










# Procedure file

Basic information	
COD - Ordinary legislative procedure (ex-codecision procedure) Directive	2022/0051(COD) Awaiting Parliament's position in 1st reading
Corporate Sustainability Due Diligence Amending Directive 2019/1937 <a href="#">2018/0106(COD)</a>	
Subject 3.45.01 Company law 3.70.20 Sustainable development	
Legislative priorities <a href="#">Joint Declaration 2022</a> <a href="#">Joint Declaration 2023-24</a>	

Key players			
European Parliament	Committee responsible	Rapporteur	Appointed
	<b>JURI</b> <a href="#">Legal Affairs</a>	 <a href="#">WOLTERS Lara</a>	28/02/2022
		Shadow rapporteur  <a href="#">VOSS Axel</a>  <a href="#">VÁZQUEZ LÁZARA Adrián</a>  <a href="#">HAUTALA Heidi</a>  <a href="#">LEBRETON Gilles</a>  <a href="#">BUXADÉ VILLALBA Jorge</a>  <a href="#">AUBRY Manon</a>	
	Committee for opinion <b>AFET</b> <a href="#">Foreign Affairs</a> (Associated committee)	 <a href="#">GLUCKSMANN Raphaël</a>	11/05/2022
	<b>DEVE</b> <a href="#">Development</a>	 <a href="#">MAJORINO Pierfrancesco</a>	22/03/2022
	<b>INTA</b> <a href="#">International Trade</a> (Associated committee)		14/07/2022

Council of the European Union  
European Commission

European Economic and  
Social Committee

Commission DG

[Justice and Consumers](#)



[ANDREWS Barry](#)

**CONT** [Budgetary Control](#)

The committee decided not to give an opinion.

**ECON** [Economic and Monetary Affairs](#)  
(Associated committee)

03/03/2022



[REPASI René](#)

**EMPL** [Employment and Social Affairs](#)  
(Associated committee)

05/09/2022



[RAFAELA Samira](#)

**ENVI** [Environment, Public Health and Food Safety](#)  
(Associated committee)

10/05/2022



[WÖLKEN Tiemo](#)

**ITRE** [Industry, Research and Energy](#)

19/04/2022



[DLABAJOVÁ Martina](#)

**IMCO** [Internal Market and Consumer Protection](#)

21/04/2022



[CLUNE Deirdre](#)

**CULT** [Culture and Education](#)

The committee decided not to give an opinion.

**LIBE** [Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs](#)

The committee decided not to give an opinion.

**AFCO** [Constitutional Affairs](#)

The committee decided not to give an opinion.

**FEMM** [Women's Rights and Gender Equality](#)

The committee decided not to give an opinion.

Commissioner

[REYNDERS Didier](#)



## Key events

23/02/2022	Legislative proposal published	<a href="#">COM(2022)0071</a>	Summary
04/04/2022	Committee referral announced in Parliament, 1st reading		
15/09/2022	Referral to associated committees announced in Parliament		
25/04/2023	Vote in committee, 1st reading		
08/05/2023	Committee report tabled for plenary, 1st reading	<a href="#">A9-0184/2023</a>	
31/05/2023	Debate in Parliament		
01/06/2023	Results of vote in Parliament		

01/06/2023	Decision by Parliament, 1st reading	<a href="#">T9-0209/2023</a>	Summary
01/06/2023	Matter referred back to the committee responsible		
19/03/2024	Approval in committee of the text agreed at 1st reading interinstitutional negotiations		

Forecasts	
24/04/2024	Vote in plenary scheduled

Technical information	
Procedure reference	2022/0051(COD)
Procedure type	COD - Ordinary legislative procedure (ex-codecision procedure)
Procedure subtype	Legislation
Legislative instrument	Directive
	Amending Directive 2019/1937 <a href="#">2018/0106(COD)</a>
Legal basis	Treaty on the Functioning of the EU TFEU 114-p1; Treaty on the Functioning of the EU TFEU 050-p1; Rules of Procedure EP 57
Mandatory consultation of other institutions	<a href="#">European Economic and Social Committee</a>
Stage reached in procedure	Awaiting Parliament's position in 1st reading
Committee dossier	JURI/9/08434

