


# Fiche de procédure

Basic information		
INI - Own-initiative procedure	1995/2149(INI)	Procedure completed
Improving the effectiveness of Community aid		
Subject 6.30 Development cooperation		

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible		Rapporteur
	<b>DEVE</b> Development and Cooperation		Appointed 19/07/1995
			ELDR <a href="#">GOERENS Charles</a>
	Committee for opinion		Rapporteur for opinion
	<b>ENER</b> Research, Technological Development and Energy		Appointed 19/07/1995
			ELDR <a href="#">PLOOIJ-VAN GORSEL Elly</a>
	<b>CONT</b> Budgetary Control		25/09/1996
			PPE <a href="#">FABRA VALLÉS Juan Manuel</a>

Key events			
27/06/1995	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
26/11/1997	Vote in committee		Summary
26/11/1997	Committee report tabled for plenary	<a href="#">A4-0388/1997</a>	
15/01/1998	Debate in Parliament		
16/01/1998	Decision by Parliament	T4-0045/1998	Summary
16/01/1998	End of procedure in Parliament		
02/02/1998	Final act published in Official Journal		

Technical information	
Procedure reference	1995/2149(INI)
Procedure type	INI - Own-initiative procedure
Procedure subtype	Initiative
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 54

Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	DEVE/4/06774

## Documentation gateway

Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading		<a href="#">A4-0388/1997</a> <a href="#">OJ C 034 02.02.1998, p. 0003</a>	26/11/1997	EP	
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading		T4-0045/1998 <a href="#">OJ C 034 02.02.1998, p. 0189-0192</a>	16/01/1998	EP	Summary

## Improving the effectiveness of Community aid

The Committee has adopted the report by Charles GOERENS (ELDR, L). This asks the EU not to dissipate efforts in a wide variety of measures that are limited in scope but to integrate projects into a sectoral approach and let them be seen as support measures rather than as objectives in themselves. The EU should pay attention to the economic and social value, viability and appropriateness of measures. Those associated with the programme should include not only donors and beneficiary governments but direct beneficiaries and NGOs. The Commission is asked to set up a central unit to assume overall control of the evaluation of its development aid programmes, including ECHO, MEDA, PHARE and TACIS. The committee would like the Commission itself to deal with and administer the cooperation projects submitted by NGOs and not hand them over to independent bodies. With regard to development aid, it hopes that decision-making will be separated from technical project management tasks and that the latter will be centralized in a joint service to manage Community aid to third countries. Finally it proposes that Parliament should establish its own analysis and evaluation capacity on development aid. ?

## Improving the effectiveness of Community aid

In adopting the report by Mr Charles GOERENS (ELDR, L) on Community aid, the European Parliament called for Community development aid to concentrate on a small number of sectoral or vertical framework programmes. In Parliament's opinion, it was necessary to avoid dissipating efforts on a wide variety of measures of limited scope and to see projects as support measures rather than objectives in themselves. It called on the Community to acquire the means of ensuring that the projects on which it embarked were a success, which meant carrying out detailed feasibility and impact studies. It called on the EU to pay attention to the economic and social effectiveness of projects, and their viability once financing ceased. In a spirit of greater coordination, Parliament called on Member States to subject their own development cooperation programmes for comparable evaluation. It considered that coordination of bilateral aid and Community aid must be improved. The coordination of development cooperation and the other Community policies which had an impact on development must likewise be improved. Noting the staffing shortages at the Commission's DG VIII (Development), Parliament called on the Commission to set up a central unit to assume control of the evaluation of its development aid programmes, including the Echo, Meda, Phare and Tacis programmes, ensure adequate quality control and internal and external evaluation of actions. It called on the Commission to ensure that it had the necessary staff to process and administer the funding applications for cooperation projects submitted by NGOs and measures to involve men and women in development. Parliament called on the EU to re-establish a sense of partnership by enlarging the circle of those who have a say in the matter to include the direct beneficiaries and the NGOs operating at local level. In particular, it felt that genuine dialogue must be established with the latter, especially concerning the utilization of resources. In the institutional sphere, the capacities of recipient countries must be improved, applying the principle of conditionality to aid, i.e. the democracy clause and respect for human rights. It called for clear separation of the political decision-making process from the technical project management tasks and for the latter to be centralized in a Joint Service to manage Community aid to third countries. This would make it possible to achieve greater efficiency and improve the management of aid. Parliament wondered whether it might be useful for the EU to establish its own analysis and evaluation capacity for Community development aid policy.?