

Procedure file

Basic information		
INI - Own-initiative procedure	2018/2094(INI)	Procedure completed
State of the debate on the future of Europe		
Subject		
5.20.01 Coordination of monetary policies, European Monetary Institute (EMI), Economic and Monetary Union (EMU)		
8 State and evolution of the Union		

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	AFCO Constitutional Affairs		25/04/2018
		 JÁUREGUI ATONDO Ramón	
		Shadow rapporteur	
		 BROK Elmar	
		 MESSERSCHMIDT Morten	
		 PAGAZAURTUNDÚA Maite	
		 DURAND Pascal	
		 CASTALDO Fabio Massimo	
		 ANNEMANS Gerolf	
	Committee for opinion	Rapporteur for opinion	Appointed
	INTA International Trade		09/07/2018
		 SALAFRANCA SÁNCHEZ-NEYRA José Ignacio	
	CONT Budgetary Control		04/06/2018
		 SARVAMAA Petri	
	ECON Economic and Monetary Affairs (Associated committee)		31/05/2018
		 MALETIĆ Ivana	
	AGRI Agriculture and Rural Development		04/07/2018



JAKOVČIĆ Ivan

JURI Legal Affairs

Chair on behalf of committee 03/09/2018



SVOBODA Pavel

European Commission

Commission DG

Commissioner

[Communication](#)

NAVRACSICS Tibor

Key events

14/06/2018	Committee referral announced in Parliament		
14/06/2018	Referral to associated committees announced in Parliament		
27/11/2018	Vote in committee		
05/12/2018	Committee report tabled for plenary	A8-0427/2018	Summary
11/02/2019	Debate in Parliament		
13/02/2019	Results of vote in Parliament		
13/02/2019	Decision by Parliament	T8-0098/2019	Summary
13/02/2019	End of procedure in Parliament		

Technical information

Procedure reference	2018/2094(INI)
Procedure type	INI - Own-initiative procedure
Procedure subtype	Initiative
Legal basis	Rules of Procedure EP 54
Stage reached in procedure	Procedure completed
Committee dossier	AFCO/8/13348

Documentation gateway

Committee draft report		PE625.528	18/07/2018	EP	
Amendments tabled in committee		PE627.860	20/09/2018	EP	
Specific opinion	JURI	PE628.659	12/10/2018	EP	
Committee opinion	INTA	PE627.014	06/11/2018	EP	
Committee opinion	AGRI	PE626.932	14/11/2018	EP	
Committee opinion	CONT	PE625.208	16/11/2018	EP	
Committee opinion	ECON	PE626.685	22/11/2018	EP	
Committee report tabled for plenary, single		A8-0427/2018	05/12/2018	EP	Summary

reading					
Text adopted by Parliament, single reading		T8-0098/2019	13/02/2019	EP	Summary

State of the debate on the future of Europe

The Constitutional Affairs Committee adopted an own-initiative report by Ramón JÁUREGUI ATONDO (S&D, ES) on the state of debate on the future of Europe.

Members recalled that the European Union is an example of supranational integration without equal and has brought lasting peace, prosperity and welfare ever since the Schuman declaration of 9 May 1950. However, over the past few years the Union has faced multiple crises, which have tested its resilience and capacity to act in a decisive and united manner.

In view of the multiple internal and external current and future challenges facing the Union, Members called on the EU to promote a renewed spirit of cooperation and solidarity among its Members based on the Treaty of the European Union and the Charter of Fundamental Rights, while the objective enshrined in the Lisbon Treaty of creating an ever closer union among the peoples of Europe should continue to inspire the actions taken by the Union to further strengthen European integration.

Facing common challenges

The heads of state or government who addressed Parliament in plenary during the debates on the future of Europe all acknowledged that there is a need to face the challenges of the future together and to do better what can only be achieved together. Members reiterated that differentiated integration must remain open to all Member States and continue to act as a method of deeper European integration and solidarity, which should not be confused with the idea of a Europe à la carte.

