Procedure file

Basic information			
RSP - Resolutions on topical subjects	2016/3018(RSP)	Procedure completed	
Resolution on the adequacy of the protection afforded by the EU-US Privacy Shield			
Subject 1.20.09 Protection of privacy and data protection			
Geographical area United States			

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	LIBE Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs		11/07/2016
		S&D MORAES Claude	
		Shadow rapporteur	
		PPE VOSS Axel	
		ALDE IN 'T VELD Sophia	
		GUE/NGL ERNST Cornelia	
		Verts/ALE ALBRECHT Jan Philipp	
		EFDD VON STORCH Beatrix	

Key events			
05/04/2017	Debate in Parliament		
06/04/2017	Results of vote in Parliament	<u> </u>	
06/04/2017	Decision by Parliament, 1st reading/single reading	T8-0131/2017	Summary
06/04/2017	End of procedure in Parliament		

Technical information		
Procedure reference	2016/3018(RSP)	
Procedure type	RSP - Resolutions on topical subjects	
Procedure subtype	Resolution on statement	
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 132-p2	
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed	
Committee dossier	LIBE/8/08645	

Documentation gateway			
Amendments tabled in committee	PE597.621	30/01/2017	EP

Motion for a resolution	B8-0235/2017	06/04/2017	EP	
Motion for a resolution	B8-0244/2017	06/04/2017	EP	
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading	T8-0131/2017	06/04/2017	EP	Summary
Commission response to text adopted in plenary	SP(2017)487	07/09/2017	EC	

2016/3018(RSP) - 06/04/2017 Text adopted by Parliament, single reading

The European Parliament adopted by 306 votes to 240, with 40 abstentions, a resolution tabled by the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs on the adequacy of the protection afforded by the EU-US Privacy Shield.

The EU-US Privacy Shield replaced the former Safe Harbour decision, which was invalidated by a EU Court of Justice judgment on 6 October 2015 (the Schrems case).

Parliament welcomed the fact that, following further discussions with the US administration, the Commission adopted its <u>implementing decision</u> 2016/1250 declaring the adequate level of protection for personal data transferred from the Union to organisations in the United States under the EU-US Privacy Shield.

As at 23 March 2017, 1 893 US organisations have joined the EU-US Privacy Shield. Members regretted that the Privacy Shield is based on voluntary self-certification and therefore applies only to US organisations which have voluntarily signed up to it, which means that many companies are not covered by the scheme.

Despite the assurances given by the US Government and the significant improvements in the clarity of standards compared to the former EU-US Safe Harbour, Members raised a number of concerns regarding certain aspects national security and law enforcement, including:

- the significant difference between the protection provided by Directive 95/46/EC and the notice and choice principle of the Privacy Shield arrangement, as well as the considerable differences between the Directive and the data integrity and purpose limitation principle of the Privacy Shield arrangement;
- the lack of specific rules on automated decision-making and on a general right to object, and the lack of clear principles on how the Privacy Shield Principles apply to processors (agents);
- the fact that only a fraction of the US organisations that have joined the Privacy Shield have chosen to use an EU data protection authority for the dispute resolution mechanism;
- recent revelations about surveillance activities conducted by a US electronic communications service provider on all emails reaching
 its servers, upon request of the National Security Agency (NSA) and the FBI, as late as 2015, i.e. one year after Presidential Policy
 Directive 28 was adopted and during the negotiation of the EU-US Privacy Shield;
- lack of effective judicial redress rights for individuals in the EU whose personal data are transferred to a US organisation;
- the absence of a uniform definition of "bulk surveillance" that would reflect European understanding of the term and ensure that the
 evaluation of data is not made dependent on selection; Members deplored the fact that the EU-US Privacy Shield does not prohibit the
 collection of bulk data for law enforcement purposes;
- sufficient independence of the Ombudsperson mechanism set up by the US Department and the fact that it is not vested with sufficient effective powers to carry out its duties and provide effective redress to EU individuals.

On the basis of these considerations, the resolution called on the Commission to take all the necessary measures to ensure that the Privacy Shield will fully comply with Regulation (EU) 2016/679 (General Data Protection Regulation), to be applied as from 16 May 2018, and with the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union.