Documentation gateway					
Legislative proposal		<a href="#">COM(2022)0071</a>	23/02/2022	EC	Summary
Document attached to the procedure		<a href="#">SEC(2022)0095</a>	24/02/2022	EC	
Document attached to the procedure		<a href="#">SWD(2022)0038</a>	24/02/2022	EC	
Document attached to the procedure		<a href="#">SWD(2022)0039</a>	24/02/2022	EC	
Document attached to the procedure		<a href="#">SWD(2022)0042</a>	24/02/2022	EC	
Document attached to the procedure		<a href="#">SWD(2022)0043</a>	24/02/2022	EC	
Economic and Social Committee: opinion, report		<a href="#">CES1327/2022</a>	13/07/2022	ESC	
Committee draft report		<a href="#">PE738.450</a>	07/11/2022	EP	
Amendments tabled in committee		<a href="#">PE739.674</a>	06/12/2022	EP	
Amendments tabled in committee		<a href="#">PE739.675</a>	07/12/2022	EP	
Amendments tabled in committee		<a href="#">PE739.676</a>	07/12/2022	EP	
Amendments tabled in committee		<a href="#">PE739.677</a>	08/12/2022	EP	
Amendments tabled in committee		<a href="#">PE739.717</a>	08/12/2022	EP	
Committee opinion		<a href="#">PE736.458</a>	25/01/2023	EP	
Committee opinion		<a href="#">PE737.332</a>	26/01/2023	EP	

Committee opinion	<a href="#">AFET</a>	<a href="#">PE736.653</a>	31/01/2023	EP	
Committee opinion	<a href="#">DEVE</a>	<a href="#">PE736.709</a>	01/02/2023	EP	
Committee opinion	<a href="#">ENVI</a>	<a href="#">PE734.465</a>	10/02/2023	EP	
Committee opinion	<a href="#">IMCO</a>	<a href="#">PE736.656</a>	03/03/2023	EP	
Committee opinion	<a href="#">ECON</a>	<a href="#">PE736.711</a>	06/03/2023	EP	
Committee opinion	<a href="#">EMPL</a>	<a href="#">PE735.813</a>	09/03/2023	EP	
Committee report tabled for plenary, 1st reading/single reading		<a href="#">A9-0184/2023</a>	08/05/2023	EP	
Text adopted by Parliament, partial vote at 1st reading/single reading		<a href="#">T9-0209/2023</a>	01/06/2023	EP	Summary
European Central Bank: opinion, guideline, report		<a href="#">CON/2023/0015</a> <a href="#">OJ C 249 14.07.2023, p. 0003</a>	06/06/2023	ECB	

### Additional information

Research document	<a href="#">Briefing</a>	16/05/2022
-------------------	--------------------------	------------

## Corporate Sustainability Due Diligence

**PURPOSE:** to lay down an EU legal framework on sustainable corporate governance, including cross-sector corporate due diligence along global value chains.

**PROPOSED ACT:** Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council.

**ROLE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT:** the European Parliament decides in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure and on an equal footing with the Council.

**BACKGROUND:** EU companies operate in complex surroundings and, especially large ones, rely on global value chains. Given the significant number of their suppliers in the Union and in third countries and the overall complexity of value chains, EU companies, including the large ones, may encounter difficulties to identify and mitigate risks in their value chains linked to respect of human rights or environmental impacts.

Identifying these adverse impacts in value chains will become easier if more companies exercise due diligence and thus more data is available on human rights and environmental adverse impacts.

A number of Member States have already introduced national rules on due diligence and some companies have taken measures at their own initiative. However, there is need for a larger scale improvement that is difficult to achieve with voluntary action.

**CONTENT:** the proposed Directive will set out a horizontal framework to foster the contribution of businesses operating in the single market to the respect of the human rights and environment in their own operations and through their value chains, by identifying, preventing, mitigating and accounting for their adverse human rights, and environmental impacts, and having adequate governance, management systems and measures in place to this end.

### Subject matter

The proposal lays down rules on obligations for companies regarding actual and potential human rights adverse impacts and environmental adverse impacts, with respect to their own operations, the operations of their subsidiaries, and the value chain operations carried out by entities with whom the company has an established business relationship and on liability for violations of the obligations mentioned above.

In order to comply with the corporate due diligence duty, companies need to:

- integrate due diligence into policies;
- identify actual or potential adverse human rights and environmental impacts;
- prevent or mitigate potential impacts;
- bring to an end or minimise actual impacts;
- establish and maintain a complaints procedure;
- monitor the effectiveness of the due diligence policy and measures;
- and publicly communicate on due diligence.

### Scope

The proposed Directive shall apply to companies which are formed in accordance with the legislation of a Member State and which fulfil one of the following conditions:

## EU companies:

Group 1: all EU limited liability companies of substantial size and economic power (with 500+ employees and EUR 150 million+ in net turnover worldwide).

