










Procedure file

Basic information		
INI - Own-initiative procedure	2021/2066(INI)	Procedure completed
Corruption and human rights		
Subject		
6.10.09 Human rights situation in the world		
6.30.02 Financial and technical cooperation and assistance		
7.30.30.06 Action to combat economic fraud and corruption		

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	 Foreign Affairs	 CSEH Katalin Shadow rapporteur  LÓPEZ GIL Leopoldo  GLUCKSMANN Raphaël  SOLÉ Jordi  KANKO Assita  KRAH Maximilian  MATIAS Marisa	04/03/2021

Key events			
20/05/2021	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
25/01/2022	Vote in committee		
02/02/2022	Committee report tabled for plenary	A9-0012/2022	Summary
15/02/2022	Debate in Parliament		
17/02/2022	Decision by Parliament	T9-0042/2022	Summary

Technical information	
Procedure reference	2021/2066(INI)
Procedure type	INI - Own-initiative procedure
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 118
Other legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 159
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	AFET/9/06053

Documentation gateway					
Committee draft report		PE696.265	13/08/2021	EP	
Amendments tabled in committee		PE697.577	27/09/2021	EP	
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading		A9-0012/2022	02/02/2022	EP	Summary
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading		T9-0042/2022	17/02/2022	EP	Summary

Corruption and human rights

The Committee on Foreign Affairs adopted the own-initiative report by Katalin CSEH (Renew, HU) on a European Parliament recommendation to the Council and the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy concerning corruption and human rights.

The report highlighted that corruption facilitates, perpetuates and institutionalises human rights violations. It is a crime which requires a common definition and approach due to its seriousness and cross-border dimension.

Towards a comprehensive EU anti-corruption strategy

Members acknowledged the linkage between corruption and human rights and that corruption is an enormous obstacle to the enjoyment of all human rights. They recommended adopting a human rights-based approach in the fight against corruption, with victims of corruption placed at its core, and place the fight against corruption at the front and centre of all EU efforts and policies promoting human rights, democracy and the rule of law around the world.

Members believe that corruption must be recognised as a global phenomenon, requiring anti-corruption institutions, effective prevention mechanisms and an international regulatory framework, as well as mechanisms for asset recovery and criminal prosecution within the EU. They called for work to be launched on an internationally agreed definition of corruption.

Stressing that transparency is the cornerstone of any anti-corruption strategy, Members therefore called for the abolition of excessive rules on professional secrecy in relevant sectors, in particular the financial sector, for the automatic exchange of information on tax fraud and evasion and for multinationals and public registers of beneficial owners to be encouraged to publish country-by-country information. Members recommended adopting a zero tolerance policy towards tax havens.

The report recommended enhancing the Parliaments role in the scrutiny of anti-corruption efforts.

Coherence between internal and external policies

Members called for the credibility of the EU's external anti-corruption action to be strengthened by combating corruption, tax evasion, illicit trade, banking secrecy and money laundering more effectively within the EU. They also recommended:

- implementing and enforcing existing national and international anti-corruption instruments and strengthening the integration of the rights-based anti-corruption dimension in the EU's external action instruments;
- ensuring accountability for the perpetrators of grand corruption schemes;
- adopting common standards on transparency, control and investment oversight for reducing corruption and money laundering risks posed by so-called golden visa programmes.

Members called for ensuring that the highest ethical and transparency standards are applied in EU funding, including the financing of projects and loans by the EIB. They recommended prioritising the fight against corruption in pre-accession negotiations and criteria and including a strong and mandatory human rights conditionality framework with binding and enforceable human rights and anti-corruption clauses in all trade and investment agreements between the EU and third countries.

Criminal sanctions

The report recommended that the Council request the Commission to strengthen the EU anti-corruption framework and to present an EU directive on the subject on the basis of Article 83 TFEU, establishing common EU rules for criminal sanctions for corruption at EU level.

Members called for progress in efforts in all EU Member States to freeze and confiscate stolen assets and the proceeds of corruption on their territory, and to return them to the country of origin in a transparent and accountable manner. They suggested devising a set of appropriate

actions (sanctions, civil and criminal confiscation of assets, criminal prosecution, asset return mechanisms) that ultimately lead to a repurposing of stolen assets to benefit victims of corruption. They called for common rules at EU level for the return of stolen assets.

Interference in democratic processes

The report recommended closely monitoring foreign interference and establishing European funding rules for political parties and foundations, NGOs and the media and ensuring their strict application, especially when funds come from non-democratic countries and non-state actors, with a view to preventing elite capture and malign interference in the democratic processes and public affairs of the EU and partner countries. It suggested continuing support regarding free and fair electoral processes.

