


Procedure file

Basic information		
DEC - Discharge procedure	1995/2038(DEC)	Procedure completed
1993 discharge: Centre for the Development of Vocational Training CDVT budget (Berlin)		
Subject 8.70.03.07 Previous discharges		

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	CONT Budgetary Control	 PPE KELLETT-BOWMAN Edward T.	22/11/1994

Key events			
20/03/1995	Non-legislative basic document published	N4-0095/1995	
20/03/1995	Vote in committee		Summary
20/03/1995	Committee report tabled for plenary	A4-0049/1995	
03/04/1995	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
05/04/1995	Debate in Parliament		
05/04/1995	Decision by Parliament	T4-0144/1995	Summary
05/04/1995	End of procedure in Parliament		
24/06/1995	Final act published in Official Journal		

Technical information	
Procedure reference	1995/2038(DEC)
Procedure type	DEC - Discharge procedure
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 100
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	CONT/4/06482

Documentation gateway			

Court of Auditors: opinion, report		N4-0045/1995 OJ C 378 31.12.1994, p. 0001	28/11/1994	CofA	
Non-legislative basic document		N4-0095/1995	20/03/1995	CSL	
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading		A4-0049/1995 OJ C 109 01.05.1995, p. 0004	20/03/1995	EP	
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading		T4-0144/1995 OJ C 109 01.05.1995, p. 0033-0072	05/04/1995	EP	Summary

Final act

[Decision 1995/223](#)
[OJ L 141 24.06.1995, p. 0075](#)

1993 discharge: Centre for the Development of Vocational Training CDVT budget (Berlin)

The committee approved the report on the European Centre for the Development of Vocational Training in Berlin.?

1993 discharge: Centre for the Development of Vocational Training CDVT budget (Berlin)

The European Parliament granted the board of directors of the European Centre for the Development of Vocational Training (CEDEFOP) discharge for the implementation of its budget for the 1993 financial year. In its decision granting discharge, Parliament took note of the figures in the Centre's accounts (which showed income of ECU 11,247,800.27 in 1993) and recommended that a part-time financial controller be appointed in order to reduce excessive recourse to the imprest account. The European Parliament noted that certain expenses had been cleared by the Commission (which would have used the Centre to translate documents drawn up within the framework of the FORCE programme) and therefore called on it to avoid this type of practice. At the same time, Parliament called on the Centre's board of directors to improve its operational management, especially when drawing up multiannual work programmes and evaluation reports. It called on it to present a budget based on these work programmes (mainly by re-introducing analytical expenditure accounts) in order to facilitate control by the budgetary authority. It also called on the Centre to guarantee that interested outside experts were able to tender for work to be carried out, that their services would be recorded systematically and that each contract would be evaluated so that it could be taken into account when new contracts were awarded. It also called on the Centre to examine the costs of translation, printing etc. before it transferred to Thessalonika in order to improve the Centre's profitability. The European Parliament felt that costs should be rationalized by ensuring that the Centre's activities did not overlap with those of other Community agencies with similar activities and therefore called on the Commission to present a report by 31 August 1995 on the integration of Union activities in this area in order to enhance the complementarity of the Centre's activities. Finally, Parliament called on the Commission to report by 31 May 1995 on the current situation regarding the transfer of the Centre to Greece (especially with regard to staff transfers).?