

# Procedure file

Basic information	
INI - Own-initiative procedure	2013/2127(INI)
Financial participation of employees in companies' proceeds	Procedure completed
Subject	
3.45.03 Financial management of undertakings, business loans, accounting	
4.15.05 Industrial restructuring, job losses, redundancies, relocations, Globalisation Adjustment Fund (EGF)	
4.15.10 Worker information, participation, trade unions, works councils	

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	<b>EMPL</b> Employment and Social Affairs (Associated committee)		17/04/2013
		ALDE <a href="#">BENNION Phil</a>	
		Shadow rapporteur	
		PPE <a href="#">KASTLER Martin</a>	
		S&D <a href="#">REGNER Evelyn</a>	
		Verts/ALE <a href="#">LAMBERT Jean</a>	
		ECR <a href="#">MCINTYRE Anthea</a>	
	Committee for opinion	Rapporteur for opinion	Appointed
	<b>ECON</b> Economic and Monetary Affairs (Associated committee)		18/06/2013
		PPE <a href="#">BERTOT Fabrizio</a>	
European Commission	Commission DG	Commissioner	
	<a href="#">Employment, Social Affairs and Inclusion</a>	ANDOR László	

Key events			
04/07/2013	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
04/07/2013	Referral to associated committees announced in Parliament		
05/12/2013	Vote in committee		
18/12/2013	Committee report tabled for plenary	<a href="#">A7-0465/2013</a>	Summary
13/01/2014	Debate in Parliament		
14/01/2014	Results of vote in Parliament		
14/01/2014	Decision by Parliament	<a href="#">T7-0013/2014</a>	Summary
14/01/2014	End of procedure in Parliament		

Technical information	
Procedure reference	2013/2127(INI)
Procedure type	INI - Own-initiative procedure

Procedure subtype	Initiative
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 54
Other legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 159
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	EMPL/7/13165

### Documentation gateway

Committee draft report		<a href="#">PE516.876</a>	29/08/2013	EP	
Amendments tabled in committee		<a href="#">PE521.544</a>	14/10/2013	EP	
Committee opinion	ECON	<a href="#">PE516.888</a>	28/11/2013	EP	
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading		<a href="#">A7-0465/2013</a>	18/12/2013	EP	Summary
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading		<a href="#">T7-0013/2014</a>	14/01/2014	EP	Summary
Commission response to text adopted in plenary		<a href="#">SP(2014)320</a>	26/05/2014	EC	

## Financial participation of employees in companies' proceeds

The Committee on Employment and Social Affairs adopted the own-initiative report by Phil BENNION (ADLE, UK) on financial participation of employees in companies proceeds.

The Committee on Economic and Monetary Affairs, exercising the prerogative of an associated committee in line with [Rule 50 of the Rules of Procedure](#), were also consulted to give an opinion on the current report.

The Members recalled that employee financial participation (EFP) schemes can offer employees direct financial benefits above and beyond agreed basic remuneration structures. These schemes mainly take three forms:

- profit sharing (cash-based, deferred or in shares),
- individual employee share ownership (employee shares or stock options),
- employee stock ownership plans (ESOPs).

For a reconciliation of existing EFP schemes without harmonisation: the Members recall that EFP is affected by national taxation rules and it is not appropriate to develop a comprehensive one size fits all model for EFP at EU level. However, barriers to the adoption of EFP should be lifted in the European Union, notably the transnational obstacles facing both companies offering schemes to employees in several Member States, and for employees, for whom double taxation may represent an infringement of the right to freedom of movement.

They emphasise that in some cases it might be useful to bring about the gradual convergence of existing financial participation schemes and the related national legislation so as to enable employers in future to offer schemes in the same or a similar form in all Member States where they have employees.

They call for a strengthening of the information on the financial participation schemes in place especially in SMEs as well as greater transparency in tax matters. The Members particularly stress the need to implement measures preventing double taxation when employees work in various Member States. Guidelines on the taxation of EFP should therefore be presented by the Commission.

Recommendations: the Members made a series of recommendations calling on the Commission and on Member States to consider appropriate measures to encourage companies, acting voluntarily, to develop and offer EFP schemes, open to all employees, in association with social partners. They anticipate the results of the Commissions pilot project on the Promotion of employee ownership and participation.

Fora potential opt-in 29th regime: the Members view with interest a potential opt-in 29th regime as an optional single legal framework open to employers throughout the EU, which would respect areas of Member State competence on fiscal and labour law, based on a market-based approach, improved transparency and access to information to facilitate equal implementation in different Member States. This model would be applicable at the national and/or EU level when needed and not being restricted to cross-border companies.