A safe environment for those committed to preventing and fighting corruption

Members called for the development of programmes to provide increased financial support to civil society organisations, independent media, whistleblowers, investigative journalists and human rights defenders working to prevent and expose corruption and advance transparency and accountability, including support against strategic lawsuits against public participation (SLAPP suits).

Trade relations

Members called for: (i) the urgent introduction of binding EU legislation on human rights and environmental due diligence, imposed on all entities and business relationships throughout a company's value chain and; (ii) the development of an action plan to strengthen human rights due diligence in sectors such as finance, accounting or real estate, which often foster global corruption.

Corruption and human rights

The European Parliament adopted by 568 votes to 42, with 82 abstentions, a recommendation to the Council and the Vice-President of the Commission/High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy on corruption and human rights.

Members recalled that corruption is a crime listed in Article 83(1) TFEU, which requires a common definition and approach due to its seriousness and cross-border dimension. The fight against corruption requires international cooperation and is an integral part of international commitments to uphold human rights.

Towards a comprehensive EU anti-corruption strategy

Parliament recommended adopting a human rights-based approach in the fight against corruption, with victims of corruption placed at its core, and place the fight against corruption at the front and centre of all EU efforts and policies promoting human rights, democracy and the rule of law around the world.

Members believe that corruption must be recognised as a global phenomenon, requiring anti-corruption institutions, effective prevention mechanisms and an international regulatory framework, as well as mechanisms for asset recovery and criminal prosecution within the EU. They called for work to be launched on an internationally agreed definition of corruption.

Stressing that transparency is the cornerstone of any anti-corruption strategy, Members therefore called for the abolition of excessive rules on professional secrecy in relevant sectors, in particular the financial sector, for the automatic exchange of information on tax fraud and evasion and for multinationals and public registers of beneficial owners to be encouraged to publish country-by-country information. Members recommended adopting a zero tolerance policy towards tax havens.

Coherence between internal and external policies

Parliament called for the credibility of the EU's external anti-corruption action to be strengthened by combating corruption, tax evasion, illicit trade, banking secrecy and money laundering more effectively within the EU. It recommended implementing and enforcing existing national and international anti-corruption instruments and strengthening the integration of the rights-based anti-corruption dimension in the EU's external action instruments.

Members called for ensuring that the highest ethical and transparency standards are applied in EU funding, including the financing of projects and loans by the EIB. They recommended prioritising the fight against corruption in pre-accession negotiations and criteria and including a strong and mandatory human rights conditionality framework with binding and enforceable human rights and anti-corruption clauses in all trade and investment agreements between the EU and third countries.

Criminal sanctions

Parliament recommended that the Council request the Commission to strengthen the EU anti-corruption framework and to present an EU directive on the subject on the basis of Article 83 TFEU, establishing common EU rules for criminal sanctions for corruption at EU level.

Members called for progress in efforts in all EU Member States to freeze and confiscate stolen assets and the proceeds of corruption on their territory, and to return them to the country of origin in a transparent and accountable manner. They suggested devising a set of appropriate actions (sanctions, civil and criminal confiscation of assets, criminal prosecution, asset return mechanisms) that ultimately lead to a repurposing of stolen assets to benefit victims of corruption. They called for common rules at EU level for the return of stolen assets.

Interference in democratic processes

Parliament recommended:

- closely monitoring foreign interference and establishing European funding rules for political parties and foundations, NGOs and the media and ensuring their strict application, especially when funds come from non-democratic countries and non-state actors, with a view to preventing elite capture and malign interference in the democratic processes and public affairs of the EU and partner countries;
- promoting dedicated programmes on political party financing and campaign spending as part of the EU's external democracy support to their countries;
- continuing to support free and fair electoral processes and promote accountability to voters, with particular attention to electoral fraud and vote buying.

A safe environment for those committed to preventing and fighting corruption

Parliament called for the development of programmes to provide increased financial support to civil society organisations, independent media, whistleblowers, investigative journalists and human rights defenders working to prevent and expose corruption and advance transparency and accountability, including support against strategic lawsuits against public participation (SLAPP suits).

Trade relations

Members called for: (i) the urgent introduction of binding EU legislation on human rights and environmental due diligence, imposed on all entities and business relationships throughout a company's value chain and; (ii) the development of an action plan to strengthen human rights due diligence in sectors such as finance, accounting or real estate, which often foster global corruption.

They also called for: (i) anti-corruption measures to be integrated into global anti-COVID actions; (ii) the recognition of the link between environmental degradation and destruction, which impedes the enjoyment of human rights, and underground networks of corruption and organised crime; and (iii) account to be taken of the fact that corruption exacerbates gender inequalities.