Procedure file

Basic information		
CNS - Consultation procedure Directive	1994/0197(CNS)	Procedure lapsed or withdrawn
Value added tax VAT: exemption on the fina	al importation of certain goods	
Subject 2.70.02 Indirect taxation, VAT, excise duties	5	

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	ECON Economic and Monetary Affairs, Industrial Policy		04/11/1994
		PPE CASSIDY Bryan M.D.	
Council of the European Union	Council configuration	Meeting	Date
	Economic and Financial Affairs ECOFIN	1781	27/07/1994

Key events			
tey events			
27/07/1994	Debate in Council	<u>1781</u>	
10/09/1994	Additional information		Summary
15/09/1994	Legislative proposal published	COM(1994)0370	Summary
16/01/1995	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
24/05/1995	Vote in committee		Summary
24/05/1995	Committee report tabled for plenary, 1st reading/single reading	<u>A4-0124/1995</u>	
16/06/1995	Debate in Parliament	W	Summary
16/06/1995	Decision by Parliament	T4-0322/1995	Summary
11/12/2001	End of procedure in Parliament		
11/12/2001	Additional information		Summary

1994/0197(CNS)
CNS - Consultation procedure
Recast

Legislative instrument	Directive
Legal basis	EC Treaty (after Amsterdam) EC 093
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure lapsed or withdrawn
Committee dossier	ECON/4/06338

Legislative proposal	COM(1994)0370 OJ C 282 08.10.1994, p. 0003	15/09/1994	EC	Summary
Economic and Social Committee: opinion, report	<u>CES1401/1994</u> OJ C 397 31.12.1994, p. 0050	21/12/1994	ESC	Summary
Committee report tabled for plenary, 1st reading/single reading	<u>A4-0124/1995</u> OJ C 166 03.07.1995, p. 0004	24/05/1995	EP	
Text adopted by Parliament, 1st reading/single reading	T4-0322/1995 OJ C 166 03.07.1995, p. <u>0163-0203</u>	16/06/1995	EP	Summary

EUR-Lex

Value added tax VAT: exemption on the final importation of certain goods

European Commission

1. Category of referral: Proposal for a Council Directive 2. Expected date of referral: November/December 1994 3. Committee responsible: ECON 4. Previous Community legislation: Directive 77/388/EEC (the Sixth VAT Directive), as amended in particular by Directives 91/680/EEC, 92/77/EEC and 92/111/EEC. These have established a "transitional" VAT system, applying until the end of 1996. Article 35a of the amended Directive requires the Commission to "submit proposals for a definitive system" before the end of 1994 and for the Council to reach a decision on it before the end of 1995. Co-operation between the tax administrations of Member States was strengthened by Regulation 92/218/EEC. 5. Previous position of EP: Resolutions of the European Parliament have repeatedly stressed that the Single Market will not be complete until the charging of VAT on intra-Community "supplies", and its remission on intra-Community "acquisitions", is ended. In its Resolution of 15.7.1991 on the approximation of indirect taxation, Parliament accepted transitional arrangements "on the understanding that both Commission and Council are committed to the full abolition of fiscal frontiers at the earliest possible date". 6. Situation in the Member States: The transitional VAT system, subject to certain derogations, has been in effect in all Member States since 1st. January 1993. The Commission is under an obligation to report on the workings of this system before the end of 1994. 7. Content of the planned legislation: A key element in the proposal will be to change the system under which VAT is levied on goods traded between Member States. Under the transitional system the "destination principle" applies: i.e. goods being sent to another country have been zero-rated, and VAT has then been charged in, and at the rate of, the country of consumption. The definitive system should apply the "origin principle": i.e. when goods are sent to another country, the normal rate of VAT will be charged, and may be deducted as input tax by traders in the country of consumption. "Origin", of course, already applies to final consumers. In theory, goods moving between the UK and France, or between France and Germany, would then be treated in exactly the same way as goods moving between England and Scotland, or between Bavaria and Baden-Wurtembourg. However, this will not be the case in one important respect: VAT revenues will continue to be paid into different national budgets. If traders in one Member State have the right to deduct from their VAT bill the input taxes paid in other Member States, substantial shifts in revenue are likely. Specifically, countries with a net intra-Community trading surplus will gain revenue, the others will lose. Were VAT a true "value added tax", this would not matter: revenue would correctly accrue to the country where the value was added. VAT is currently regarded, however, as a general consumption tax. The proposal should therefore outline a mechanism for "clearing" revenue from the gainer countries back to the rest. This could be done either on the basis of detailed VAT returns (the "micro" solution) or on the basis of trade-flows, consumption statistics, etc. ("macro" solutions). Alternatively, all VAT could be paid into the single, Community budget, and redistributed via expenditure programmes. The proposal should also address a number of other important issues: i) To what extent should the rates of VAT be further harmonised? At present only a minimum standard rate of 15% has been agreed until the end of 1996. Member States also have an option to choose "one or two reduced rates", which must be not less than 5%, to transactions listed in Annex H of the 6th.VAT Directive. Certain derogations also apply, notably the right of the UK and Ireland to apply a zero rate. Apart from issues of competition, a uniform rate (or rates) of VAT throughout the Union would make both a clearing system and the right of traders to deduct input tax levied in another Member State very much simpler. ii) Since liability to VAT arises at the "place of supply", where should this be? Article 8 of the 6th. Directive defines it as the place where goods are when they change hands. But this can give rise to complications, with firms having to register for VAT in several different Member States. The alternative would be the "place of business" of the supplier, irrespective of where goods actually were or where a service was carried out. iii) The transitional VAT system contains three "special regimes" covering purchases from another Member State: new means of transport; distance sales; and purchases by exempt bodies. When a final consumer buys anything, the rate of VAT charged is normally that of the country where the sale is made. However, a new car or an item bought from a mail-order catalogue (subject to certain thresholds), bears VAT at the rate of the country in which the consumer lives. The same is true of purchases by, for example, banks. These special cases might be ended under the definitive VAT system. iv) The move to the definitive VAT system might also provide an opportunity to make further progress in ensuring a uniform VAT base in all Member States (i.e. that the same transactions are subject to tax or are exempt from tax). This was the original objective of the 6th.VAT Directive. 8. Legal Basis envisaged by the Commission: Article 99 9. Documentation and sources: see above. Also Enterprise in the Single Market...the definitive VAT regime (European Commission conference papers 27/28 June 1994). Formulation of

