

Procedure file

Basic information	
COD - Ordinary legislative procedure (ex-codecision procedure) Regulation	2023/0093(COD) Awaiting Council's 1st reading position
Judicial cooperation: transfer of proceedings in criminal matters	
Subject 7.40.04 Judicial cooperation in criminal matters	
Legislative priorities Joint Declaration 2023-24	

Key players				
European Parliament	Committee responsible LIBE Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs	Rapporteur  KANKO Assita Shadow rapporteur  BRAUNSBERGER-REINHOLD Karolin  INCIR Evin  PETERSEN Morten  BRICMONT Saskia  PELLETIER Anne-Sophie	Appointed 06/07/2023	
	Committee for opinion JURI Legal Affairs	Rapporteur for opinion The committee decided not to give an opinion.	Appointed	
Council of the European Union European Commission	Commission DG Internal Market, Industry, Entrepreneurship and SMEs	Commissioner BRETON Thierry		

Key events			
05/04/2023	Legislative proposal published	COM(2023)0185	Summary
08/05/2023	Committee referral announced in		

	Parliament, 1st reading		
23/01/2024	Vote in committee, 1st reading		
23/01/2024	Committee decision to open interinstitutional negotiations with report adopted in committee		
26/01/2024	Committee report tabled for plenary, 1st reading	A9-0008/2024	Summary
05/02/2024	Committee decision to enter into interinstitutional negotiations announced in plenary (Rule 71)		
07/02/2024	Committee decision to enter into interinstitutional negotiations confirmed by plenary (Rule 71)		
09/04/2024	Approval in committee of the text agreed at 1st reading interinstitutional negotiations	PE760.864 GEDA/A/(2024)001677	
23/04/2024	Decision by Parliament, 1st reading	T9-0293/2024	

Technical information

Procedure reference	2023/0093(COD)
Procedure type	COD - Ordinary legislative procedure (ex-codecision procedure)
Procedure subtype	Legislation
Legislative instrument	Regulation
Legal basis	Treaty on the Functioning of the EU TFEU 082-p1
Other legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 159
Stage reached in procedure	Awaiting Council's 1st reading position
Committee dossier	LIBE/9/11700

Documentation gateway

Legislative proposal	COM(2023)0185	05/04/2023	EC	Summary
Document attached to the procedure	SWD(2023)0077	05/04/2023	EC	
Document attached to the procedure	SWD(2023)0078	05/04/2023	EC	
Document attached to the procedure	N9-0045/2023 OJ C 253 18.07.2023, p. 0006	22/05/2023	EDPS	
Economic and Social Committee: opinion, report	CES2300/2023	20/09/2023	ESC	
Committee draft report	PE753.778	10/10/2023	EP	
Amendments tabled in committee	PE756.024	08/11/2023	EP	
Committee report tabled for plenary, 1st reading/single reading	A9-0008/2024	26/01/2024	EP	Summary
Coreper letter confirming interinstitutional agreement	GEDA/A/(2024)001677	20/03/2024	CSL	
Text agreed during interinstitutional negotiations	PE760.864	20/03/2024	EP	
Text adopted by Parliament, 1st reading/single reading	T9-0293/2024	23/04/2024	EP	

Judicial cooperation: transfer of proceedings in criminal matters

PURPOSE: to lay down rules on the transfer of criminal proceedings between the Member States.

PROPOSED ACT: Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council.

ROLE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT: the European Parliament decides in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure and on an equal footing with the Council.

BACKGROUND: Member States currently transfer criminal proceedings between themselves using a variety of legal instruments, with no uniform legal framework across the EU. The most comprehensive international legal framework on the transfer of criminal proceedings - the European Convention on the Transfer of Proceedings in Criminal Matters of 15 May 1972 - has only been ratified and applied by 13 Member States. The majority of the Member States therefore rely on Article 21 of the European Convention on Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters of 20 April 1959, under which transfer is largely unregulated and relies on national laws.

With an increase of cross-border crime, EU criminal justice is increasingly confronted with situations where several Member States have jurisdiction to prosecute the same case. Parallel or multiple prosecutions can be inefficient and ineffective, but also possibly detrimental to the rights of the individuals concerned as a person may not be prosecuted or punished for the same offence twice.

Common rules on transfer criminal proceedings from one Member State to another are necessary to ensure that the best-placed Member State investigates or prosecutes a criminal offence. Such common rules will help:

- prevent unnecessary parallel proceedings concerning the same facts and the same individual in different Member States, that could result in an infringement of the fundamental principle that a person may not be prosecuted or punished for the same offence twice (ne bis in idem principle);
- avoid cases of impunity where surrender under a European Arrest Warrant is refused.

CONTENT: therefore, in the absence of a common legal framework and due to differences among Member States national criminal justice systems, the Commission is proposing a Regulation on the transfer of criminal proceedings between Member States.

The proposal establishes rules under which a Member State may take over criminal proceedings at the request of another Member State. It should apply in all cases of transfer of criminal proceedings in the Union from the time where a person has been identified as a suspect. It should cover all criminal offences.

