








Procedure file

Basic information		
INI - Own-initiative procedure	2017/2256(INI)	Procedure completed
Annual report on the functioning of the Schengen area		
Subject 7.10.02 Schengen area, Schengen acquis		

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	 Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs	 COELHO Carlos	03/05/2017
		Shadow rapporteur	
		 GUILLAUME Sylvie	
		 WIKSTRÖM Cecilia	
		 VALERO Bodil	
European Commission	Commission DG Migration and Home Affairs	Commissioner AVRAMOPOULOS Dimitris	

Key events			
14/12/2017	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
25/04/2018	Vote in committee		
03/05/2018	Committee report tabled for plenary	A8-0160/2018	Summary
29/05/2018	Debate in Parliament		
30/05/2018	Results of vote in Parliament		
30/05/2018	Decision by Parliament	T8-0228/2018	Summary
30/05/2018	End of procedure in Parliament		

Technical information	
Procedure reference	2017/2256(INI)
Procedure type	INI - Own-initiative procedure
Procedure subtype	Initiative
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 54
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	LIBE/8/11551

Documentation gateway					
Committee draft report		PE613.539	19/02/2018	EP	
Amendments tabled in committee		PE619.140	14/03/2018	EP	
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading		A8-0160/2018	03/05/2018	EP	Summary
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading		T8-0228/2018	30/05/2018	EP	Summary
Commission response to text adopted in plenary		SP(2018)515	16/11/2018	EC	

Annual report on the functioning of the Schengen area

The Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs adopted the own-initiative report by Carlos COELHO (EPP, PT) on the annual report on the functioning of the Schengen area.

In recent years several factors have impacted the functioning of the Schengen area such as the significant numbers of asylum seekers and irregular migrants with related secondary movements and the treat of terrorist attacks. The strengthening of the EUs external borders and the introduction of systematic checks against relevant data bases, including for European citizens, were part of the measures put in place to protect the Schengen area. Maintaining internal border controls in the Union or reintroducing such controls in the Schengen area has a serious impact on the lives of European citizens and all those who benefit from the principle of free movement within the EU, and seriously undermines their trust in the European institutions and integration.

Shortcomings: the report noted that the EU legislator has adopted a number of measures in the last three years which were designed to reinforce the integrity of the Schengen Area without internal border controls. Members welcomed the effectiveness of the measures taken at the external borders and the creation of the European Border and Coast Guard Agency ([EBCGA](#)). They noted the importance of the newly introduced vulnerability assessment mechanism in uncovering weaknesses at the common external borders and preventing crises.

They noted the steps taken through amendment of the Schengen Borders Code and introduction of mandatory systematic checks against relevant databases at the external borders on entry and exit for third-country nationals and for EU nationals, while remaining vigilant about the effects, necessity and proportionality of these measures on the border crossings of EU nationals.

Members expressed concerns regarding the critical shortcomings and deficiencies discovered through the Schengen Evaluation Mechanism and the vulnerability assessment. They condemned the continued reintroduction of internal border checks as this undermines the basic principles of the Schengen area. Many of the prolongations are not in line with the existing rules as to their extensions, necessity or proportionality and are therefore unlawful.

They stressed that a further prolongation of the existing internal border controls or the reintroduction of new ones would impose major economic costs on the EU as a whole by severely damaging the single market.

Action to be taken: Members:

- stressed the importance of reforming and adapting the SIS to face new challenges swiftly, namely regarding the protection of children who are at risk or missing, the immediate, obligatory exchange of information on terrorism;
- highlighted the anticipated substantial increase in the activity of the Supplementary Information Request at the National Entry (SIRENE) Bureau;
- called on the Commission and the Members States to allocate sufficient resources to the implementation and follow-up of Schengen evaluations and vulnerability assessments;
- reiterated support for Bulgaria and Romanias immediate accession to the Schengen area, and the accession of Croatia as soon as it fulfils the criteria for joining. They called on the Council to approve the accession of Bulgaria and Romania as fully-fledged members of the Schengen area.

Other issues impacting Schengen: Members stressed that the current state of Schengen and the persistence of internal border controls are not due primarily to problems in the structure and rules of the Schengen area itself but rather to the related fields of the *acquis*, such as shortcomings in the area of the Common European Asylum System, including a lack of political will, solidarity and responsibility sharing, the Dublin Regulation and the management of the external borders.

Members regretted that many persons have been reported dead or missing in the Mediterranean Sea in recent years. A permanent, robust and effective Union response in search and rescue operations at sea is crucial in preventing the loss of life at sea.

Member States are also called on to:

- take specific steps to ensure adequate infrastructure, accommodation and living conditions for all asylum seekers, especially taking into consideration the needs of unaccompanied minors and families with minors as well as women in vulnerable situations;
- bring their detention facilities into line with the requirements of international best practices and human rights norms and conventions, to meet capacity demand, bearing in mind that detention is a measure of last resort and is not in the best interest of the child, and to increase the use of alternative measures to detention;
- further develop mutual cross-border police cooperation through joint threat assessment, risk analysis and patrols.