They encourage the Commission to present an independent impact assessment on such a regime. Following the publication of the independent impact assessment, the Members call on the Commission to consider developing a set of basic guidelines for successful EFP schemes encompassing the following elements:

- objective-led: companies should determine the objectives of an EFP scheme in order to select the model that is most appropriate for them;
- flexible in operation and voluntary, operating differently in different sectors, companies of different sizes and types and offering employees a choice about how to benefit from a closer financial relationship with their employer;
- additional/complementary to contractual remuneration;
- negotiated by social partners;

- clear information must be given to employees on the risks and rights attached to opting into an EFP scheme.

Involvement in governance should also be noted with workers enabled to become directly involved in the governance of a company.

In any case, the EFP should not aim to replace the wages of the workers.

Better employee awareness of the advantages of EFP: finally, the Members call on the Commission and the Member States to better organise information campaigns and to encourage the cross-border transferability of best practice schemes among Member States. They encourage Member States, in cooperation with social partners, employee ownership

organisations and the Commission, to use existing single information portals one stop shops accessible to employers and employees, or to develop new ones, in order to explain the benefits and advantages as well as risks of EFP, the national incentives available and the different models which exist.

## Financial participation of employees in companies' proceeds

---

The European Parliament adopted by 562 votes to 62, with 60 abstentions, a resolution on financial participation of employees in companies proceeds.

Parliament recalled that employee financial participation (EFP) schemes can offer employees direct financial benefits above and beyond agreed basic remuneration structures. These schemes mainly take three forms:

- profit sharing (cash-based, deferred or in shares),
- individual employee share ownership (employee shares or stock options),
- employee stock ownership plans (ESOPs).

For a reconciliation of existing EFP schemes without harmonisation: Parliament recalled that EFP is affected by national taxation rules and it is not appropriate to develop a comprehensive one size fits all model for EFP at EU level. However, barriers to the adoption of EFP should be lifted in the European Union, notably the transnational obstacles facing both companies offering schemes to employees in several Member States, and for employees, for whom double taxation may represent an infringement of the right to freedom of movement.

It emphasised that in some cases it might be useful to bring about the gradual convergence of existing financial participation schemes and the related national legislation so as to enable employers in future to offer schemes in the same or a similar form in all Member States where they have employees.

It called for a strengthening of the information on the financial participation schemes in place especially in SMEs as well as greater transparency in tax matters. Members particularly stressed the need to implement measures preventing double taxation when employees work in various Member States. Guidelines on the taxation of EFP should therefore be presented by the Commission.

Recommendations: Members made a series of recommendations calling on the Commission and on Member States to consider appropriate measures to encourage companies, acting voluntarily, to develop and offer EFP schemes, open to all employees, in association with social partners. They anticipated the results of the Commissions pilot project on the Promotion of employee ownership and participation.

For a potential opt-in 29th regime: Parliament viewed with interest a potential opt-in 29th regime as an optional single legal framework open to employers throughout the EU, which would respect areas of Member State competence on fiscal and labour law, based on a market-based approach, improved transparency and access to information to facilitate equal implementation in different Member States. This model would be applicable at the national and/or EU level when needed and not being restricted to cross-border companies.

It encouraged the Commission to present an independent impact assessment on such a regime. Following the publication of the independent impact assessment, Members called on the Commission to consider developing a set of basic guidelines for successful EFP schemes encompassing the following elements:

- objective-led: companies should determine the objectives of an EFP scheme in order to select the model that is most appropriate for them;
- flexible in operation and voluntary, operating differently in different sectors, companies of different sizes and types and offering employees a choice about how to benefit from a closer financial relationship with their employer;
- additional/complementary to contractual remuneration;
- negotiated by social partners;
- clear information must be given to employees on the risks and rights attached to opting into an EFP scheme.

Involvement in governance should also be noted with workers enabled to become directly involved in the governance of a company.

In any case, the EFP should not aim to replace the wages of the workers.

Parliament considered that, in order to promote financial participation for the purpose of creating a new form of company financing and enabling employees to be more connected to the company that employs them, employers should be given the opportunity to offer employees share capital subscriptions or specific debt securities (bonds). It took the view that the capital subscriptions should be voluntary for the employees, acting either as individuals or as a group, as well as for the company.

Better employee awareness of the advantages of EFP: lastly, Parliament called on the Commission and the Member States to better organise information campaigns and to encourage the cross-border transferability of best practice schemes among Member States. It encouraged Member States, in cooperation with social partners, employee ownership organisations and the Commission, to use existing single information portals one stop shops accessible to employers and employees, or to develop new ones, in order to explain the benefits and advantages as well as risks of EFP, the national incentives available and the different models which exist.