the definitive scheme imposing turnover tax on the intra-Community trade in goods and services and for a functional clearing procedure (German Bundesministerium der Finanzen). VAT and Excise Duties: Changes in Cross-Border Purchasing Patterns Following the Abolition of Fiscal Frontiers on 1 January 1993 (Price Waterhouse for DGXXI/C-3, August 1994). Single Market Policy Evaluation: esp. VAT and Intrastat (UK Customs and Excise). 10. Background information: Discussions within the ECOFIN Council have already taken place on the basis of papers from its ad hoc Working party on VAT. There is a consensus on the main criteria which the definitive system must meet, namely: fewer administrative obligations and fundamental simplification of taxation; - no reduction in Member States' income from turnover tax; - no increased risk of tax fraud; - preservation of the neutral effect of turnover tax on competition. ECOSOC has also published its opinion on the functioning of the Internal Market, including the VAT situation (Connellan Report). It concludes, among other things, that "the application of VAT at destination rather than at source causes trade distortions due to different rules for local products"; and also that "the transitional VAT system does not operate in a satisfactory manner...Different VAT rules in Member States create substantial difficulties". Finally the Commission's Report to the Council on the functioning of the transitional system of Value Added Tax on intra-Community transactions is likely to be officially published in the middle of November. Draft versions of the text observe that the transitional system has achieved its main objectives: - to permit the abolition of frontier controls for the purpose of collecting VAT; while, at the same time - allowing Member States to retain, in large measure, their fiscal sovereignty. This does not mean, the Commission nevertheless observes, that the present system "can be considered sufficient to permit the harmonious functioning of the Single Market". It draws particular attention to a number of defects: - traders cannot treat all their transactions within the Community in the same way for VAT purposes; - liability to tax is incurred at locations different from that at which the supplier of is based; - though the VIES system for verifying VAT numbers is working well, companies have faced problems in providing proof that the correct tax has been paid; - the taxation of services, especially transport, and the "special regimes" have been causing particular difficulties; - increasingly, responsibility for the correct payment of Value Added Tax is passing from the supplier to the purchaser; - the resulting complexity of the system is leading to pressure for the general abandonment of the system by which VAT is paid in "fractions" at different stages of the manufacturing/distribution chain. "If this route is followed, the essential nature of the tax will be changed, and it will be transformed, de facto, into a kind of sales tax". Some of the problems, the Commission concludes, can be corrected within the framework of the transitional system. Others, however, will not be solved without radical changes. Effect on SMEs The Commission also draws attention to complaints from traders themselves - particularly from Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises - about the complexity and costs of the transitional system. Some of these, the Commission notes, have been the one-off costs of adaptation. SMEs had found particularly onerous the frequent need to appoint "fiscal agents" in different Member States; and the problems of recovering VAT paid on purchases in other Member States (the 8th. and 13th VAT Directive procedures). But it had also become apparent that "the complaints have been more about statistical obligations than about the requirements of the new VAT system itself". Studies on the effects of the transitional system on firms have also been carried out by the German Finance Ministry (see under Documents and Sources); and by the UK Customs and Excise. The former reached a "predominantly negative appraisal of the transitional arrangements...There has been no real progress for enterprises in turnover tax harmonisation". The latter noted that "many companies have complained about the complexity of the Single Market VAT system. They now have to work with three VAT regimes - domestic, intra-EC and non-EC". As far as Intrastat is concerned, the UK survey found that "many businesses are not fully convinced of the value of completing detailed statistics in a Single Market". Of the firms surveyed, 28% reported that Intrastat was their prime difficulty in the new Single Market. The main problems were: - the classification of goods, in particular detailed subdivisions of the same product; - differences between VAT and INTRASTAT rules (e.g. dates); - exchange rate complications. However, UK Customs and Excise also noted that "one of the main paradoxes of the Single Market changes relates to Intrastat". Though it was "the main cause of complaints from businesses,....companies and business organizations are the major users of statistics and demand the level of detail provided." Of the 150,000 UK businesses involved in intra-EC trade, only just over 30,000 had a liability to complete the Intrastat declaration. ?