Criminal proceedings are understood as covering all stages of the criminal proceedings, including pre-trial and trial stage. This proposal should not apply to requests for transfer of administrative proceedings.

The proposed Regulation does not impose any obligation to request a transfer of criminal proceedings. If the requesting authority considers that transferring a criminal proceeding is necessary and appropriate, it may request the other Member State that is better placed to prosecute the criminal offence to take over those criminal proceedings. Whether a request for transfer of criminal proceedings is justified should be carefully assessed on a case-by-case basis in order to identify the Member State that is best placed to prosecute the criminal offence in question and any request should be clearly motivated.

Under this proposal, the suspected or accused person could also request the competent authorities of the requesting of the requesting State or of the requested State to initiate a procedure for transferring criminal proceedings. Such requests do not, however, create an obligation for the requesting or the requested State to request or transfer criminal proceedings to the requested State.

The proposal also includes common rules such as:

- a list of common criteria for transfer of proceedings, as well as grounds for refusing the transfer of proceedings;
- a time limit for a decision on the transfer of proceedings. The requested authority should have 60 days to decide whether to accept or refuse the transfer of criminal proceedings. If it refuses the transfer of proceedings, it must provide reasons for such refusal. However, if the transfer of proceedings is accepted, the requesting authority must transfer the case to the requested authority of another Member State;
- rules on costs for translation and on the effects of the transfer of proceedings;
- obligations with respect to the rights for the suspects and accused persons as well as legal remedies;
- rules on the use of electronic communication between the requesting and requested authorities, as well as with central authorities and with Eurojust, through a decentralised IT system.

Judicial cooperation: transfer of proceedings in criminal matters

The Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs adopted the report by Assita KANKO (ECR, BE) on the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the transfer of proceedings in criminal matters.

The committee responsible recommended that the European Parliament's position adopted at first reading under the ordinary legislative procedure should amend the proposal as follows:

Application

This Regulation should apply in all cases of transfer of criminal proceedings that are being conducted in Member States of the Union. The definition of victim is extended to legal persons who have suffered harm or economic loss as a direct result of a criminal offence that is the object of criminal proceedings.

Transfer of criminal proceedings

A request for transfer of criminal proceedings may only be issued where the requesting authority deems that the objective of an efficient and

proper administration of justice would be better served by conducting the relevant criminal proceedings in another Member State and that it is proportionate to do so.

The requesting authority should take into account in particular the following criteria:

- the victim or victims are nationals of or residents in the requested State;
- the consultations of Member States competent authorities under Framework Decision 2009/958/JHA have resulted in an agreement on the concentration of the parallel proceedings in one Member State;
- whether the transfer of proceedings would contribute to the achievement of restorative justice objectives.

The rights of the suspect or accused person

If the requesting authority decides to transfer the criminal proceedings following a request made by suspects or accused persons or victims, the decision on the request for transfer should be taken by the competent authorities of the requested State. A negative opinion of the suspect or accused person or of the victim with regards to the transfer of criminal proceedings should not prevent such transfer if the requested authority decides to accept the transfer.

Members clarified the interests of victims and the rights they are given in the process of transfer of proceedings by entitling already one victim and not only majority of victims to suggest the transfer of a criminal proceeding.

Provided that it would not undermine the confidentiality of an investigation, or otherwise prejudice the investigation, or hamper the proper administration of justice or affect the rights of the victims the suspect or accused person, who has already been notified that they are suspected or accused of having committed an offence, should, in accordance with applicable national law, be informed of the intended transfer of criminal proceedings.

Where the suspect or accused person decides to state an opinion, it should be delivered no later than ten days after the suspect or accused person has been informed of the intended transfer and given the opportunity to state their opinion.

Information to be provided to the suspect, accused person as well as to victims

Where the requested authority has taken a decision to accept the transfer of proceedings, the requested authority should, provided that that transfer would not undermine the confidentiality of an investigation or otherwise prejudice the investigation, immediately inform the suspect or accused person, in a language which that suspect or accused person understands, about the acceptance of the transfer by the requested authority, unless that person cannot be located or reached despite reasonable efforts being made by the requested authority.

The requested authority should without undue delay inform the victim who receives the information on criminal proceedings in accordance with Directive 2012/29/EU, as implemented by national law, in a language he or she understands, of the acceptance of the transmission by the requested authority, unless that victim can no longer be located or reached.

Right to an effective legal remedy

The amended text stipulated that suspects, accused persons, and victims should have the right to effective legal remedies in the requested State against a decision to accept the transfer of criminal proceedings. The court should take its decision on the legal remedy within 60 days.

Member States should ensure that suspects, accused persons, and victims receive the decision on the acceptance of transfer and also have the right of access to all documents that formed the basis for the decision to accept a transfer under this Regulation. Such access may be limited where it would undermine the confidentiality of an investigation, or otherwise prejudice the investigation.

Coordination

The report introduces a new provision calling for a coordination between the requested authority and the requesting authority after the transfer, with regard to the provisional measures that have been taken.

Transparency				
BRAUNSBERGER-REINHOLD Karolin	Shadow rapporteur	LIBE	26/10/2023	Eurojust