


Procedure file

Basic information	
COS - Procedure on a strategy paper (historic)	1994/2133(COS)
Development issues and structural intervention problems concerning Objectives 1, 2 and 5b in Spain. Report	
Subject 4.70.03 Community initiatives, Community support frameworks	
Geographical area Spain	
Procedure completed	

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	REGI Regional Policy	GUE/NGL SIERRA GONZÁLEZ Angela del Carmen	13/11/1995
Council of the European Union			

Key events			
29/06/1994	Non-legislative basic document published	31994D0624	Summary
31/01/1996	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
07/05/1996	Vote in committee		Summary
07/05/1996	Committee report tabled for plenary	A4-0163/1996	
04/09/1996	Debate in Parliament		
05/09/1996	Decision by Parliament	T4-0444/1996	Summary
05/09/1996	End of procedure in Parliament		
23/09/1996	Final act published in Official Journal		

Technical information	
Procedure reference	1994/2133(COS)
Procedure type	COS - Procedure on a strategy paper (historic)
Procedure subtype	Commission strategy paper
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 142

Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	REGI/4/05973

Documentation gateway					
Non-legislative basic document		31994D0624 OJ L 250 26.09.1994, p. 0005	29/06/1994	EU	Summary
Document attached to the procedure		31994D1066 OJ L 384 31.12.1994, p. 0101	30/12/1994	EU	
Committee report tabled for plenary, single reading		A4-0163/1996 OJ C 181 24.06.1996, p. 0002	07/05/1996	EP	
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading		T4-0444/1996 OJ C 277 23.09.1996, p. 0013-0040	05/09/1996	EP	Summary

Development issues and structural intervention problems concerning Objectives 1, 2 and 5b in Spain. Report

In application of the Klepsch/Millan code of conduct agreed on 13 July 1993, the European Commission has forwarded for information purposes the Community Support Framework for Objectives 1 and 2 in Spain for 1994 and 1995.?

Development issues and structural intervention problems concerning Objectives 1, 2 and 5b in Spain. Report

The committee adopted a report by Mrs SIERRA GONZALEZ on structural measures in Spain. The report deals with the role of and initial results from the Community Support Frameworks (Objectives 1 and 2) for the period from 1994 to 1995. The report starts by noting that the major disparities between the regions of Spain have not diminished substantially at the end of the first programming period (1988-1993) despite the fact that the national average per capita GDP has moved noticeably closer to the Community average. The parliamentary committee welcomed the emphasis placed by the Community Support Framework for Objective 1 upon improving and adapting the production system and human resources and improving the quality of life at the expense of infrastructure. However, it criticized the fact that most of the investment in transport networks has been in road rather than rail infrastructures and that more favourable financing terms have been offered for road construction than for the improvement of rail infrastructures. According to the report adopted, the allocation of substantial resources for transport networks in the programming for Objective 2 (40% of all ERDF measures in 1994 and 1995 and 25% in 1996) should not be at the expense of the economic redevelopment priorities set for Objective 2 areas.

Development issues and structural intervention problems concerning Objectives 1, 2 and 5b in Spain. Report

In adopting the report by Mrs Angela SIERRA GONZALEZ (GUE, SP) on structural measures under Objectives 1, 2 and 5b in Spain (1994-99), Parliament noted that the major disparities between the regions of Spain have not diminished substantially after the first programming period (1988-93) despite the fact that the national average per capita (GDP) has moved noticeably closer to the Community average. Parliament welcomed the emphasis placed by the Community support framework for Objective 1 upon improving and adapting human resources and improving the quality of life at the expense of infrastructure. However, it criticized the fact that a greater share of the investment in transport networks has been in road rather than rail infrastructures, and that more favourable financing terms have been offered for road construction than for the improvement of rail infrastructures. According to the report, the allocation of substantial resources for transport networks in the programming for Objective 2 (40% of all ERDF measures in 1994 and 1995 and 25% in 1996) should not be at the expense of the economic redevelopment priorities set for Objective 2 areas. ?