Value added tax VAT: exemption on the final importation of certain goods

The proposal for a directive aimed to amend Article 14(1)(d) of Directive 77/388/EEC which stipulated that the Member States should exempt the final importation of goods qualifying for exemptions from customs duties other than those provided for in the Common Customs Tariff or those that would be likely to qualify if they were imported from a third country. The aim was to achieve the greatest possible parallelism between the system for customs duties and that for VAT. To this end, the Commission proposed replacing Directive 83/191/EEC and opted for another legislative technique, in place of reproducing almost all of the customs text in the fiscal field, namely referral back to the customs regulation. As a result, the directive stipulated that the Member States should grant VAT exemptions for certain final importations of goods under the same conditions and limits as those laid down by the customs provisions. However, the Commission maintained in its proposal most of the specific fiscal provisions that currently applied with respect to the customs provisions. Consequently, certain imports likely to give rise to customs duties on imports were excluded from the tax exemption: this was the case for example for educational, scientific and cultural materials. Furthermore, the Member States could exclude from exemption from VAT certain goods affected by customs duties: this was the case for goods of negligible value imported by way of mail order. The proposal also stipulated that the tax exemption for certain imports of goods was subject to specific conditions: for example, articles intended for disabled persons. Finally, the possibility of maintaining certain specific tax exemptions resulting from international agreements was maintained. ?

Value added tax VAT: exemption on the final importation of certain goods

\$summary.text

Value added tax VAT: exemption on the final importation of certain goods

The report by Mr Bryan CASSIDY (PPE, UK) related to a proposal concerning a regulation on customs duties. With certain clarifications, the rapporteur welcomed the Commission text, which should make life easier for both citizens and the customs authorities. However, the committee had adopted two additional amendments to the report by Mr CASSIDY. It provided for special relief for perfume. ?

When reviewing the main amendments, Mr CASSIDY (EPP, UK) expressed his disagreement with Amendment No 8, tabled by the Socialist Group, which added the word ?public? to ?galleries and museums? for the admission free of import duties of works of art intended for exhibitions open to the public. Mr MILLER (PSE, UK) supported the content of this amendment, which, in spite of the commitments made under the Nairobi Protocol to UNESCO?s Florence Convention on such duties, sought to exclude from this exemption all private organisations, which did not require subsidies. Commissioner LIIKANEN stated that he could take over 6 of the 8 amendments. Amendment No 2 on the duties applicable to travellers could not be taken over by the Commission as it could result in unfair competition among the various categories of duty-free alcoholic beverages and, therefore, in a reduction in excise revenue; the second part of the amendment in question should also be rejected as it sought to maintain quantitative limits for perfumes and toilet waters and that was no longer consistent with the Community tax status of the products in question (exempt from excise duties). Finally, Amendment No 8, amended by Amendment No 3, only redrafted and clarified the text proposed by the Commission. It was neither necessary nor acceptable. The tax-free status of private collections open to the public was still subject to the condition that there should be no sales subsequent to exhibitions.

Value added tax VAT: exemption on the final importation of certain goods

The European Parliament approved the Commission proposal with the amendments tabled by the EP to the proposal for a regulation laying down the cases where relief from import duties could be granted, stipulating that: - sparkling wines be classified with wines rather than spirits (relief would thus be granted for 2 litres); - special relief be granted for perfume (50 grams) and eaux de toilette (0.25 litres); - collectors' pieces and works of art not intended for sale, imported by public galleries, museums and other institutions approved by the Member States be admitted free of import duties; - educational, scientific and cultural articles produced by the United Nations or any of its agencies, whoever the consignee and whatever the intended use of such materials, be admitted free of import duties; - where relief from import duties was dependent upon the goods being put to a particular use, the customs authorities should notify the customs authorities of the Member State where the goods were to be used; - that within three years of the date of entry into force of the regulation, the Commission should forward to Parliament and the Council a report including an estimate of the cost of the reliefs covered by the regulation accompanied, where necessary, by recommendations concerning appraisals of the control systems established by the Member States. ?

Value added tax VAT: exemption on the final importation of certain goods

The Directorates General or responsible departments have asked for this proposal to be withdrawn. The reasons are indicated as follows: A) for objective reasons (change of de facto situation, objectives already achieved by other means, etc) B) because the Commission has now adopted another approach : - the proposal is replaced implicitly, - a new proposal is in preparation, - no planned replacement.?