

Procedure file

Basic information		
BUD - Budgetary procedure	1994/2189(BUD)	Procedure completed
Supplementary and amending budget 2/1994		
Subject 8.70.60 Previous annual budgets		

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	BUDG Budgets		07/09/1994
		PSE DANKERT Pieter	
	Former committee responsible		07/09/1994
	BUDG Budgets	PSE DANKERT Pieter	
Council of the European Union	Council configuration	Meeting	Date
	Budget	1801	10/11/1994

Key events			
10/10/1994	Council draft budget published	09719/1994	
17/10/1994	Vote in committee		
17/10/1994	Budgetary report tabled for plenary	A4-0027/1994	
24/10/1994	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
25/10/1994	Debate in Parliament		
27/10/1994	Decision by Parliament	T4-0061/1994	
10/11/1994	Amended budget adopted by Council		
10/11/1994	Council amended draft budget published	N4-0211/1994	
10/11/1994	Vote in committee, 2nd reading		Summary
10/11/1994	Budgetary report tabled for plenary, 2nd reading	A4-0059/1994	
14/11/1994	Committee referral announced in Parliament, 2nd reading		
15/11/1994	Debate in Parliament		

15/11/1994	Decision by Parliament, 2nd reading	T4-0103/1994	
15/11/1994	End of procedure in Parliament		
31/12/1994	Final act published in Official Journal		

Technical information

Procedure reference	1994/2189(BUD)
Procedure type	BUD - Budgetary procedure
Procedure subtype	Budget
Legal basis	ECSC Treaty C 078; EC before Amsterdam E 203; Euratom Treaty A 177
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	BUDG/4/06041

Documentation gateway

Council draft budget		09719/1994	10/10/1994	CSL	
Budgetary report tabled for plenary, 1st reading		A4-0027/1994 OJ C 323 21.11.1994, p. 0005	17/10/1994	EP	
Budgetary text adopted by Parliament		T4-0061/1994 OJ C 323 21.11.1994, p. 0115-0148	27/10/1994	EP	
Budgetary report tabled for plenary, 2nd reading		A4-0059/1994 OJ C 341 05.12.1994, p. 0004	10/11/1994	EP	
Council amended draft budget		N4-0211/1994	10/11/1994	CSL	
Final budget adopted by Parliament		T4-0103/1994 OJ C 341 05.12.1994, p. 0020-0037	15/11/1994	EP	

Final act

[Budget 1994/921](#)
[OJ L 362 31.12.1994, p. 0001](#)

Supplementary and amending budget 2/1994

Following the Council's consideration of the supplementary and amending budget No 2/94 at second reading, the Committee on Budgets examined the results of this second reading. In fact, the DANKERT/WYNN report on the SAB No 2/94 would be debated and voted on in the House on Tuesday, 15 November. This SAB contained two main sections: the first referred to the budget revenue and dealt essentially with the question of "negative balances" for 1993; the second related to the administrative expenditure of the other institutions. A solution for negative balances... With regard to the first section, the Committee on Budgets noted that the Council had accepted the majority of the amendments adopted by the EP at first reading on 27 October. Thus, the Council had accepted inter alia the financing of the Mostar hospital from 1994 (+ ECU 20 million in commitment appropriations). The Council also accepted the Commission proposal, approved by the EP at first reading, to reduce the appropriations for the EAGGF Guarantee Section as a result of a saving of ECU 1 678 million in implementing expenditure (particularly in the sectors of bovine meat, arable crops and wine products). It also accepted the inclusion in the budget of the surplus available for the 1993 financial year, which amounted to ECU 971.1 million. In this respect, the negative balance of the GNP/VAT revenue for 1993 amounting to ECU 4 080 million was reduced by ECU 2 649.1 million. To "soak up" the remainder of the negative balances, at the Commission's initiative, the Council including in SAB No 2/94 a foreseeable excess for the 1994 financial year of ECU 1 500 million. This amount represented the appropriations that would not be implemented by the end of the year. These ECU 1 500 million came, in particular, from the EAGGF Guarantee Section, accounting for ECU 800 million, together with ECU 400 million of appropriations carried over from the 1993 financial year and approximately ECU 300 million from structural measures. The committee decided to recommend the House to accept, as an exception and under specific conditions, the solution put forward by the Council to include in advance these ECU 1 500 million that had not been used in 1994. This guideline was adopted after the presidency-in-office of the Council had agreed to support the proposals to increase the payment appropriations for structural measures if the need should arise during 1995. The Commission agreed to work diligently in the same sense with respect for the interinstitutional agreement. Furthermore, the Committee on Budgets decided to maintain at second

reading the amendment that had not been accepted by the Council concerning the creation of a line to modernise the textile industry in Portugal within category 3 - Internal policy. The search for an agreement between the EP and the Council with regard to CFSP operational/administrative expenditure... At first reading, the DANKERT report on SAB No 2/94 had highlighted the ambiguity surrounding the Council's budget with regard to operational and administrative expenditure. The rapporteur noted that, within the framework of implementing its 1994 administrative budget, the Council had financed a total of ECU 100 000 for EU support for the administration in Mostar. As a result, it had proposed, by way of an amendment, and the EP had followed its lead at first reading, that the envelope for Council expenditure in the context of SAB No 2/94 be decreased in line with that same amount. During Parliament's sitting in October, the presidency-in-office agreed with the rapporteur's reasoning concerning Mostar, whilst adding that this is no way set a precedent. At second reading the Council did not accept this EP amendment. Given the willingness demonstrated by the presidency of the Council to reach agreement with the EP on this issue, the rapporteur was called upon by the Committee on Budgets to seek a satisfactory solution for the two arms of the budgetary authority. ?