


Procedure file

Basic information		
BUD - Budgetary procedure	1995/2054(BUD)	Procedure completed
Supplementary and amending budget 1/1995: others sections		
Subject 8.70.60 Previous annual budgets		

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	BUDG Budgets		07/09/1994
		PSE DANKERT Pieter	07/09/1994
		PSE WYNN Terence	
	Former committee responsible		
	BUDG Budgets		27/03/1995
		PSE DANKERT Pieter	
Council of the European Union	Council configuration	Meeting	Date
	General Affairs	1844	10/04/1995

Key events			
21/02/1995	Commission preliminary draft budget published	SEC(1995)0233	
14/03/1995	Council draft budget published	05632/1995	
22/03/1995	Vote in committee		Summary
22/03/1995	Budgetary report tabled for plenary	A4-0061/1995	
03/04/1995	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
04/04/1995	Debate in Parliament		
06/04/1995	Decision by Parliament	T4-0153/1995	Summary
10/04/1995	Amended budget adopted by Council		
10/04/1995	Council amended draft budget published	06537/1995	
13/04/1995	Formal reconsultation of Parliament		
18/04/1995	Vote in committee, 2nd reading		Summary

18/04/1995	Budgetary report tabled for plenary, 2nd reading	A4-0086/1995	
25/04/1995	Debate in Parliament		
25/04/1995	Committee referral announced in Parliament, 2nd reading		
26/04/1995	Decision by Parliament, 2nd reading	T4-0189/1995	
26/04/1995	End of procedure in Parliament		
20/11/1995	Final act published in Official Journal		

Technical information

Procedure reference	1995/2054(BUD)
Procedure type	BUD - Budgetary procedure
Procedure subtype	Budget
Legal basis	EC before Amsterdam E 203; Euratom Treaty A 177; ECSC Treaty C 078
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	BUDG/4/06507

Documentation gateway

Commission preliminary draft budget	SEC(1995)0233	21/02/1995	EC	
Council draft budget	05632/1995	14/03/1995	CSL	
Budgetary report tabled for plenary, 1st reading	A4-0061/1995 OJ C 109 01.05.1995, p. 0005	22/03/1995	EP	
Budgetary text adopted by Parliament	T4-0153/1995 OJ C 109 01.05.1995, p. 0096-0112	06/04/1995	EP	Summary
Council amended draft budget	06537/1995	10/04/1995	CSL	
Budgetary report tabled for plenary, 2nd reading	A4-0086/1995 OJ C 126 22.05.1995, p. 0004	18/04/1995	EP	
Final budget adopted by Parliament	T4-0189/1995 OJ C 126 22.05.1995, p. 0010-0013	26/04/1995	EP	

Final act

[Budget 1995/474](#)
[OJ L 276 20.11.1995, p. 0001](#)

Supplementary and amending budget 1/1995: others sections

With the exception of the Commission's administrative budget, this report dealt with the allocation of reserves provided for in the context of enlargement for the budgets of the other institutions. The rapporteur recalled the need for sound financial management and stressed that it was important for the institutions to leave margins available within the ceiling of the financial perspective, given, in particular, the unfavourable change in the ECU/FB parity. The Committee on Budgets supported its rapporteur and adopted the amendments and the motion for a resolution. Mr DANKERT (PSE, NL) stressed the need for rational and effective management of human resources as well as the need for rigour and transparency in the budget. He recalled the importance of interinstitutional cooperation, which could be enhanced. The creation of

new jobs linked to enlargement and the procedures for recruiting staff from the new Member States provided an excellent opportunity in this respect. Finally, since the latest enlargement had added two additional languages to the Union, the institutions were called upon to submit programmes to reorganise the translation services, which should also deal with the Translation Centre in Luxembourg. ?

Supplementary and amending budget 1/1995: others sections

The resolution adopted by the European Parliament: - highlighted the need for sound financial management and the institutions' desire to ensure that margins were available within the ceilings for the different categories of expenditure; - considered that a reasonable available margin needed to be maintained within the category of "Administrative expenditure"; - stressed that the distribution of the reserves provided to cater for enlargement should not be automatic and that allocations to individual budget lines should be subject to proper assessment; - considered that, in this instance, the main aim of the allocation of appropriations was to create new posts and regretted the failure by the institutions to properly justify their ability to take on new staff in line with the initial requests put forward in their draft estimates; - recalled the need for rational and effective management of human resources and repeated its request concerning the need to submit both analytical budgets and operational establishment plans with a breakdown by directorate or group of services for each institution; - pointed out that the creation of new posts and the recruitment of staff from the new Member States should provide an opportunity for stepping up interinstitutional cooperation, which in turn should enable a common set of recruitment criteria to be drawn up; - invited the institutions to present an interinstitutional programme reorganising and regulating their translation services (Translation Centre, economies of scale, work from home, use of new technologies) and asked that this matter be raised in the context of the interinstitutional dialogue. ?

Supplementary and amending budget 1/1995: others sections

The committee noted the Council's rejection at second reading (10 April) of several of the EP's amendments, concerning in particular: - in category 1 - agricultural expenditure: the reintroduction of the preliminary draft budget (PDSAB) for the accompanying measures (lines B1-500, B1-5010, B1-5012); - in category 2 - structural measures: the allocation of appropriations between the various Community initiatives following enlargement; - in category 3 - internal policy: the reintroduction of the preliminary draft budget for the information and communications sectors, other social actions (such as the Helios II programme, the EURES programme, and so on), the SAVE and Altener programmes, a stronger financial instrument for the environment and increased appropriations under the heading for growth and the environment; - in category 4 - external policy: cooperation with the developing countries in Asia and Latin America as well as other measures on cooperation (for example, a health programme combating AIDS in developing countries, rehabilitation and reconstruction measures, coordination of development policy, human rights and democracy in the developing countries, etc.). The committee therefore supported Mr Terence WYNN and re-tabled at second reading all the amendments rejected by the Council. The Committee on Budgets welcomed the fact that all of the EP's amendments to category 5 - administrative expenditure - had been taken over by the Council. ?