Group 2: Other limited liability companies operating in defined high impact sectors, which do not meet both Group 1 thresholds, but have more than 250 employees and a net turnover of EUR 40 million worldwide and more. For these companies, rules will start to apply 2 years later than for group 1.

Non-EU companies active in the EU with turnover threshold aligned with Group 1 and 2, generated in the EU.

SMEs are not directly in the scope of this proposal however they could be impacted by its provisions as contractors or subcontractors to the companies which are in the scope. Therefore, the proposal includes, accompanying measures, which will support all companies, including SMEs, that may be indirectly affected. Measures include the development of individually or jointly dedicated websites, platforms or portals and potential financial support for SMEs.

## Monitoring

Companies should monitor the implementation and effectiveness of their due diligence measures. They should carry out periodic assessments of their own operations, those of their subsidiaries and, where related to the value chains of the company, those of their established business relationships, to monitor the effectiveness of the identification, prevention, minimisation, bringing to an end and mitigation of human rights and environmental adverse impacts. Such assessments should verify that adverse impacts are properly identified, due diligence measures are implemented and adverse impacts have actually been prevented or brought to an end. In order to ensure that such assessments are up-to-date, they should be carried out at least every 12 months and be revised in-between if there are reasonable grounds to believe that significant new risks of adverse impact could have arisen.

## Directors duty of care

Member States should ensure that, when fulfilling their duty to act in the best interest of the company, directors of companies take into account the consequences of their decisions for sustainability matters, including, where applicable, human rights, climate change and environmental consequences, including in the short, medium and long term.

## European Network of Supervisory Authorities

The Commission should set up a European Network of Supervisory Authorities that will bring together representatives of the national bodies, in order to ensure a coordinated approach and enable knowledge and experience sharing.

## Fines

Member States should supervise that companies comply with their due diligence obligations. They could impose fines to companies, or issue orders requiring the company to comply with the due diligence obligation.

It is particularly important to enable victims to obtain compensation for damage. Therefore, the proposal will also give those affected by harm the opportunity to hold companies to account. This means that victims will have the possibility to bring a civil liability claim before the competent national courts.

# Corporate Sustainability Due Diligence

---

The European Parliament adopted by 366 votes to 225, with 38 abstentions, amendments to the proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on corporate sustainability due diligence and amending Directive (EU) 2019/1937.

The matter was referred back to the committee responsible for inter-institutional negotiations.

## Companies obligations

Parliament specified that the directive should lay down rules on companies' obligations regarding actual and potential negative impacts on human rights and the environment that they have caused, contributed to or are directly involved in, with regard to their own activities, and those of their subsidiaries.

Companies would be required to identify and, where appropriate, prevent, bring to an end or mitigate the negative impact of their activities on human rights and the environment, such as child labour, slavery, labour exploitation, pollution, environmental degradation and loss of biodiversity. They should also monitor and assess the impact of their business partners, not only suppliers, but also sales, distribution, transport, storage, waste management and other areas.

## Scope of application

The new rules will apply to EU-based companies, regardless of their sector, including financial services, with more than 250 employees and a worldwide turnover over EUR 40 million as well as to parent companies with over 500 employees and a worldwide turnover of more than EUR 150 million. Non-EU companies with a turnover higher than EUR 150 million, if at least EUR 40 million was generated in the EU, will also be included.

## Integration of due diligence

Companies covered by the Directive should:

- integrate due diligence into their corporate policies, identify and, where necessary, prioritise, prevent, mitigate, remedy, eliminate and minimise potential and actual adverse impacts on human rights, the environment and good governance;
- establish or participate in a mechanism for the notification and out-of-court handling of complaints,
- monitor and verify the effectiveness of actions taken in accordance with the requirements set out in the Directive,

- communicate publicly on their due diligence and consult relevant stakeholders throughout this process.

Member States should ensure that parent undertakings can take action to help ensure that their subsidiaries falling within the scope of the Directive comply with their obligations.

Companies should apply a due diligence policy that is proportionate and commensurate to the degree of severity and the likelihood of the adverse impact and commensurate to the size, resources and capacities of the company, taking into account the circumstances of the specific case, including the nature of the adverse impact, characteristics of the economic sector, the nature of the company's specific activities, products, services, the specific business relationship.

In conflict-affected and high-risk regions, companies should uphold their obligations under international humanitarian law and demonstrate heightened, conflict-sensitive due diligence in their operations and business relationships.

