

Procedure file

Basic information		
INI - Own-initiative procedure	2014/2234(INI)	Procedure completed
Protecting the European Union's financial interests: towards performance-based controls of the common agricultural policy		
Subject		
3.10 Agricultural policy and economies		
8.70.03 Budgetary control and discharge, implementation of the budget		
8.70.04 Protecting financial interests of the EU against fraud		

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	 Budgetary Control	 SARVAMAA Petri	05/01/2015
		Shadow rapporteur	
		 PARGNEAUX Gilles	
		 CZARNECKI Ryszard	
		 ALI Nedzhmi	
		 VALLI Marco	
	Committee for opinion	Rapporteur for opinion	Appointed
	 Agriculture and Rural Development	 GIESEKE Jens	23/03/2015
European Commission	Commission DG European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF)	Commissioner GEORGIEVA Kristalina	

Key events			
15/01/2015	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
14/07/2015	Vote in committee		
23/07/2015	Committee report tabled for plenary	A8-0240/2015	Summary
07/09/2015	Debate in Parliament		

08/09/2015	Results of vote in Parliament		
08/09/2015	Decision by Parliament	T8-0289/2015	Summary
08/09/2015	End of procedure in Parliament		

Technical information

Procedure reference	2014/2234(INI)
Procedure type	INI - Own-initiative procedure
Procedure subtype	Initiative
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 54
Other legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 159
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	CONT/8/02280

Documentation gateway

Committee draft report		PE549.347	28/04/2015	EP	
Amendments tabled in committee		PE557.418	11/06/2015	EP	
Committee opinion	AGRI	PE552.135	19/06/2015	EP	
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading		A8-0240/2015	23/07/2015	EP	Summary
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading		T8-0289/2015	08/09/2015	EP	Summary
Commission response to text adopted in plenary		SP(2015)748	24/02/2016	EC	

Protecting the European Union's financial interests: towards performance-based controls of the common agricultural policy

The Committee on Budgetary Control adopted a report by Petri SARVAAMA (EPP, SU) on protecting the European Unions financial interests: towards performance-based controls of the Common Agricultural Policy.

Over the two rounds of common agricultural policy (CAP) reforms, the rules have become more diverse and complex. More complex rules lead to more errors on the ground. A more effective and efficient CAP reform requires simplification and less bureaucracy in order to meet the CAPs objectives. Such a simplification must not result in a dismantling of the instruments that have been adopted.

In this context, the report called for:

- a less bureaucratic CAP with a view to reducing the error rate and for instruments to be established which will make it possible to distinguish between error and fraud given that omissions do not as a rule cause any financial damage to the taxpayer;
- clearer guidance be given to both national authorities and farmers to implement the CAP in order to reduce the error rate and for instruments to be established which will make it possible to distinguish between error and fraud.

New simplification: fearing that the most likely error rate determined by the Court of Auditors will increase in the common agricultural policy direct payments area during the period 2014-2020, Members welcomed the fact that the Commission is giving priority to a new CAP simplification exercise and that it is proposing, firstly, to simplify a number of delegated and implementing acts. They favoured strongly an improvement in the quality and consistency of inspections rather than an increase in the number of controls in agriculture.

Members expected the Commission to urgently make full use of the process of simplification of the CAP, especially with regard to the burdensome and complex regulations governing cross-compliance and greening which ultimately impacts upon farmers across Europe.

Single audit: regretting that the single audit scheme is not yet effective, Members advocated the reinforcement and stronger implementation of the single audit through the coordination of the control activities carried out by the various institutions. They called for the administrative burden arising from audits to be lightened so that farmers are not subjected to different visits on separate occasions by the bodies responsible or to excessive or multiple controls by the Commission and the Court of Auditors in the same year.

Members favoured an integrated approach to controls, whereby all the controls required on a given farm are carried out at the same time wherever possible, so that the number of onsite visits is kept low and the concomitant financial and time cost and burden for administrations

and farmers can be reduced and the control process streamlined.

Sanctions: the report states that the adoption of a reasonable, proportional and effective policy on sanctions to avoid double sanctioning for the same error under both the payment scheme and cross-compliance. Moreover, in order to ensure smooth project implementation, payments should not be interrupted when minor and/or clerical errors are identified.