Stressing that the crisis has produced an imbalance between the main institutions of the Union to the benefit of the European Council, Members affirmed that the Community method is best suited for the functioning of the Union. They advocated, with regard to decision-making procedures, the principle of qualified majority voting in the Council and, for legislation, the use of the ordinary legislative procedure in all areas where this is possible.

They reiterated their suggestion to transform the Council into a true legislative chamber on equal footing with Parliament, building on the potential of the Lisbon Treaty, and to improve the transparency of its decision-making process. Members recalled their proposal according to which, in the event of a possible future revision of the Treaties, the right of legislative initiative could also be attributed to Parliament as the direct representative of EU citizens.

Priorities

Members regretted the non-binding character of the European Pillar of Social Rights, which cannot, therefore, reorient the Union's focus on economic policies, the internal market and budgetary policies towards social policy objectives.

In the debate on the future of Europe, Members stressed the importance of:

- ensuring upward economic and social convergence in the European Semester process;
- integrating the objective of environmental protection into all EU policies and measures;
- continuing the process of deepening and completing the EMU in order to contribute to preserving the stability of the single currency and enhancing the convergence of economic, fiscal and labour market policies and social standards among the Member States;
- strong political commitment, effective governance and democratic accountability at European and national level, and in particular parliamentary scrutiny at different stages of the European Semester by the European Parliament and national parliaments;
- continued commitment to the completion of the banking union;
- identifying and removing barriers to the internal market, as well as ensuring the protection of citizens and completing the union of capital markets;
- continuing the full review of existing VAT legislation;
- recognising the importance of the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) supported by a well-financed budget;
- considering how to reform the Union's budgetary system in order to provide an adequate budget to guarantee the financing of the planned policies.

Migration policy

The report deplored the absence of an agreement among the Member States on the priorities and implementation of an EU-level comprehensive immigration policy, which would make it possible to organise and regulate migratory flows, control the EU's external borders more effectively, cooperate with countries of origin and transit, and guarantee respect for the fundamental rights of migrants and asylum seekers. Members underlined that the obvious contradictions in interests exposed by Member States, as well as the discontent voiced by citizens, need to be overcome in order not to jeopardise the European integration project, which suffers as a direct result of an instrumentalisation of the migration issue by the Eurosceptic parties.

Defence

Members recalled their call for the establishment of a permanent Council of Defence Ministers chaired by the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR). They underlined the importance of appropriate democratic accountability of decisions taken in this area and the need for reinforced cooperation between the European Parliament and national parliaments in this regard.

Trade policy

Stressing that the common commercial policy should remain a fundamental pillar of the Union's external policy, Members called on the EU to take the lead on the world's trade policy at multilateral and bilateral level, and lead the defence of an open, rules-based, fair and sustainable development-oriented global trading system.

Lastly, the report underlined once more that neither national sovereignty nor subsidiarity could justify or legitimise the systematic refusal on the part of a Member State to comply with the fundamental values of the European Union.

State of the debate on the future of Europe

The European Parliament adopted by 407 votes to 196 with 41 abstentions a resolution on the state of the debate on the future of Europe.

Strengthening cooperation and solidarity

Members recalled that the European Union is an example of supranational integration without equal and has brought lasting peace, prosperity and welfare ever since the Schuman declaration of 9 May 1950: common security, respect for human dignity, freedom, democracy, equality, the rule of law and respect for human rights have been at the core of its aspirations.

However, over the past few years the Union has faced multiple crises which have tested its resilience and capacity to act in a decisive and united manner. The UK's referendum of June 2016 leading to its notification on 29 March 2017 of its intention to leave the European Union has intensified the debate on the future of the Union. The negotiations on the envisaged withdrawal of the UK from the EU have revealed the high level of interdependence of the Member States, the degree to which we all rely on joint instruments and policies and the costs of any departure.

