



# Procedure file

Basic information		
DEC - Discharge procedure	<a href="#">2013/2220(DEC)</a>	Procedure completed
2012 discharge: European Food Safety Authority (EFSA)		
Subject 8.70.03.07 Previous discharges		

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	<b>CONT</b> Budgetary Control		10/10/2013
		PPE <a href="#">SARVAMAA Petri</a>	
		Shadow rapporteur	
		S&D <a href="#">KADENBACH Karin</a>	
		ALDE <a href="#">GERBRANDY Gerben-Jan</a>	
		Verts/ALE <a href="#">STAES Bart</a>	
		ECR <a href="#">ANDREASEN Marta</a>	
		EFD <a href="#">VANHECKE Frank</a>	
		NI <a href="#">EHRENHAUSER Martin</a>	
	Committee for opinion	Rapporteur for opinion	Appointed
	<b>ENVI</b> Environment, Public Health and Food Safety		10/10/2013
		S&D <a href="#">HAUG Jutta</a>	
European Commission	Commission DG <a href="#">Budget</a>	Commissioner ŠEMETA Algirdas	

Key events			
26/07/2013	Non-legislative basic document published	COM(2013)0570	
22/10/2013	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
17/03/2014	Vote in committee		
21/03/2014	Committee report tabled for plenary	<a href="#">A7-0219/2014</a>	Summary
02/04/2014	Debate in Parliament		
03/04/2014	Results of vote in Parliament		

03/04/2014	Decision by Parliament	<a href="#">T7-0312/2014</a>	Summary
03/04/2014	End of procedure in Parliament		
05/09/2014	Final act published in Official Journal		

### Technical information

Procedure reference	2013/2220(DEC)
Procedure type	DEC - Discharge procedure
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	CONT/7/13890

### Documentation gateway

Non-legislative basic document		COM(2013)0570	26/07/2013	EC	
Court of Auditors: opinion, report		N7-0023/2014 OJ C 365 13.12.2013, p. 0120	10/09/2013	CofA	Summary
Committee draft report		<a href="#">PE521.664</a>	27/01/2014	EP	
Committee opinion	ENVI	<a href="#">PE524.567</a>	27/01/2014	EP	
Document attached to the procedure		<a href="#">05849/2014</a>	05/02/2014	CSL	Summary
Amendments tabled in committee		<a href="#">PE521.768</a>	03/03/2014	EP	
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading		<a href="#">A7-0219/2014</a>	21/03/2014	EP	Summary
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading		<a href="#">T7-0312/2014</a>	03/04/2014	EP	Summary

### Final act

Budget 2014/583  
OJ L 266 05.09.2014, p. 0216 Summary

## 2012 discharge: European Food Safety Authority (EFSA)

**PURPOSE:** presentation of the EU Court of Auditors report on the annual accounts of the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) for the year 2012, together with the Authority's reply.

**CONTENT:** in accordance with the tasks conferred on the Court of Auditors by the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, the Court presents to the European Parliament and to the Council, in the context of the discharge procedure, a Statement of Assurance as to the reliability of the annual accounts of each institution, body or agency of the EU, and the legality and regularity of the transactions underlying them, on the basis of an independent external audit.

This audit concerned, amongst others, the annual accounts of the European Food Safety Authority.

In the Courts opinion, the European Food Safety Authority's Annual Accounts fairly present, in all material respects, its financial position as of 31 December 2012 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended, in accordance with the provisions of its Financial Regulation and the accounting rules adopted by the Commission's accounting officer.

The Court also considers that the transactions underlying the annual accounts of the Authority for the financial year ended 31 December 2012 are, in all material respects, legal and regular.

The report confirms that the Authority's 2012 budget amounted to EUR 78.3 million.

The report also makes a series of observations on the budgetary and financial management of the Authority, accompanied by the Authority's response. The main observations may be summarised as follows:

The Courts observations:

- audits and internal controls: a number of potential critical risks were identified in the operation of the Authority's internal controls, particularly in the areas of data management, business continuity and IT security;

- budgetary management: the overall level of appropriations committed was 99 %, but the level of committed appropriations carried over was high with operational expenditure at EUR 5.6 million (30 % of title III appropriations).

The Authority's reply:

- audits: as part of its management and internal control procedures the Authority carries out on a regular basis high level risk assessments so as to identify and adopt adequate mitigation measures to potential risks. This exercise is a key internal control put in place to increase the likelihood of achieving the Authority's objectives. The Authority will continue enhancing such preventive risk-based management approach;
- budgetary management: the Authority will continue to improve the monitoring of the budget execution in order to keep the carry-over in line with the budget execution objectives set for the operational activities

Lastly, the Court of Auditors report contains a summary of the Authority's activities in 2012. This is focused on the following:

- scientific opinions and advice, as well as information on risks relating to food safety;
- evaluation of products, substances and claims subject to authorisation
- data Collection, scientific cooperation and networking
- publications and scientific outputs supported by communication activities.

## 2012 discharge: European Food Safety Authority (EFSA)

---

The Committee on Budgetary Control adopted the report by Petri SARVAMAA (EPP, FI) in which it recommended the European Parliament to grant discharge to the Executive Director of the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) in respect of the implementation of the Authority's budget for the financial year 2012.

Noting that the Court of Auditors stated that it has obtained reasonable assurances that the annual accounts of the Authority for the financial year 2012 are reliable, and that the underlying transactions are legal and regular, Members approved the closure of EFSA's accounts. They made, however, a number of recommendations that needed to be taken into account when the discharge is granted, in addition to the general recommendations that appear in the [draft resolution on performance, financial management and control of EU agencies](#).