Audit strategies: the Commission, the Member States and the Court of Auditors are requested to further develop risk-based audit strategies factoring in all relevant data, including prior identification of the best/worst performers per policy area. The best performing Member States in each policy area should be rewarded by a reduction in Union controls.

Online administration: the report encouraged Member States to develop further e-government initiatives aimed at reducing the error rate by preventing mistakes in the application phase as a mid- to long-term objective. Complete fast broadband coverage of rural areas, with significant awareness-raising and training in its use, will be an essential tool in enabling all farmers to benefit from the newest CAP application and claims systems.

Member States are called upon to ensure that the governmental/regional bodies dealing with the new CAP implementation communicate and work together effectively to the benefit of farmers implementing the policy on the ground.

The Commission is called upon to draft a communication on the possibility of introducing performance-based management systems in all areas of the CAP, especially in the investment part of rural development, in order to initiate a debate with all the stakeholders with a view to introducing this principle in EU legislation.

Protecting the European Union's financial interests: towards performance-based controls of the common agricultural policy

The European Parliament adopted by 575 votes to 21, with 111 abstentions, a resolution on protecting the European Unions financial interests: towards performance-based controls of the Common Agricultural Policy.

Over the two rounds of common agricultural policy (CAP) reforms, the rules have become more diverse and complex. More complex rules lead to more errors on the ground. A more effective and efficient CAP reform requires simplification but it must not result in a dismantling of the instruments that have been adopted.

In this context, Parliament called for:

- a less bureaucratic CAP with a view to reducing the error rate and for instruments to be established which will make it possible to distinguish between error and fraud given that omissions do not as a rule cause any financial damage to the taxpayer;
- clearer guidance be given to both national authorities and farmers to implement the CAP in order to reduce the error rate and for instruments to be established which will make it possible to distinguish between error and fraud.

New simplification: fearing that the most likely error rate determined by the Court of Auditors will increase in the common agricultural policy direct payments area during the period 2014-2020, Parliament welcomed the fact that the Commission is giving priority to a new CAP simplification exercise and that it is proposing, firstly, to simplify a number of delegated and implementing acts. It favoured strongly an improvement in the quality and consistency of inspections rather than an increase in the number of controls in agriculture.

Parliament expected the Commission to urgently make full use of the process of simplification of the CAP, especially with regard to the burdensome and complex regulations governing cross-compliance and greening which ultimately impacts upon farmers across Europe.

Single audit: regretting that the single audit scheme is not yet effective, Members advocated the reinforcement and stronger implementation of the single audit through the coordination of the control activities carried out by the various institutions. They called for the administrative burden arising from audits to be lightened so that farmers are not subjected to different visits on separate occasions by the bodies responsible or to excessive or multiple controls by the Commission and the Court of Auditors in the same year.

Parliament favoured an integrated approach to controls, whereby all the controls required on a given farm are carried out at the same time wherever possible, so that the number of onsite visits is kept low and the concomitant financial and time cost and burden for administrations and farmers can be reduced and the control process streamlined.

Sanctions: the resolution stated that the adoption of a reasonable, proportional and effective policy on sanctions to avoid double sanctioning for the same error under both the payment scheme and cross-compliance. Moreover, in order to ensure smooth project implementation, payments should not be interrupted when minor and/or clerical errors are identified.

Audit strategies: the Commission, the Member States and the Court of Auditors are requested to further develop risk-based audit strategies factoring in all relevant data, including prior identification of the best/worst performers per policy area. The best performing Member States in each policy area should be rewarded by a reduction in Union controls. Parliament emphasised that criteria should be developed in order to define which Member States are identified as best/worst performers. Members considered that the best performing Member States in each policy area should be rewarded by a reduction in Union controls. The best performing Member States should share their experience with the worst performing Member States.

Online administration: Parliament encouraged Member States to develop further e-government initiatives aimed at reducing the error rate by preventing mistakes in the application phase as a mid- to long-term objective. Complete fast broadband coverage of rural areas, with significant awareness-raising and training in its use, will be an essential tool in enabling all farmers to benefit from the newest CAP application and claims systems.

Member States are called upon to ensure that the governmental/regional bodies dealing with the new CAP implementation communicate and work together effectively to the benefit of farmers implementing the policy on the ground.

Lastly, the Commission is called upon to draft a communication on the possibility of introducing performance-based management systems in all areas of the CAP, especially in the investment part of rural development, in order to initiate a debate with all the stakeholders with a view to introducing this principle in EU legislation.

