Procedure file

Basic information			
RSP - Resolutions on topical subjects	2018/2545(RSP)	Procedure completed	
Resolution on the definition of SMEs			
Subject 3.45.02 Small and medium-sized enterprises (SME), craft industries			

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible ITRE Industry, Research and Energy	Rapporteur	Appointed 14/12/2017
		Cepp PIEPER Marku	IS
		Shadow rapporteur	<u>=</u>
		S&D TOIA Patrizia	
		ECR BASHIR Amjac	<u>1</u>
		NAGTEGAAL	Caroline
		TURMES Clau	<u>de</u>
		TAMBURRAN	O Dario
		ENF KAPPEL Barba	ara
European Commission	Commission DG Internal Market, Industry, Entrepreneurship an	Commissioner d SMEs BIEŃKOWSKA Elżbie	ta

Key events			
03/07/2018	Debate in Parliament	-	
04/07/2018	Results of vote in Parliament		
04/07/2018	Decision by Parliament	T8-0293/2018	Summary
04/07/2018	End of procedure in Parliament		

Technical information	
Procedure reference	2018/2545(RSP)

Procedure type	RSP - Resolutions on topical subjects
Procedure subtype	Debate or resolution on oral question/interpellation
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 136-p5
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	ITRE/8/12127

Documentation gateway				
Amendments tabled in committee	PE619.091	13/04/2018	EP	
Oral question/interpellation by Parliament	B8-0031/2018	02/07/2018	EP	
Motion for a resolution	B8-0304/2018	03/07/2018	EP	
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading	T8-0293/2018	04/07/2018	EP	Summary
Commission response to text adopted in plenary	SP(2018)628	16/11/2018	EC	

Resolution on the definition of SMEs

The European Parliament adopted a resolution tabled by the Committee on Industry, Research and Energy on the definition of SMEs.

It began by recalling that the 23 million SMEs in the EU, which make up around 99 % of all businesses, employ almost two thirds of the European working population.

SMEs benefit at EU, Member State, regional and local level from specific support, including financing opportunities and simplified procedures. A stringent SME definition is a tool that can mitigate market failures and problems inherent to competition between enterprises that differ in terms of size, volume of assets and business models

Parliament took the view that, the flexibility offered by the Commission recommendation 2003/361/EC concerning the definition of micro, small and medium-sized enterprises should be maintained, given the nature of this strategic instrument and the many differences between SMEs and Member States.

Re-evaluation of the SME definition: Members emphasised that an adjustment of the SME definition should always work to the benefit of SMEs and ease their access to public support. Bearing this in mind, they called on the Commission to:

- prevent larger players from attempting to create artificial corporate structures to take advantage of the SME definition, which would lead to a system in which the available support is wrongly and more widely distributed and hence not available to SMEs in need;
- consider updating the SME definition while also taking account of the Commissions economic forecasts regarding inflation and labour productivity, so as to obviate the need for any rapid further adjustment over the next few years;
- support the aggregation of undertakings, particularly clusters and business networks, with the aim of promoting the rationalisation of
 costs and improvements to the exchange of knowledge and expertise.

Parliament also highlighted the importance of the proper acknowledgement of start-ups and of micro enterprises and thus of the acronym MSME, pointing out that 90 % of EU SMEs and 93 % of all EU companies in the non-financial business sector are micro firms, which employ approximately 30 % of the EU workforce, and thus need special attention.

Members made the following observations:

- the employee headcount has become a widely accepted criterion and should remain the main criterion, but turnover and balance sheet totals are also important criteria for the definition;
- the status of SMEs in mergers should be clarified;
- where start-ups work together with joint ventures, enterprises linked with the joint ventures should not be taken into account when
 assessing the start-ups SME status, provided that it is not an artificial construct and there are no further connections between the
 start-up and the linked enterprises.

MidCaps: Parliament called on the Commission to consider the establishment of a separate definition for MidCaps (enterprises that have outgrown the SME definition but still typically have medium-sized structures), since it felt that the latter do not receive appropriate attention from policy-makers. A separate definition would enable targeted measures for MidCaps while avoiding the risk of broadening the SME definition to an extent that would be detrimental to its original objectives.

Reporting obligations, statistics, studies and impact assessments: Parliament believed that the future COSME, FP9 and Structural Funds programmes under the next MFF should continue to earmark sufficient amounts to support SMEs seeking to innovate and generate employment.

Furthermore, it called on the Commission to:

conduct a comprehensive study into the possible impact of the SME definition on business development and on lock-in effects, i.e.
when enterprises deliberately opt not to expand in order to avoid bureaucratic burdens and other obligations that arise from the loss of
their SME status:

- conduct a study on the impacts of the definition on publicly owned enterprises which are financially independent, or operate under competitive conditions with private companies, noting that having public ownership does not necessarily imply financial or regulatory support by the public entity;
- conduct a feasibility study of sector-specific SME definitions in order to scrutinise the impact of such an approach on these sectors of the economy and the added value generated;
- ensure that the SME impact test which implements the Think Small First principle to be made mandatory for all EU legislative proposals, beyond the Commissions own undertakings.

Guidance for SMEs: lastly, Parliament called for guidance on the procedures used to determine SME status and information about any changes concerning the SME definition or procedures, in a timely and optimal manner.