- Budget and financial management: Members noted that budget monitoring efforts during the financial year 2012 resulted in a budget implementation rate of 99.30% and that the payment appropriations execution rate was 88%. They acknowledged that the relocation of the Authority to its new premises generated savings amounting to EUR 3.94 million and that that amount was reallocated to operational activities.
- Commitments and carryovers: Members noted that carryovers were high. They acknowledged that a high amount of carry-overs were made for reasons beyond the Authority's control. They recalled that the Authority should respect the principle of annuality.
- Prevention and management of conflicts of interests and transparency: Members believed that the procedure for assessing possible conflicts of interest at the Authority, where declarations of interest are screened by Heads of Unit and are generally assessed on a case by case basis, is burdensome and is subject to criticism, raising questions about its credibility and effectiveness. They called on the Authority to come up with a simplified procedure with less uncertainty which would validate and streamline the process and save both human resources and money without, however, jeopardising the newly set standards for the detection and prevention of conflicts of interests. Members noted with concern that the Authority applies a less stringent conflicts of interest policy to experts from food safety organisations (FSOs), as the list which the Authority uses to indicate these FSOs includes institutes that are nominated by Member States and cofinanced by private or undisclosed partners, creating a possible loophole. They considered that the Authority should apply a two-year cooling-off period to all material interests related to the commercial agrifood sector, including research funding, consultancy contracts and decision-making positions in industry-captured organisations. The Authority should ask experts to declare whether their interests were remunerated or not. Current practice of experts anonymising their interests, for instance by using the expression private company, should not be accepted by the Authority. They stated that the current declarations of interests forms could be further improved.

Lastly, Members also made a series of observations on transfers, procurement and recruitment procedures as well as comments on internal controls.

## 2012 discharge: European Food Safety Authority (EFSA)

---

**PURPOSE:** to grant discharge to the European Food Safety Authority for the financial year 2012.

**NON-LEGISLATIVE ACT:** Decision 2014/583/EU of the European Parliament on discharge in respect of the implementation of the budget of the European Food Safety Authority for the financial year 2012.

**CONTENT:** with this Decision, the European Parliament gives discharge to the Executive Director of the European Food Safety Authority for the implementation of the Authority's budget for 2012.

The Decision is consistent with the European Parliament's resolution adopted on 3 April 2014 and includes a series of observations that form an integral part of the discharge decision (refer to the summary of the opinion of 3 April 2014).

Amongst the main observations made, Parliament regretted the problem of the legality of the accounts brought to light by the Court of Auditors and awaits the Authority to implement measures to resolve these issues.

## 2012 discharge: European Food Safety Authority (EFSA)

---

The European Parliament adopted a decision concerning the discharge to be granted to the Executive Director of the European Food Safety

Authority (EFSA) in respect of the implementation of the Authority's budget for the financial year 2012. The vote on the discharge decision approved the closure of the accounts (in accordance with Annex VI, Article 5(1) of the Rules of Procedure of the European Parliament.

Noting that the Court of Auditors stated that it has obtained reasonable assurances that the annual accounts of the Authority for the financial year 2012 are reliable, and that the underlying transactions are legal and regular, Parliament adopted by 510 votes to 70, with 14 abstentions, a resolution containing a series of recommendations that form an integral part of the discharge decision and as well as the general recommendations that appear in the [draft resolution on performance, financial management and control of EU agencies](#).

These recommendations are summarised as follows:

- Reliability of the accounts: Parliament noted that the Court of Auditors found, in its annual audit report for 2012, that the validation of the accounting systems by the Authority's accounting officer covers the central ABAC and SAP systems, but not the local systems and the reliability of data exchanges between central and local systems, which represents a risk as to the reliability of accounting data. It acknowledged that the risk did not materialise in the use of inaccurate data by the Authority's accounting officer; expects the Authority, however, to integrate its local systems in the validation process by its accounting officer.
- Budget and financial management: Parliament noted that budget monitoring efforts during the financial year 2012 resulted in a budget implementation rate of 99.30% and that the payment appropriations execution rate was 88%. It acknowledged that the relocation of the Authority to its new premises generated savings amounting to EUR 3.94 million and that that amount was reallocated to operational activities.
- Commitments and carryovers: Parliament noted that carryovers were high. It acknowledged that a high amount of carry-overs were made for reasons beyond the Authority's control. They recalled that the Authority should respect the principle of annuality.
- Prevention and management of conflicts of interests and transparency: Parliament believed that the procedure for assessing possible conflicts of interest at the Authority, where declarations of interest are screened by Heads of Unit and are generally assessed on a case by case basis, is burdensome and is subject to criticism, raising questions about its credibility and effectiveness. It called on the Authority to come up with a simplified procedure with less uncertainty which would validate and streamline the process and save both human resources and money without, however, jeopardising the newly set standards for the detection and prevention of conflicts of interests. It also noted with concern that the Authority applies a less stringent conflicts of interest policy to experts from food safety organisations (FSOs), as the list which the Authority uses to indicate these FSOs includes institutes that are nominated by Member States and cofinanced by private or undisclosed partners, creating a possible loophole. Parliament considered that the Authority should apply a two-year cooling-off period to all material interests related to the commercial agrifood sector, including research funding, consultancy contracts and decision-making positions in industry-captured organisations. The Authority should ask experts to declare whether their interests were remunerated or not. Current practice of experts anonymising their interests, for instance by using the expression private company, should not be accepted by the Authority. It stated that the current declarations of interests forms could be further improved. In addition, it believed that that list should be revised by excluding organisations which receive more than 50% of their funding from sources other than public sources, in order to avoid undue influence.
- Performance: Parliament requested that the Authority communicate the results and impact its work has on European citizens in an accessible way, mainly through its website.

Lastly, Parliament also made a series of observations on transfers, procurement and recruitment procedures as well as comments on internal controls.