



Procedure file

Basic information		
RSP - Resolutions on topical subjects	2013/2621(RSP)	Procedure completed
Resolution on the European neighbourhood policy: towards a strengthening of the partnership - position of the European Parliament on the 2012 reports		
Subject 6.40.15 European neighbourhood policy		

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	AFET Foreign Affairs		23/04/2013
		PPE SARYUSZ-WOLSKI Jacek	23/04/2013
		S&D PANZERI Pier Antonio	
		Shadow rapporteur	
		PPE DAVID Mário	
		S&D SIWIEC Marek	
		ALDE WEBER Renate	
		Verts/ALE FLAUTRE H��l��ne	
		Verts/ALE SCHULZ Werner	
	ECR KOWAL Paweł Robert		
	ECR TANNOCK Timothy Charles Ayrton		

Key events			
22/10/2013	Debate in Parliament		
23/10/2013	Results of vote in Parliament		
23/10/2013	Decision by Parliament	T7-0446/2013	Summary
23/10/2013	End of procedure in Parliament		

Technical information	
Procedure reference	2013/2621(RSP)
Procedure type	RSP - Resolutions on topical subjects
Procedure subtype	Resolution on statement
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 132-p2
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	AFET/7/13314

Documentation gateway					
Amendments tabled in committee		PE516.932	16/09/2013	EP	
Amendments tabled in committee		PE519.498	16/09/2013	EP	
Motion for a resolution		B7-0484/2013	17/10/2013	EP	
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading		T7-0446/2013	23/10/2013	EP	Summary

Resolution on the European neighbourhood policy: towards a strengthening of the partnership - position of the European Parliament on the 2012 reports

The European Parliament adopted a resolution tabled by the Committee on Foreign Affairs on the European Neighbourhood Policy: towards a strengthening of the partnership. Position of the European Parliament on the 2012 reports.

Whilst welcoming the publication of the 2012 progress reports for the ENP South and Eastern countries, Parliament regretted that, in most cases, the reports presented a mixed picture of progress, stagnation and regression. They described the national situation without evaluating the programmes carried out by the Union or making concrete recommendations regarding the allocation of funds under the EU external instruments or development cooperation assistance and its influence on policy-making in the partner countries. The reports should also assess trends by containing data for previous years.

Involvement of Parliament: Members strongly believed that Parliament should be fully involved in implementing the new ENP and in adjusting EU financial support, notably through delegated acts, and should be kept regularly informed about progress in the implementation of reforms in the partner countries and resulting adjustments. They deplored the fact that Parliament was not always consulted when action plans are drawn up or informed about the tenor of discussions, and they called for the status of observer to be accorded to MEPs to take part in meetings of policy and human rights subcommittees.

More for more principle: Parliament called for the consistent implementation of the incentive-based and differentiated approaches and of the principle of more for more as the cornerstone of the revised ENP. It also called for less for less if necessary for those ENP countries making insufficient efforts to build a deep and sustainable democracy and to undertake the agreed reforms.

Democratic transition: Members stressed the need to support the democratic transition processes and that freedom of expression, pluralism and media independence are cornerstones of democracy. They called on the Commission and the EEAS to continue and, wherever possible, to reinforce their support for the democratic conduct of upcoming elections in the partner countries, including the strengthening of media freedom and pluralism.

Eastern Partnership: Parliament made a series of recommendations, stating that the EU should, inter alia:

- strengthen the application of the more-for-more principle and stimulate it by positive competition and cooperation among partner countries, expressing necessary support to EaP states facing pressure from third countries when implementing the *acquis communautaire* ;
- apply a two-track approach, by making demands of EaP governments whilst being open, generous and engaging towards the citizens of partner countries;
- design a long-march strategy to promote European values encompassing internal change and the aspirations of these societies for freedom and prosperity;
- decentralise the EaP by engaging with, and offering its ownership to, public actors on both sides through horizontal partnerships and twinning, accompanied by increased mobility, people-to-people contacts, visa facilitation and the prospect of a visa-free system,
- initial or sign the Association Agreements and aim for their prompt entry into force, initially on a provisional basis and then in full, before the end of the current term of the European Parliament and the European Commission, provided that the necessary conditions and requirements have been met.

Parliament started its concern about Russian actions designed to deter partner countries from political and economic association with the EU.

It went on to make a series of specific remarks about partner countries, these being: Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Georgia, Moldova, and Ukraine. Regarding the latter, Members recommended that the Council sign the Association Agreement between the EU and Ukraine if the conditions are met.

The Southern Neighbourhood: Parliament was concerned at the difficulties being encountered by the southern Mediterranean countries in overcoming the challenges of their democratic transitions, taking the view that a successful transition to sustainable democracy must be the Unions priority regarding its Southern Neighbourhood, and calling for the EU institutions and the Member States to increase their support to that effect.

Members pointed out that social justice and improving the quality of life are key elements of the transitions currently taking place in the Southern Neighbourhood countries, expressing deep concern at the employment situation, in particular among young people, and they urged the Commission to support effective employment policies. They believed that there was an urgent need to promote projects for sustainable and inclusive socio-economic development and integration in the Maghreb in order to facilitate the circulation of goods, services, capital and persons. Furthermore, Parliament wanted the EU and its Member States to implement a concrete and effective mobility policy with the countries of the Southern Neighbourhood, in particular by simultaneously signing visa liberalisation agreements and readmission agreements similar to those signed with most countries of the Eastern Partnership, underlining the importance of increasing mobility and cooperation in the field of academic education and vocational training.

Parliament went on to make a series of specific observations regarding Southern Neighbourhood partner countries, these being: Algeria, Egypt, Israel, Jordan, Lebanon, Libya, Morocco, Palestine, Syria and Tunisia.