In view of the multiple internal and external current and future challenges facing the Union, Parliament called on the EU to promote a renewed spirit of cooperation and solidarity among its Members based on the Treaty of the European Union and the Charter of Fundamental Rights, while the objective enshrined in the Lisbon Treaty of creating an ever closer union among the peoples of Europe should continue to inspire the actions taken by the Union to further strengthen European integration.

Facing common challenges

The heads of state or government who addressed Parliament in plenary during the debates on the future of Europe all acknowledged that there is a need to face the challenges of the future together and to do better what can only be achieved together. Members reiterated that differentiated integration must remain open to all Member States and continue to act as a method of deeper European integration and solidarity, which should not be confused with the idea of a Europe à la carte.

Stressing that the crisis has produced an imbalance between the main institutions of the Union to the benefit of the European Council, Members affirmed that the Community method is best suited for the functioning of the Union. They advocated, with regard to decision-making procedures, the principle of qualified majority voting in the Council and, for legislation, the use of the ordinary legislative procedure in all areas where this is possible.

They reiterated their suggestion to transform the Council into a true legislative chamber on equal footing with Parliament, building on the potential of the Lisbon Treaty, and to improve the transparency of its decision-making process. Members recalled their proposal according to which, in the event of a possible future revision of the Treaties, the right of legislative initiative could also be attributed to Parliament as the direct representative of EU citizens.

Priorities

Parliament regretted the non-binding character of the European Pillar of Social Rights, which cannot, therefore, reorient the Union's focus on economic policies, the internal market and budgetary policies towards social policy objectives.

In the debate on the future of Europe, Members stressed the importance of:

- ensuring upward economic and social convergence in the European Semester process;
- integrating the objective of environmental protection into all EU policies and measures;
- continuing the process of deepening and completing the EMU in order to contribute to preserving the stability of the single currency and enhancing the convergence of economic, fiscal and labour market policies and social standards among the Member States;
- strong political commitment, effective governance and democratic accountability at European and national level, and in particular parliamentary scrutiny at different stages of the European Semester by the European Parliament and national parliaments;
- continued commitment to the completion of the banking union;
- identifying and removing barriers to the internal market, as well as ensuring the protection of citizens and completing the union of capital markets;
- continuing the full review of existing VAT legislation;
- recognising the importance of the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) supported by a well-financed budget;
- considering how to reform the Union's budgetary system in order to provide an adequate budget to guarantee the financing of the planned policies.

Migration policy

The resolution deplored the absence of an agreement among the Member States on the priorities and implementation of an EU-level comprehensive immigration policy, which would make it possible to organise and regulate migratory flows, control the EU's external borders more effectively, cooperate with countries of origin and transit, and guarantee respect for the fundamental rights of migrants and asylum

seekers. Members underlined that the obvious contradictions in interests exposed by Member States, as well as the discontent voiced by citizens, need to be overcome in order not to jeopardise the European integration project, which suffers as a direct result of an instrumentalisation of the migration issue by the Eurosceptic parties.

Defence

Parliament recalled its call for the establishment of a permanent Council of Defence Ministers chaired by the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR). It underlined the importance of appropriate democratic accountability of decisions taken in this area and the need for reinforced cooperation between the European Parliament and national parliaments in this regard.

Trade policy

Stressing that the common commercial policy should remain a fundamental pillar of the Union's external policy, Members called on the EU to take the lead on the world's trade policy at multilateral and bilateral level, and lead the defence of an open, rules-based, fair and sustainable development-oriented global trading system.

Respect for the Union's values

Parliament stressed that neither national sovereignty nor subsidiarity can justify or legitimise the systematic refusal of a Member State from the fundamental values of the European Union. It asked the Commission once again to swiftly submit a proposal giving effect to its [resolution of 25 October 2016](#) with recommendations to the Commission on the establishment of an EU mechanism on democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights.