditions that can be transferred to final project promoters. It believes that a further increase in the EIBs capital would be greatly beneficial to the Union in the context of its need for economic growth. Parliament recalls its insistence, as repeatedly expressed over many years, on the need for prudential banking supervision of the EIB. Parliament proposes that this regulatory supervision should be: (i) exercised by the ECB on the basis of Article 127(6) of the TFEU; or (ii) exercised in the context of the future Banking Union envisaged in the Commission's communication of 12 September 2012; or (iii) failing that and on the basis of a voluntary approach by the EIB, carried out by the European Banking Authority, with or without the participation of one or more national supervisors, or else by an independent auditor. It regrets that the Commission has proposed no action in this regard, despite Parliaments requests dating back to 2007.

Parliament welcomes the EU 2020 project bond initiative, and calls for a more rapid improvement of the pilot phase and a prompt evaluation of

its achievements, with a view to starting with the second phase of the project bonds. It also encourages the EIB to continue its efforts together with the Commission to develop innovative financial instruments, with the objectives of leveraging limited EU budgetary resources in the most efficient way, mobilising private funding sources and promoting risk-sharing instruments for financing key investments for the EU.

2. Internal and external priorities: with a view to maintaining current levels of welfare while Member States are restructuring public financing, Parliament encourages the EIB Group and the Commission to continue supporting the social economy sector and young entrepreneurs. It welcomes the review of the EIBs mandate for operations outside the Union and supports the EIBs focus on investing in the long-term prosperity and stability of the EUs neighbourhood, in particular the Mediterranean area and the countries preparing for EU membership, by financing support in the areas of interconnection, growth, climate change, European FDI and SMEs.

It encourages the EIB to further improve its efforts to conduct lending operations outside the EU and to step up its cooperation with other global and regional development banks, as well as the development finance agencies of Member States, in order to reduce costs and achieve more efficient use of resources.

3. Transparency: Parliament points to the fact that the EIB pursues its efforts against a background of weakly regulated, non-transparent or uncooperative jurisdictions, including by (i): screening the AML-CFT (anti-money laundering and combating the financing of terrorism) capability of financial intermediaries used for its intermediated lending, so that they duly identify the final beneficiaries of EIB funds in accordance with applicable EU AML-CFT Directives or FATF (Financial Action Task Force) standards, as applicable; and (ii): monitoring EIB disbursements through such jurisdictions. It recommends that the implementation of EIB/EU financial instruments should be based on ex ante policy objectives and criteria, combined with a transparent and efficient ex post reporting system that will preserve the independence of the EIB as regards project selection and due diligence.