Lastly, Members recalled the high priority given to the reform of the Common European Asylum System (CEAS) as part of the holistic approach to addressing the challenges affecting refugee, asylum-seeker and migrant policies and the Commissions Agenda on Migration. They noted that Parliament has repeatedly stressed that opening legal channels for migrants and refugees is the best way to fight human

trafficking and at the same time irregular migration. The Council was called on to swiftly follow Parliament in adopting a mandate for negotiations on every proposal in this regard, particularly as regards the Dublin Regulation. The report highlighted that the new European Agency for Asylum has still to be approved and urged the Council to unblock this file as a matter of urgency. It also stressed the need to improve the security of identity cards provided by the Member States to EU citizens and called on the Commission to propose standards for the security and biometric features incorporated in identity cards, as is already the case with passports.

Annual report on the functioning of the Schengen area

The European Parliament adopted by 439 votes to 157, with 80 abstentions, a resolution on the annual report on the functioning of the Schengen area.

In recent years several factors have impacted the functioning of the Schengen area such as the significant numbers of asylum seekers and irregular migrants with related secondary movements and the treat of terrorist attacks. Some Member States have reacted to the arrivals of asylum seekers and refugees by re-establishing controls at their internal borders.

Since March 2016, the Commission has proposed a series of measures aimed at restoring the normal functioning of the Schengen area. However, the proper functioning of the Schengen area has not yet been restored. Maintaining and re-establishing border controls in the Schengen area has serious repercussions on the lives of citizens who benefit from the principle of free movement within the EU.

The Schengen area is at a crossroads. It requires decisive and joint actions to fully restore the benefits it brings to citizens. It also requires mutual trust, cooperation and solidarity between Member States.

Progress and shortcomings: Members welcomed the effectiveness of the measures taken at the external borders and the creation of the European Border and Coast Guard Agency ([EBCGA](#)). They noted the steps taken through [amendment of the Schengen Borders Code](#) and introduction of mandatory systematic checks against relevant databases at the external borders on entry and exit for third-country nationals and for EU nationals, while remaining vigilant about the effects, necessity and proportionality of these measures on the border crossings of EU nationals.

They noted the importance of the newly introduced vulnerability assessment mechanism in uncovering weaknesses at the common external borders and preventing crises.

They noted the steps taken through amendment of the Schengen Borders Code and introduction of mandatory systematic checks against relevant databases at the external borders on entry and exit for third-country nationals and for EU nationals, while remaining vigilant about the effects, necessity and proportionality of these measures on the border crossings of EU nationals.

Members expressed concerns regarding the critical shortcomings and deficiencies discovered through the Schengen Evaluation Mechanism and the vulnerability assessment. They condemned the continued reintroduction of internal border checks as this undermines the basic principles of the Schengen area. Many of the prolongations are not in line with the existing rules as to their extensions, necessity or proportionality and are therefore unlawful. They also condemned the construction of physical barriers, including fences, between Member States and recalls its doubts as to the compatibility of such actions with the Schengen Borders Code.

The resolution stressed that a further prolongation of the existing internal border controls or the reintroduction of new ones would impose major economic costs on the EU as a whole by severely damaging the single market.

Action to be taken: Parliament stressed the need to remedy, as soon as possible, the critical shortcomings identified in order to restore the normal functioning of the Schengen area without internal border controls. The resolution in particular:

- stressed the importance of reforming and adapting the SIS to face new challenges swiftly, namely regarding the protection of children who are at risk or missing, the immediate, obligatory exchange of information on terrorism;
- highlighted the anticipated substantial increase in the activity of the Supplementary Information Request at the National Entry (SIRENE) Bureau;
- called on the Commission and the Member States to allocate sufficient resources to the implementation and follow-up of Schengen evaluations and vulnerability assessments;
- invited the Member States directly concerned to prepare contingency plans to mitigate situations of increased levels of migration and to improve registration and accommodation capacity while fully respecting the principle of non-refoulement and fundamental rights;
- called on the Council to approve the accession of Bulgaria and Romania as fully-fledged members of the Schengen area.

Other issues impacting Schengen: Parliament regretted that many persons have been reported dead or missing in the Mediterranean Sea in recent years. A permanent, robust and effective Union response in search and rescue operations at sea is crucial in preventing the loss of life at sea.

Member States are also called on to:

- ensure a rapid and efficient return procedure, respecting fundamental rights and in humane and dignified conditions;
- take specific steps to ensure adequate infrastructure, accommodation and living conditions for all asylum seekers, especially taking into consideration the needs of unaccompanied minors and families with minors as well as women in vulnerable situations;
- bring their detention facilities into line with the requirements of international best practices and human rights norms and conventions, to meet capacity demand, bearing in mind that detention is a measure of last resort and is not in the best interest of the child, and to increase the use of alternative measures to detention;
- further develop mutual cross-border police cooperation through joint threat assessment, risk analysis and patrols.

Lastly, Members recalled the high priority given to the reform of the Common European Asylum System (CEAS) as part of the holistic approach to addressing the challenges affecting refugee, asylum-seeker and migrant policies and the Commissions Agenda on Migration. They noted that Parliament has repeatedly stressed that opening legal channels for migrants and refugees is the best way to fight human trafficking and at the same time irregular migration. The Council was called on to swiftly follow Parliament in adopting a mandate for negotiations on every proposal in this regard, particularly as regards the Dublin Regulation.

Parliament stressed the need to improve the security of identity cards provided by the Member States to EU citizens and called on the

Commission to propose standards for the security and biometric features incorporated in identity cards, as is already the case with passports.