#### Prevention of potential negative impacts

Companies would be required to take the following steps, as appropriate:

- consider establishing contractual arrangements with partners with whom the company has a business relationship, obliging them to comply with the company's code of conduct and, where appropriate, a prevention action plan;

- take necessary modifications, improvements to, withdrawals of or investments in, the company's own operations, such as into management, production or other operational processes, facilities, products and product traceability, projects, services and skills;

- adapt business models and strategies, including purchasing practices, including those which contribute to living wages and incomes for their suppliers, in order to prevent potential adverse impacts, and develop and use purchase policies that do not encourage potential adverse impacts on human rights or the environment;

- take appropriate measures to ensure that the composition, design and commercialisation of a product or service is in line with Union law and does not lead to adverse impacts, be it individual or collective. In this regard, particular attention shall be paid to potential adverse impact on children.

#### Mitigating actual negative impacts

Where a company has caused or contributed to an actual adverse impact, it should take steps to remedy or contribute to the remedy of that adverse impact and any harm it has caused to people or the environment. Remedial measures would aim to restore the affected individuals, groups, communities and/or the environment to a situation equivalent to, or as close as possible to, that which existed prior to the adverse impact.

#### Exchanges with stakeholders

The new rules would also require companies to engage in dialogue with those affected by their actions, including human rights and environmental defenders. Companies would also be required to regularly monitor the effectiveness of their due diligence policies. To facilitate investor access, information on a company's due diligence policy should also be available on the European Single Access Point (ESAP).

Employees and their representatives should be informed by their company of its due diligence policy and its implementation.

#### Guidelines

To provide support to companies or to Member State authorities, the Commission, in consultation with Member States, the European cross-industry and sectoral social partners and other relevant stakeholders, should issue clear and easily understandable guidelines, including general and sector-specific guidance, in order to facilitate compliance in a practical manner. Each Member State should designate one or more national helpdesks for corporate sustainability due diligence.

#### Combating climate change

Companies should implement a transition plan to limit global warming to 1.5°C. Companies with more than 1 000 employees on average should have an effective policy in place to ensure that part of any variable remuneration for directors is linked to the company's transition plan.

#### Sanctions

Non-compliant companies will be liable for damages and can be sanctioned by national supervisory authorities. Sanctions include measures such as naming and shaming, taking a company's goods off the market, or fines of at least 5% of the net worldwide turnover. Non-EU companies that fail to comply with the rules will be banned from public procurement in the EU

Transparency				
HAUTALA Heidi	Shadow rapporteur	JURI	05/04/2024	HOK-Elanto
CAVAZZINI Anna	Shadow rapporteur for opinion	INTA	02/04/2024	adidas AG
HAUTALA Heidi	Shadow rapporteur	JURI	20/03/2024	Paulig Group Hannes Snellman Attorneys Impaktly
ANDREWS Barry	Rapporteur for opinion	INTA	20/03/2024	Ernst & Young Core Business Services

				BV (Conference)
CAVAZZINI Anna	Shadow rapporteur for opinion	INTA	19/03/2024	Mars Incorporated
CAVAZZINI Anna	Shadow rapporteur for opinion	INTA	15/03/2024	Richter& Hess Verpackungen, Max Knobloch GmbH IHK Chemnitz
HAUTALA Heidi	Shadow rapporteur	JURI	06/03/2024	Fair Wear Foundation
REGNER Evelyn	Shadow rapporteur for opinion	EMPL	05/03/2024	Tony's Chocolonely
HAUTALA Heidi	Shadow rapporteur	JURI	01/03/2024	Nordic Business Ethics Initiative DLA Piper
HAUTALA Heidi	Shadow rapporteur	JURI	22/02/2024	Responsible Business Alliance
DIDIER Geoffroy	Member	03/04/2024	France Industrie	
ECKE Matthias	Member	27/10/2023	Elbe Flugzeugwerke GmbH	
COVASSI Beatrice	Member	05/07/2023	Accountancy Europe ShareAction Europe Stichting World Benchmarking Alliance Foundation Eurosif	
TOUSSAINT Marie	Member	21/06/2023	ECCJ	
TOUSSAINT Marie	Member	05/06/2023	ECCJ	
MACMANUS Chris	Member	31/05/2023	Irish Coalition for Business and Human Rights Christian Aid Ireland	
HAHN Svenja	Member	30/05/2023	Dachverband Entwicklungspolitik Baden-Württemberg e.V. (DEAB)	
TOUSSAINT Marie	Member	25/05/2023	ECCJ	
FITZGERALD Frances	Member	22/05/2023	Center for Strategic Research and Policy in Georgia	
TOUSSAINT Marie	Member	03/05/2023	Amis de la Terre France Association Sherpa Notre Affaire à Tous	